

Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy Sri Lanka



# Technology Needs Assessment And Technology Action Plans For Climate Change Adaptation

**Technology Action Plan** 

2012

Supported by















#### FORWARD

Sri Lanka being an island nation subjected to tropical climatic influences is highly vulnerable to climate change impacts. We are already experiencing significant climatic imbalances manifested through increasing average temperatures, drastic variations <sup>--</sup> rainfall patterns and extreme climatic events such as heavy rainstorms, flash floods, and extended droughts and weather related natural disasters in various forms and severity. These extreme and sometimes unseasonal events affect not only the human lives and properties but also have long term impacts on the ecosystems as well.

"Mahinda Chinthana – Vision for the Future", the Government of Sri Lanka's Ten Year Development Policy Framework assigns a very high priority to the management of the environment and the natural resources sector including addressing climate change impacts. In keeping with the Government's overall vision on tackling climate change impacts, the "National Climate Change Policy (NCCP) for Sri Lanka" identifies the paramount need of undertaking appropriate actions for climate change adaptation in order to build resilience of the country to face the adverse impacts of climate change. The NCCP emphasizes the importance of exploring technologies and best practices already available in the country and globally, and select nationally appropriate innovative technologies, disseminating, and implementation to the extent possible with sound monitoring mechanisms.

The Government and my Ministry in particular recognizes that the Technology Needs Assessment (TNA) Project implemented in collaboration with Global Environment Facility (GEF), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), UNEP-Risoe Center (URC) and the Asian Institute for Technology (AIT), as the first comprehensive national exercise undertaken towards addressing our climate change concerns. Thus, the TNA Report provides an assessment of the priority technology requirements and action plans for climate change adaptation activities in food, water, coastal, health and biodiversity sectors. I am convinced that this exercise has been a nationally driven process involving local expertise and knowledge supplemented by international experiences.

In fulfillment of the Government's firm commitment towards taking appropriate national actions for tackling climate change related issues and also collaborative obligations to the international community in this context, I have great pleasure in presenting the Sri Lanka's National Report on Technology Needs Assessment and Technology Action Plans for Climate Change Adaptation to the policy makers, potential investors, technology developers, scientists and all other stakeholders who are actively participating in sustainable development efforts of the country. I also recommend this report for consideration and emulation of the world community and invite them to be partners in achieving our economic, environmental and social development goals.

Susil Premajayantha, MP

Minster of Environment and Renewable Energy

Government of Sri Lanka

#### PREFACE



Sri Lanka ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in November 1993 and acceded its Kyoto Protocol in September 2002. In keeping with the obligations of the UNFCCC, the Government of Sri Lanka submitted its Initial National Communication in 2000 and submitted the Second National Communication in 2012. Over the last two decades, Sri Lanka has made a significant progress towards improving the national policy framework and strengthening the legal and institutional capabilities to facilitate implementation of obligations under the UNFCCC and Kyoto Protocol. These timely actions demonstrate the Government's firm commitment in addressing country's environmental and climate change related issues.

Although Sri Lanka is a low greenhouse gases emitter, it is highly vulnerable to adverse impact of climate change. Analysis of past records suggests that air temperature throughout the island has been on a rising trend during the last century. The future scenarios predict higher levels of emissions and possibility of adverse climate change impacts, if no mitigatory and adaptation actions are undertaken now.

The TNA explores country needs for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and adaptation technologies. It also re-affirms the will of the Government along with the international community to contribute to the joint efforts in addressing the climate change threat. It is envisaged that this process will open up access to funds, create an enabling environment for the transfer of priority technologies which will improve the climate resilience of the most vulnerable sectors in the country.

I would like to take this opportunity to extent my gratitude to the Global Environment Facility (GEF) for funding and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UNEP Risoe Center (URC) for implementing this project in collaboration with the Asian Institute of Technology (AIT). A record of appreciation is also extended to the members of the TNA committee, Sectoral working Groups and all other experts who have contributed to this national exercise.

B.M. D Basnayake

Secretary Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This report on Technology Needs Assessment and Technology Action Plans for Climate Change Adaptation was the outcome of the project on Technology Needs Assessment (TNA) on Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation for Sri Lanka conducted by the Climate Change Division of the Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy from June 2011 to April 2013.

The TNA project in Sri Lanka was funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and technically supported by United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UNEP Risoe Center (URC) in collaboration with the Asian Institute of Technology (AIT). First and foremost, my appreciation goes to the GEF, UNEP, URC and AIT for their financial and technical supports.

I wish to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to Hon. Susil Premajayantha, Minister of Environment and Renewable Energy, Hon. Anura Priyadarshana Yapa, Former Minister of Environment, Mr. B.M.U.D. Basnayake, Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy and Mr. Gamini Gamage, Additional Secretary (Environment and Policy) of the Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy for their leadership, directions and guidance provided to conduct this project successfully.

My appreciation is extended to the members of the TNA committee, sectoral working groups and all other experts who contributed to this project. I am grateful to the various governmental, non-governmental and private sector personnel who took time out of their busy schedules to meet with our consultants and to provide data and information.

I am thankful to all the consultants of the TNA project, namely Mr. H.M. Bandaratillake, Team Leader and sector experts Dr. (Mrs.) S.M. Wijesundara (Food Sector), Dr. N.P. Sumanaweera (Health Sector), Prof. (Ms.) Hema M.K.K. Pathirana (Water Sector), Prof. (Ms.) P.R.T. Cumaranatunga (Coastal Sector), and Mr. Shamen Vidanage and Ms. Manishka De Mel representing International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (Biodiversity Sector).

My special thanks is also extended to the staff of the Climate Change Division of the Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy, particularly to Ms. Anoja Herath, Coordinator of the TNA project, Ms. Nirosha Kumari and Ms. Surani Pathirana, Environment Management Officers of the Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy.

Finally, on behalf of the Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy I would like to thank all those who contributed to make this project realistic. Without their supports this project would never be success.

Dr. R.D.S.Jayathunga Director, Climate Change Division Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy

#### Contributors

#### Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy

Vr. B.M.U.D Basnayake : Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Renewable Environment			
Mr. Gamini Gamage	: Addl. Secretary (Environment & Policy)		
Dr. R.D.S. Jayathunga	R.D.S. Jayathunga : Director, Climate Change Division		
Ms. Anoja Herath	: Assistant Director, Climate Change Division, (National Project Coordinator)		
Ms. Nirosha Kumari	: Environment Management Officer, Climate Change Division		
Ms. Surani Pathirana	: Environment Management Officer, Climate Change Division		
Consultancy of the TNA Project			
Mr. H.M.Bandaratillake	:Team Leader (Former Conservator General of Forest, Forest Department)		
Prof.(Ms.) Hema M.K.K. Pathirana	a : Water Sector Expert(Prof. In Chemistry Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Ruhuna)		
Prof.(Ms.) P.R.T. Cumaranatunga	<ul> <li>Coastal Sector Expert (Senior Prof. of Fisheries Biology, Department of Fisheries &amp; Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences &amp; Technology, University of Ruhuna)</li> </ul>		
Dr.(Mrs.) S.M. Wijesundara	: Food Sector Expert [Former Director (Natural Resource Management), Ministry of Agriculture and Agrarian Services]		
Dr. N.P. Sumanaweera	: Health Sector Expert (Former Health Planning Officer, Ministry of Health)		
Mr. Shamen Vidanage	: Biodiversity Sector Expert (Acting Country Representative, IUCN)		
Ms. Manishka De Mel	: Biodiversity Sector Expert (Senior Programme Officer, IUCN)		
Editor			
Mr. W.R.M.S Wickramasinghe	: Former Addl. Secretary (Environment and Policy) Ministry of Environment		
Stakeholder Participation			
TNA Committee	– Annex A1		
Workshop Participants	– Annex A2		

This document is an output of the Technology Needs Assessment project, funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and implemented by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UNEP- Risce Centre (URC) in collaboration with the Asian Institute for Technology (AIT), for the benefit of the participating countries. The present report is the output of a fully country-led process and the views and information contained herein are a product of the National TNA team, led by the Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy, Government of Sri Lanka.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD FROM MINISTER	.ii
PREFACE FROM SECRETARY	.iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	.iv
CONTRIBUTORS	.v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	.vi
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	.1

CHAPTER 1:	FOOD SECTOR	8
1.1 Actions at se	ctoral level	8
1.1.1 Short s	sector description	8
1.1.2 An ove	erview of the prioritized technologies	14
1.1.3 Genera	al Barriers and Proposed Measures for the Food Sector	16
1.1.4 Specif	ic Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies	19

1.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fishery	21
1.2.1 Description of the technology	21
1.2.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
1.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
1.2.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	
1.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Sustainable Land Management	
1.3.1 Description of the technology	
1.3.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
1.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
1.3.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	

1.4 Action Plan	for Technology 3: Crop Diversification and Precision Farming	
1.4.1 Desc	ription of the technology	41
1.4.2 Targe	ets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
1.4.3 Barri	ers to the technology's diffusion	
1.4.4 Prop	osed action plans for the Technology	43
		50

CHAPTER 2:	HEA LTH SECTOR	50
2.1 Actions at secto	ral level	
2.1.1 Short sec	ctor description	

2.1.2 An overview of the prioritized technologies	54
2.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures for the Health Sector	57
2.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies	59

#### 2.2 Action Plan for Technology1: Early Warning Systems and networking for Information

exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change Related events	60
2.2.1 Description of the technology	60
2.2.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	61
2.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	61
2.2.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	62

#### 2.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Transfer of knowledge and skills of Health

Personnel	68
2.3.1 Description of the technology	68
2.3.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	68
2.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	69
2.3.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	69

. 74
. 75
. 75
. 76
•

CHAPTER 3:	WATER SECTOR	81
3.1. Actions at s	sectoral level	
3.1.1 Short sec	tor description	
3.1.2 An overvi	ew of the prioritized technologies	
3.1.3 General E	Barriers and Proposed Measures for the Water Sector	
3.1.4 Specific M	Neasures Proposed for the Selected Technologies	

3.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Restoration of minor tank networks	
3.2.1 Description of the technology	92
3.2.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
3.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	93
3.2.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	95

3.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and	
---	--

Household uses		4
----------------	--	---

3.3.1 Description of the technology	
3.3.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
3.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
3.3.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	
3.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Boreholes/tube wells as a drought	
Intervention for domestic water supply	
3.4.1 Description of the technology	
3.4.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
3.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
3.4.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	
CHAPTER 4: COASTAL SECTOR	
4.1 Actions at sectoral level	
4.1.1 Short sector description	
4.1.2 An overview of the prioritized technologies	
4.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures for the Coastal Sector	
4.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies	
4.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Rehabilitation of Sand dunes	
4.2.1 Description of the technology	
4.2.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
4.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
4.2.4 Proposed action plans for the technology	
4.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Rehabilitation of Mangroves	
4.3.1 Description of the technology	
4.3.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
4.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
4.3.4 Proposed action plans for the technology	
4.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Restoration of Coral Reefs	
4.4.1 Description of the technology	
4.4.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
4.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
4.4.4 Proposed action plans for the technology	
CHAPTER 5: BIODOVERSITY SECTOR	
5.1. Actions at sectoral level	
5.1.1 Short sector description	
5.1.2 An overview of the prioritized technologies	

5.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures for the Biodiversity Sector	
5.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies	173

#### 5.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Rehabilitation and Restoration of degraded areas

Inside and outside the protected area network for resilience	177
5.2.1 Description of the technology	177
5.2.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
5.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
5.2.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	

#### 5.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Increasing connectivity through corridors,

Landscape/matrix improvement and management	187
5.3.1 Description of the technology	187
5.3.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	188
5.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	188
5.3.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	189

#### 5.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Improve management and possibly

#### Increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in

vulnerable zones	197
5.4.1 Description of the technology	197
5.4.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	198
5.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	198
5.4.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	199

#### 5.5 Action Plan for Technology 4: Focus conservation resources and carry out special

management for restricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems	
5.5.1 Description of the technology	
5.5.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion	
5.5.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion	
5.5.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	211

#### 5.6 Action Plan for Technology 5: Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species

and possible reintroduction	220
5.6.1 Description of the technology	220
5.6.2 Targets for Technology Transfer and Diffusion2	221
5.6.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion2	221
5.6.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology	222

CHAPTER 6:	CROSS CUTTING ISSUES	229
6.1 Identificatio	n of common barriers across the sectors	
6.2 The measu	res to overcome common barriers in sectors	231
List of Referen	ces	235
Annexes:		242
Annex I:	List of stakeholders involved and their contacts	

#### ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AMCs	Aquaculture management committees
ARPAs	Agricultural Research and Production Assistants
CBF	Culture Based Fishery
СВО	Community Based Organization
CBSL	Central Bank of Sri Lanka
CCD	Coast Conservation Department
CD & PF	Crop Diversification and Precision Farming
CEA	Central Environmental Authority
CIDA	Canadian International Development Agency
CWSSP	Community Water Supply and Sanitation Project
CZMP	Coastal Zone Management Plan
DAD	Department of Agrarian Development
DC&S	Department of Census and Statistics
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DOF&ARD	Department of Fisheries & Aquatic Resource Development
DWLC	Department of Wildlife Conservation
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EWS	Early Warning Systems
GCE (O.L.)	General Certificate of Examinations (Ordinary Level)
GDP	Gross domestic production
Gg	Giga gramme
GHG	Green House Gases
GIS	Geographic Information System
HFC	Hexa Fluoro Carbons
HMP	Health Master Plan
HPS	Health Policy Statement
HRH	Human Resources for Health
ICTAD	Institute of Construction Training
IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development
INGO	International Non-governmental Organisation
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change
IRCSA	International Rainwater Catchment Systems Association
ISDR	International Strategy for Disaster Reduction
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
LRWHF	Lanka Rainwater Harvesting Forum
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
M/A	Ministry of Agriculture

M/De&UD	Ministry of Defense and Urban Development
M/DM	Ministry of Disaster Management
M/Env.	Ministry of Environment
M/I & WRM	Ministry of Irrigation and Water Resource Management
M/L & LD	Ministry of Land and Land Development
M/LD	Ministry of Livestock Development
M/Plantation Inds	Ministry of Plantation Industries
M/Tech&Res	Ministry of Technology and Research
M/TI&SE	Ministry of Traditional Industry & Small Enterprise Development
MCDA	Multi Criteria Decision Analysis
MEPA	Marine Environment Protection Authority
MF&ARD	Ministry of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Development
MIS	Marketing Information System
МоН	Ministry of Health
MSL	Mean Sea Level
NAQDA	National Aquaculture Development Authority
NARA	National Aquatic Research & Development Agency
NBRO	National Building Research Organisation
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NRMC	Natural Resource Management Centre
NSF	National Science Foundation
NWSDB	National Water Supply & Drainage Board
O & M	Operation and Maintenance
°C	Celsius
PCs	Provincial Councils
R&D	Research and Development
RH	Relative Humidity
RWH	Rooftop rainwater harvesting
SCBF	Sustainable Culture Based Fishery
SHF	Sulphur Hexa Fluorides
SLLRDC	Sri Lanka Land Reclamation and Development Corporation
SLR	Sea Level Rise
SLSI	Sri Lanka Standard Institute
SME	Small and Medium scale Enterprise
SVP	Sector Vulnerability Profile
ТАР	Technology Action Plan
TNA	Technology Needs Assessment
TT & D	Technology Transfer and Diffusion
UN	United Nations
UNEP	United Nations Environmental Programme

UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
WHO	World Health Organization
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WRB	Water Resources Board

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Summary of GHG emissions from Food Sector in Sri Lanka Table 1.2: Existing Policies Related to the Food Sector Table 1.3: Agricultural Policy and Program Changes - Major Events after 1977 Table 1.4: Existing Acts and Ordinance related to Food Sector Table 1.5: Technology 1: Sustainable Culture-based fisheries (SCBF) Table 1.6: Technology 2: Sustainable Land Management (SLM) Technology 3: Crop Diversification & Precision Farming (CD&PF) Table 1.7: Table 1.8: List of Key Barriers for Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fisheries Table 1.9: Proposed Action Plan for the Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fisheries Table 1.10: List of Key Barriers for Sustainable Land Management Table 1.11: Proposed Action Plan for the Sustainable Land Management Table 1.12: List of Key Barriers for Crop Diversification and Precision Farming Table 1.13: Proposed Action Plan for the Crop Diversification & Precision Farming Table 2.1: Existing Policies related to Health Sector Table 2.2: Existing Laws related to Health Sector Table 2.3: Measures proposed for technology 1 Table 2.4: Measures proposed for technology 2 Table 2.5: Measures proposed for technology 3 List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 1 Table 2.6: Table 2.7: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 1 Table 2.8: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2 Table 2.9: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 2 Table 2.10: List of Key Barriers and hierarchy classification for the Technology 3 Table 2.11: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 3 Table 3.1: Existing Key Policies Involved Table 3.2: Existing Key Laws Involved Table 3.3: Proposed measures for technology 1 Table 3.4: Proposed measures for technology 2 Table 3.5: Proposed measures for technology 3 Table 3.6: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 1 Table 3.7: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 1 - Restoration of Minor Tanks Table 3.8: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2 Table 3.9: Proposed Technology Action Plan for the technology 2 Table 3.10: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 3 Table 3.11: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 3 Table 4.1: Existing policy framework related the sector and technology deployment Table 4.2: Existing laws related the sector and technology deployment Table 4.3: Proposed measures technology 1: Sand dune Rehabilitation

Table 4.4:	Proposed measures for technology 2: Restoration of Mangroves
Table 4.5:	Proposed measures for technology 3: Restoration of coral reefs
Table 4.6:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 1
Table 4.7:	Proposed Action plans for technology 1: Rehabilitation of sand dunes
Table 4.8:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2
Table 4.9:	Proposed Action plans for technology 2: Mangrove Rehabilitation
Table 4.10:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 3
Table 4.11:	Proposed Action plans for technology 3: Restoration of coral reefs
Table 5.1:	Existing key policies in the Biodiversity sector
Table 5.2:	Existing key laws in the Biodiversity sector
Table 5.3:	Proposed measures for technology 1
Table 5.4:	Proposed measures for technology 2
Table 5.5:	Proposed measures for technology 3
Table 5.6:	Proposed measures for technology 4
Table 5.7:	Proposed measures for technology 5
Table 5.8:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 1
Table 5.9:	Proposed Action Plan for the technology 1
Table 5.10:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2
Table 5.11:	Proposed Action Plan for the technology 2
Table 5.12:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 3
Table 5.13:	Proposed Action Plan for the technology 3
Table 5.14:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 4
Table 5.15:	Proposed Action Plan for the technology 4
Table 5.16:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 5
Table 5.17:	Proposed Action Plan for the technology 5
Table 6.1:	The common barriers across the sectors
Table 6.2:	Proposed actions/measures to overcome the cross-sectoral barriers

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Technology Needs Assessment (TNA) for Climate Change in Sri Lanka was carried out from June to December 2011. The priority sectors identified for adaptation are Food, Health, Water, Coastal and Biodiversity. A list of potential technologies for each sector were identified through stakeholder consultations and prioritized by using the Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) process. Three technologies were prioritized for each sector except for the Biodiversity sector. For the Biodiversity sector, five technologies were prioritized based on stakeholder consensus. The barrier analysis was carried out through stakeholder consultations during March to July 2012, and enabling framework was developed for each technology, in order to overcome the potential barriers to ensure success of technology transfer and diffusion. Subsequently, the Technology Action Plans (TAP) was developed for each technology.

The Technology Action Plan (TAP) report presents Action Plans for the prioritized technologies. For each technology, a description of the technology, targets, identified barriers to technology transfer and diffusion, and measures/actions recommended by detailed action plans are presented. The action plan is a concise proposal for an enabling framework for the technology, along with identification of implementing agencies, priority of the proposed measure/action, the time frame for implementation, estimated costs, potential sources of funding and indicators for the measurement of success.

**Technology Action Plans for the Food Sector:** Food sector which includes agriculture (Rice, Fruits & Vegetables, Other Field Crops, Sugar Cane, Tea, Coconut, Export Agricultural Crops), Livestock (Dairy, Poultry) and Fishery is considered to be one of the most vulnerable sectors to climate change impacts in Sri Lanka<sup>1</sup>. Changing climate and weather patterns suggest potentially severe negative impacts on food production, food security and natural resources in the country. The food sector is still the sector with the highest employment although its contribution to the national production has progressively declined during the recent years. The sector provides nearly all of the rice production which is the staple food in Sri Lanka and significant quantities of other food crops, milk and fishery produce locally consumed. The impending vagaries of climate change such as high intense, uncertain, highly variable rainfall pattern and temperature, sea level rise, combined with deterioration and dwindling of natural resources emphasize the necessity of sustainable adaptation technologies to increase the productivity, stability and resilience of production of the food sector.

The Technology Action Plan report presents a quick overview of the existing laws and policies relating to agriculture and food sector. There are a many Acts and Regulations covering many traditional aspects of the food sector such as laws pertaining to land, water, crop and animal protection, and agrarian services dating back to 1840s and updated from time to time. Food sector policies and programs in Sri Lanka were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ME, 2010, Sector Vulnerability Profile: Health, Supplementary Document to: The National Climate Change Adaptation

Strategy for Sri Lanka, 2011 to 2016, Ministry of Environment, Sri Lanka.

observed to have changed with the change of the administrative regime of the country. Four existing national policies and 10 laws are presented in the report. The main focus of the policies have been on increasing food production and setting up and improving the infrastructure requirements to support that goal.

The prioritized climate change adaptation technologies for the food sector are *(1) Sustainable Inland Culture-based fisheries, (2) Sustainable land management and (3) Crop diversification and Precision Farming.* These Technologies in the food sector have been selected by giving a high weight to sustain the current levels of food supply from CC impacts, in the short to medium term. The other important consideration was the cost of the technology. Some of these technologies have been around for long periods and are less expensive to implement, but have not been fully utilized due to various operational and institutional constraints.

There are five general/common barriers having a significant potential for impacting achieving the targets in the food sector. They are: (i) Inadequate R&D Investments, (ii) Short-term and inconsistent policy outlook, (iii) Inadequate finances, (iv). Poor risk management tools, and (v) Ineffective monitoring and evaluation. Measures to overcome these general barriers are also identified and briefly described in the report.

The measures recommended to address these general/common barriers are; Set up R&D expenditures target at a level comparable to sector GDP; secure international funding for R&D; facilitate increased private sector R&D undertakings; Develop a long-term, stable, nationally-committed and realistic policy framework; Make finances available at concessional terms for long-term investments; Set up financing mechanisms for specific technology packages; Introduce incentive packages; Introduce an effective insurance scheme for high cost technologies; Extend subsidy schemes for specific technology components; Strengthen public-sector M&E institutions; Facilitate and strengthen community participation in M&E.

The enabling framework proposes 10, 11 and 10 measures/actions for diffusion of the technologies 1, 2 and 3 respectively, and sets targets and the estimated time frame for technology transfer and diffusion.

Technology Action Plans for the Health Sector: The Climate Change has both direct and indirect impacts on health of the humans. The common direct health effects are, vector, including rodent and water borne diseases, conditions associated with extremes of temperatures such as heat waves and cold spells. The effect of natural disasters and extreme weather events causes many health effects on humans of which some are immediate and effects of others become evident over time. The immediate health effects are death and injury. Late ones are disability, communicable diseases, psycho-social problems etc. On the other hand, protracted or sudden weather events indirectly affect human health through crop failure, loss of live stock, livelihoods etc.

The role of the health sector in Sri Lanka depends on the ability and capacity of the health sector personnel, aspirations and demands of the people and the vision of the government. There should be a

balance between the supply and demand as the major health provider is the public sector though the private sector is rapidly growing. One other factor is the existence of other systems providing healthcare services to population other than the Allopathic Medicine. Moreover, currently the country is going through a transition period of economic growth and every sector is expanding and growing. Currently the country enjoys a better health status in the South Asian countries. But due to various known and unknown factors it is not an epoch to be complacent of the state of the health services.

The Technology Action Plan (TAP) report briefly describes the three prioritized technologies, barriers identified for the transfer and diffusion of technologies and the enabling framework recommended to overcome the barriers. The prioritized technologies for the health sector are; (1) early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on Extreme Weather events and other climate change related events, (2) ransfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel, and (3) technology for management of Health Care Waste.

Five (05) general/common barriers have been identified for transfer and diffusion of all three technologies in the health sector. These are: (i) economic and financial aspects, (ii) institutional and organizational capacity, (iii) network failures, (iv) human skills, and (v) information and awareness barriers.

Measures identified to overcome general barriers are; Allocation of sufficient funds from government sources, exploration of alternative and additional funding sources; Mechanisms and development of policies conducive to successful transfer & diffusion of technologies; Assign focal points where necessary and align with existing national government structures through the focal points; Identify the administrative gaps and rectify the deficiencies with appropriate measures; Make amendments to the HRH policy facilitating utilization; Appoint a training coordinator in the Ministry of Health and establish a coordination mechanism; Develop and share an annual training plan; Training of identified and interested personnel already in the staff and pooling of staff from other sections; Identify a set of master trainers from other sectors as well; Extend information mechanisms available for disease forecasting and outbreak control to other health issues; Awareness creation for policy makers and top administrators; Strengthen the available coordination mechanisms; Identify affordable and appropriate new technologies for implementation; Make the service a closed-service and establish carrier development pathways in the service; Provide necessary financial and non-financial incentives; Create awareness using existing forums; Utilization of and mass media as much as possible.

The proposed enabling framework for the health sector identifies 6 measures/actions for diffusion of each technology, the targets and the estimated time needed for island wide diffusion of the the technologies.

**Technology Action Plans for the Water Sector:** During the 40 year period from 1961 to 2000, an increasing trend in annual maximum temperatures with rates up to 0.046 °C per year has been recorded at all weather stations except at Nuwara-Eliya and Ratnapura which showed decreasing trends<sup>2</sup>. The potential

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sri Lanka Second National Communication on Climate Change, 2012, Ministry of Environnent

climate change impacts on the water sector are severe droughts, floods, sea level rise etc. It has been predicted that the dry zone districts are more vulnerable to droughts and the wet zone districts to floods and landslides. Prominent change due to low rainfall will be expansion of the dry zone. Due to such droughts, surface water availability and per capita water availability will decrease. The floods due to increase in rainfall intensity will reduce ground water recharge and also would affect quality of surface water and generation and transport of sediments. Studies on the sea level rise have shown an increasing trend of sea water intrusion in certain coastal areas. As a result salinity of surface water and ground water in such areas will increase.

The prioritized technologies for the water sector are; (1) restoration of minor tank net works, (2) rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses, (3) boreholes/tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply.

Seven (07) general/common barriers for transfer and diffusion of all three technologies in the water sector has been identified. They are: (i). high capital cost, (ii). lack of sustainability, (iii) poor enforcement of policies/laws, (iv). lack of information and awareness, (v) no prioritized areas to implement the technology, (vi) limitations of the technology due to water pollution, (vii) lack of Research & Development.

The measures identified to address these general/common barriers are ; Obtain sufficient funds from the government and donor agencies while taking actions to solicit farmer and household contributions in terms of labor to minimize the cost; Implement and monitor operation and maintenance practices to improve sustainability; Capacity building of relevant departments/ institutes/boards; Prepare a clear policy on selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration; Formulate a National Water Policy; Strengthen involvement of agencies to implement existing policies/legal frame work; Improve operation and maintenance practices through effective awareness programs and by publishing guide lines; Capacity building of relevant departments/institutes/boards to conduct training and awareness programs; Climate change modeling for prioritizing areas; Strict enforcement of environmental laws to protect surface/ground water from pollution; Good operation and management practice; R & D to collect required data for sustainability of the technology; Availability of adequate funds for necessary R & D; Incentives for research students carrying out research projects in this field.

Proposed enabling framework identifies 9, 11 and 14 measures/actions for diffusion of the technologies 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Furthermore, proposed targets and the estimated time frame for technology transfer and diffusion for the technologies have been presented in the action plan report.

Technology Action Plans for the Coastal Sector: Coastal belt of Sri Lanka is a very dynamic transitional zone and is formed as a result of sea and atmospheric forces on the land mass and the supply of sediments to the coast. Coastal zone contains a variety of terrestrial habitats, such as sandy beaches, barrier beaches, sand spits and dunes, rocky shores, mangrove stands & salt marshes and coastal wetlands such as coral reefs, lagoons, estuaries and sea grass beds. These systems help maintaining the

vital physical processes, fulfill ecosystem services and functions and provide land, goods and services<sup>3</sup>. Sri Lanka being an island with 25% of its population living in coastal areas, coastal communities both rural and urban are at risk from the effects of rising sea levels, increasing temperatures, disasters such as floods and droughts and issues as salt water intrusion<sup>4</sup>. Apart from the high population density in the coastal regions, 62% of industrial units and more than 70% of tourist infrastructure are located on Sri Lanka's coastal areas<sup>4</sup>. The coastal zone accounts for about 43% of the nations GDP, so impacts on coastal settlements translate into substantial impacts on the nation's economy<sup>5</sup>.

Large tracts of Sri Lanka's coastal belt are already pressured by a host of human induced environmental threats including pollution, coral and sand mining, erosion and depletion of mangroves and these will be further exacerbated by climate change. Tourism, fisheries and agriculture play a substantial role in livelihoods of coastal communities and are directly or indirectly exposed to coastal vulnerability that in turn increases the effects on poor communities that rely on these enterprises.

The prioritized technologies identified for the coastal sector are: *(i) sand dune rehabilitation, (ii) restoration of mangroves and (iii) restoration of coral reefs.* 

Seven (07) general/common barriers for transfer and diffusion of the technologies have been identified and they are: (i) inadequate financial assistance; (ii) inadequate government patronage; (iii) poor enforcement or lack of resource management plans; (iv) unsustainable practices /resource utilisation; (v) inadequate coordination & among different Institutions; (vi) inadequate awareness and (vii) inadequate knowledge on the technologies.

The measures proposed to overcome these general barriers are: Request for annual funding from the government; Encourage self sustaining economic activities using mangrove products; Introduce ecofriendly activities with financial gains; Conduct awareness programmes to all relevant stakeholders on importance of sustainable management of mangroves, proper enforcement of coastal zone management regulations and existing rules and regulations on coastal resources; Prepare suitable management plans for rehabilitation of mangroves; Establish community participatory organizations; Identify strategies to develop and improve fruitful collaborations; Form a committed group of catalysts selected from the coastal communities; Provide alternative sources of income or employment within the same region to those involved in destructive activities; Enforcement of strict regulations and appropriate punitive actions for violators; Develop zonal plans to identify areas requiring rehabilitation; Identify most suitable species for replanting.

The enabling framework identifies 8, 5 and 6 measures/actions for diffusion of the technologies 1, 2 and 3 respectively and the Action Plan proposes targets and the estimated time frame for technology transfer and diffusion for the technologies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Gazette extraordinary of the Democratic, Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, 2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Jayatilake, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ministry of Environment, Climate Change Vulnerability in Sri Lanka –b, 2010

Technology Action Plans for the Biodiversity Sector: Sri Lanka is one of the most biologically diverse countries in Asia, with its biodiversity considered to be the richest per unit area in the region with regard to mammals, reptiles, amphibians, fish and flowering plants. However, the country's biodiversity is under threats due to external reasons. These threats will be no doubt is compounded with climate change impacts.

Although it is unlikely that all impacts of climate change on biodiversity are preventable, it is recognized that genetically diverse populations of species, and species rich ecosystems, have much greater potential to adapt to climate change. Conservation of biodiversity and maintenance of ecosystem structure and function may, therefore, be one of the most practical climate change adaptation strategies that Sri Lanka can adopt to conserve the country's natural heritage.

The Sector Vulnerability Profile (SVP) for the biodiversity sector predicts that as an island nation, Sri Lanka is vulnerable to the risk of sea level rise and increased frequency of storms that can bring major impacts on coastal biodiversity. Additionally, analysis of climate data indicate a change in rainfall regimes, and a trend for increasing air temperature, which can also have impacts on the country's biodiversity.

The prioritized technologies for the biodiversity sector are: (1) rehabilitation and restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience; (2) increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and management; (3) improve management, and possibly increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in vulnerable zones; (4) focus conservation resources and carryout special management for restricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems and (5) ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction. The action plan report presents a brief account of existing key national policies and laws with an outline of 3 existing national policies and 2 laws related to the biodiversity sector.

Nine (09) general/common barriers for diffusion and transfer of technologies have been identified and they are: (i) lack of incentives; (ii) low funding availability; (iii) lack of understanding, awareness and appreciation of value of biodiversity and ecosystems; (iv) insufficient capacity; (v) lack of information, research, climate modeling; (vi) no prioritization and use of climate models for this purpose; (vii) pressure from development/competing land use; (viii) weak law enforcement and implementation of policies; and (ix) lack of partnerships.

Measures proposed to address these general/common barriers as presented in the enabling framework are: Create incentives and remove perverse incentives for biodiversity adaptation; Recognize the need for funding at the National Planning process and allocate funds from annual budgets for adaptation; Create understanding through effective awareness programs and innovative communication; Capacity building and resource allocation; Carry out studies, research and climate modeling to generate information; Prioritization based on needs, urgency with the use of climate models; Use planning tools such as Strategic Environmental Assessments development and conservation programs; Reduce pressure from development/competing land use by providing alternatives and encourage compatible land use activities and provide incentives to utilize abandoned/brownfield sites; Institutional strengthening for agencies responsible for implementing legal framework and policies; Recognize partnerships as effective means for implementing technologies and create effective partnerships with other government institutions, NGOs, universities and private sector to implement adaptation technologies.

Technology Action Plans for the technologies include approximately 12-20 measures/actions of which some are related or similar. Since these activities are crosscutting they can be combined with similar activities (both within this technology and under other technologies). Common activities within the sector include incentives, budget allocation, climate modeling and prioritization, research and studies, capacity building, awareness creation, and enforcement and implementation. In addition, proposed targets and the estimated timeframe required for technology transfer and diffusion for the technologies are presented in the Action Plan.

**Crosscutting Issues**: There are some common/crosscutting barriers and measures among those identified for the transfer and diffusion of technologies in different sectors. As such measures are advantageous for technological development, a brief discussion on cross-cutting measures are included in the Action Plan in order to explore possibilities of combining measures/actions to overcome such common and cross-cutting barriers of all five sectors. The major groups of common barriers across sectors are; (i) Inadequacy of finances, (ii) inadequate policies/laws and enforcement, (iii) lack of sustainability, (iv) inadequate information and awareness, (v) inadequacy of Research & Development and (vi) poor coordination.

The common measures thus proposed to overcome these cross cutting barriers are; (i) provide adequate funds from government & explore donor funding, (ii) review and revise existing policies and legislation and effective enforcement and develop new policies and laws as appropriate (iii) take appropriate action such as feasibility studies, operation and maintenance, encourage non-extractive uses etc. to ensure sustainability (iv) awareness creation among all relevant stakeholders, (v) carry out R & D on relevant aspects in all sectors and (vi) improve inter agency coordination .

Since these are common measures, they will have impacts on transfer and diffusion of technologies in all five sectors. Therefore, such measures/actions should be given due priority in implementation of the technology action plans.

## **CHAPTER 1**

## Technology Action Plan for the Food Sector

#### 1.1 Actions at sectoral level

#### 1.1.1 Short sector description:

Food sector is one of the most important sectors of the economy. Food sector in Sri Lanka comprises of 3 key sub-sectors: **(1)** agriculture (rice, fruits & vegetables, other field crops, sugar cane, tea, coconut, export agricultural crops), **(2)** livestock (dairy, poultry), and **(3)** fishery – coastal, marine and inland.

Agriculture together with fishery and livestock sectors have a multifunctional role to play in the economy of the country. Apart from providing food, fodder and fiber, food sector significantly contributes to the Sri Lanka's socio-economic growth as well. The importance of food sector in the economy is primarily identified as a source of income for the majority of rural poor, source of national growth, provider of opportunities for private investment and a driver of agriculture related industries. Rapid growth of the food sector, particularly the domestic food production, export crop sectors, floriculture, livestock and fishery are necessary to achieve self reliance at national level and to ensure food security. It also leads to equity in the income distribution and wealth for poverty alleviation.

Contributing 11.2% to the country's GDP, accounting for 17 % of all export revenue, employing 30% of the total workforce, and supporting 21 million people directly or indirectly, food sector is vital to Sri Lanka's economy and the livelihood of its people<sup>6</sup>. In Sri Lanka, among food sector's 11.2% contribution to national GDP in 2011, 79% came from crop production. In comparison, the respective contributions from livestock, and fisheries sectors were 14% and 7% respectively. The livestock and fishery sub-sectors play a vital role in the Sri Lanka. The food sector significantly contributes to the economic development by providing employment, food and income security to agricultural households and alleviating poverty.

The country's dependence on the food sector to meet food needs of the population is much more significant than its relative share as an economic sector. The rural population in particular, which is more than 70% of the population, is directly or indirectly dependent on food sector or related activities. Furthermore, it is estimated that the agriculture-related activities provide the major source of employment and livelihood for nearly half of the Sri Lankan population. Hence, the significant contribution made by the food sector to the economy as a determinant of economic growth and source of employment to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> CBSL, 2011

nation's work force drives its climate change adaptability as critical for continued economic growth and for food security.

#### GHG Emissions Level and Trends:

Crop production and livestock sub sectors are responsible for the release of a little amount of methane, which originates mainly from ruminant animals and rice cultivation in wetlands. Use of fertilizer emits nitrous oxide into the atmosphere while changes in land use such as deforestation and land degradation and unsustainable farming practices also emit significant amount of carbon into the atmosphere. Summary of the GHG emission contribution from the food sector for 2000 is given in Table 1.1.

						Emission
Source		Removals				
		(Gg)				
	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	СО	NO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>
Enteric fermentation	59.68					
Manure management	6.92	0.12				
Rice cultivation	117.43					
Crop residue burning	1.11	0.08	23.43	1.74		
Direct emission from soils		1.63				
Indirect emissions from soils		0.82				
Carbon stock change in woody						5,883.59
biomass						
Carbon stock change in soils						370.4
Emission from forest fires	0.05				10.34	
Emission from flooded land/tank	1.62					
Total	186.81	2.65	23.43	1.74	10.34	6253.99

#### Table 1.1: Summary of GHG emissions from Food Sector in Sri Lanka

Source: Sri Lanka's Second National Communication on Climate Change, 2011

The net effect on GHG emissions from the food sector is negative as the effect of carbon sequestered in the woody biomass and soil is much greater than the GHG emissions from all agricultural activities.

#### Vulnerability to Climate Change:

Food sector productivity depends on soils, availability of water and required nutrients, climate, and energy combined with the genetic characteristics of crops, fishery and livestock. Various elements of the entire agriculture and food production system are particularly sensitive to climate change. Temperature and

precipitation are key drivers of agricultural production which operate on highly site-specific and time-specific basis of the microclimate in which a plant or animal is located.

Food security relies on country's ability to make agriculture and food production systems more productive and more resilient to shocks, such as droughts, floods, pest and disease outbreaks. The food sector in Sri Lanka depends heavily on climate, and it is sensitive to climate change such as variability in monsoon rainfall and temperature changes within a season. Changes in temperature and rainfall have significant negative effects on the production, productivity and the quality of rice, fruits, vegetables, tea, and coconut etc. Pathogens and insect populations are strongly dependent upon temperature and humidity, and changes in these parameters may also change their population dynamics. Climate change is also contributory for lower yields from dairy cattle and decline in fish breeding, and harvests from culture based fisheries.

In Sri Lanka, more gradual increase in annual temperature has been observed and the rate of increase of mean air temperature is in the order of 0.016°C per year during the period 1961 – 1990 (Premalal, 2009). The nighttime annual average temperature increase (up to a maximum of 0.02°C per year) appears to be faster than that of daytime. The annual average rainfall has been decreasing for the last 57 years at a rate of about 7 mm per year. It has been observed that variability of seasonal rainfall has increased significantly during the last few decades particularly for the northeast monsoon (December – February) and second inter-monsoon period. The results of these climate extremes and changes have been experienced in the form of multiple impacts in Sri Lanka food sector.

It has been estimated that approximately 352,000 ha of paddy lands of the country are highly or moderately vulnerable for drought exposure while 139,000 ha are highly or moderately vulnerable for flood exposure due to the effects of climate change<sup>7</sup>. Also, the saline intrusion affects quality of river waters and degrades arable coastal paddy fields, causing them to be abandoned. It is evident from the crop production data of the Department of Agriculture since 2008 Yala to 2010/2011 Maha that the Sri Lankan crop production is facing a serious threat from climate change and it is already causing tangible economic losses. According to the Department of Agriculture, Socio Economic and Planning Centre publication in 2009 Yala season, there has been a 47% decrease in production when compared with Yala 2008 due to delay in onset of rains in many districts and prolonged dry period.

In the livestock sector, it has been observed that the heat stresses has direct influence on reproductive functions and embryonic development of dairy cattle (Wijayagunawardene, 2009). The indirect influences are also mediated through negative energy balance as heat-stressed dairy cows reduce dry matter intake thereby reducing milk production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Weerakoon, W.M.W., Maruyama A. & Ohba K. (2008), Impact of humidity on temperature-induced grain sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa L*), *Journal Agronomy and Crop Science* 194: 135-140.

Fishery sector is also highly vulnerable to the variability of the rain fall. It was observed that the reduced fish stocks due to stressed freshwater bodies during the drought periods have an impact on the duration of the culture period thereby affecting fingerling production. Losses of fish production and sometimes fish deaths due to pollutants and sediment accumulation resulting from floods have been observed.

It is clear that the changes in the rainfall pattern would likely be the most significant factor for the food sector vulnerability. The effects of temperature rise would be more pronounced in the milieu of lowered rainfall, thereby accentuating the strain on the crop and animal species. The modified ecology through effects on pest populations and their virulence would likely create greater pressures on raising crops and animals. Therefore, the national strategy for climate change adaptation must endeavour to address all these concerns in an integrated manner.

#### Existing Policies and Measures Related to the Development of the Food Sector and Technology

In the absence of efforts for institutionalizing fixed-term national plans, the food sector policies and programs have often changed with the change of governments. A culture of translating policies into action programs supported by enabling legislative and other enactments is nonexistent.

Table 1.2 presents existing policies related to the key components of the food sector. These policies have been introduced at different times after the election of the present government in 2005. They are in general fashioned after the '*Mahinda Chintana*', the National Policy Framework of 2005.

Name of the Policy	Year Enacted	Main Contents
National Agricultural Policy	2007	All activities relating to agricultural production
		and consumption
National Land Use Policy of Sri	2007	Agricultural land use
Lanka		
The National Fisheries and Aquatic	2006	Inland and marine fishery development
Resources Policy		
The National Livestock	2011	Development of the major livestock sub-sectors
Development Policy		to meet national requirement

Table 1.2: Existing	g Policies	Related to	the Food	Sector
---------------------	------------	------------	----------	--------

Major agricultural policy and program changes since the introduction of economic policies dominated by liberal market thinking in1977 are shown in the Table 1.3. Up to 1994, major policy events in general signify a direction of positioning the economy and the agriculture sector within open market regime.

However, after the change of Government 1994 and in particular after 2006, some reversal of the trend can be seen with the state assuming a greater role in the management of the agriculture sector.

Table 1.3:	Agricultural	Policy and	Program	Changes ·	- Major Events	after 1977
------------	--------------	------------	---------	-----------	----------------	------------

Year	Description
1977	Liberal market economic policies of the Government encouraged private sector participation in
	agricultural production, storage, marketing and processing.
1977-	Acceleration of Mahaweli River Diversion Program, which was originally planned to complete
84	within 30 years, completed within 6 years. This program covers nearly 30 % of the country's
	land area. The achievements include increase of irrigated land area by about 200,000 ha.
1990	The Marketing Department, which involved in domestic agricultural product marketing and
	processing, ceased its operations.
1991	Restructuring of state managed plantation companies. Under this program the management was
	privatized on a profit sharing basis for a five-year period through the establishment of 13
	Regional Plantation Companies.
1995	Shares of Regional Plantation Companies were sold to private sector and plantations were
	leased to the private sector for 50 years.
1996	Plantation Reform Project: launched with the investment of 100 million dollars in the plantation
	sector (tea, rubber, coconut and other plantation crops) to increase productivity and profitability
	of plantation sector.
1997	Seed and planting material import restrictions were relaxed.
1998	A Private extension service was initiated as a pilot project.
1999	Privatization of Government Seed Production Centers.
1999	Private insurance companies are allowed to engage in agricultural insurance.
2000	Government allocated Rs.100 million (US \$ 1.3 million) to develop seed and planting material
	sections. Five-year development plans were prepared for fruits, vegetables, rice, livestock and
	other field crop sectors.
2000	Research, production promotion & extension, supply of seeds & planting material, private sector
	participation in commercial agriculture, marketing and institutional reforms were identified as six
	thrust areas for further reforms. Government declared the year 2000 as the year of Agriculture.
	Various institutions were mobilized to seek ways to improve agriculture sector to enhance
	economic growth.
2003	Government allocated Rs 100 million (US \$ 1.3 million) to boost the domestic agriculture. Market
	reforms, enhancing private sector role in agriculture development, food processing, and
	conservation of natural resources were identified as key areas of interventions.
2006	The government declared a comprehensive policy framework for national agriculture. The key
	objectives of the policy are to increase domestic agricultural production to ensure food and
	nutritional security of the nation.

2007	Government proposed a policy package for further development of agriculture. These po										e poli	cies	
	included	the	duty	waiver	for	milk	imports,	promotion	of	agro-processing,	credit	and	tax
	concessi	ons f	or ma	chinerie	s.								

Thus, the focus has been on increasing food production and setting up and improving the infrastructure requirements to support that goal. Many Acts and Ordinances have been enacted to create an enabling operational environment (Table 1.4).

Name of the Act/	Year	Povisod	Main Contonts
Ordinance	Enacted	Reviseu	Main Contents
Land Ownership Act	1840		After, Sri Lanka became a colony under the
			British in 1815 and the implementation of the Arid
			Lands Act of 1897, made changes in the
			Ordinance introduced in 1840, regarding
			unauthorized occupation of Crown Lands
State Land	1840	1931,1947,	Make provision for the prevention of
Encroachment Ordinance		1954	Encroachment upon state lands
State Land Ordinance	1947	1949	Make provision for grant and disposition of state
			lands in Sri Lanka.; for the management and
			control of such lands and the foreshore; for the
			regulation of the use of the water of lakes and
			public streams. This Ordinance deals with the
			power of the State to sell, lease, grant or
			otherwise dispose of State lands for management
			and control.
Irrigation Ordinance	1946	1951,1953	An Ordinance to amend and consolidate the Law
		1973	related to Irrigation
Land Development	1935	1946,1953,	An ordinance to provide for the systematic
Ordinance		1955	development and alienation of state lands in Sri
		1969,1971,	Lanka. Land Commissioner's Department was
		1973	set up to create the administrative structure
			needed to administer and conserve lands as
			envisaged by the said Ordinance.
Soil Conservation Act	1951	1996	Act provided provision for the conservation of soil
	1953		resources for the prevention or mitigation of soil
			erosion and the protection of land against
			damaged by floods and drought
Agrarian Services Act	1979		Matters relating to landlords and tenant

Table 1.4: Existing Acts and Ordinance related to Food Sector

Agrarian Development		2000	cultivators for the utilization of agricultural lands
Act			accordance with agricultural policies; For the
			establishment of agrarian development councils;
			To provide for the establishment of a land bank;
			to provide the establishment tribunals; To provide
			for the repel of the agrarian services act No 58 of
			1979; and matters connected therewith or
			incidental thereto
Mahaweli Authority of Sri	1979		An Act to provide for the vesting in the state of
Lanka Act			agricultural or estate land which is vested in the
			land reform commission under the land reform
			law; to enable the transfer free of charge, to the
			landless, of the lands so vested in the state.
Title Registration Act	1998		This act make provision for the investigation and
			registration of title to all land parcels for the
			regulations of transactions relating to a land
			parcel to registered land for matters connected
			therewith or incidental thereto.
Land Acquisition Act	1950	1954,1955	An act to make provision for the acquisition of
		1964,1969	lands and servitudes for public purposes and to
		1971,1979	provide for matters connected with or incidental
			to such provision.

However, upon closer examination it can be seen that the food sector related policies have thus far failed to recognize the potential climate change impacts on agriculture and the food industry and not properly addressed possible adaptations measures. Some impacts that are well accepted to be affecting agriculture have not been factored in the drafting of policies. Therefore, a comprehensive assessment of existing and likely future agricultural policies is imperative to enable addressing climate change impacts effectively.

#### 1.1.2 An overview of the prioritized technologies in the Food Sector

The food sector comprised of crop, livestock and fishery sub-sectors face a multitude of climate-change related challenges. Therefore, following technologies were identified through an extensive consultative process and prioritized using the Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis in order to introduce climate change adaptation measures into the sector.

- a) Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fisheries
- b) Sustainable Land Management
- c) Crop Diversification & Precision Farming

These selected technology components which are less costly to implement have been in existent for long periods but have not been fully utilized due to various constraints in the operational and institutional spheres. Proven and reliable short term technologies suitable for a similar environment are available.

a) Sustainable Inland Culture-based fisheries (SCBF): The potential for Sustainable Culture-based fisheries (SCBF) lies in the extensive network of perennial and seasonal reservoirs developed in the dry zone for irrigation purposes. Sustainable Culture based fisheries is a non-competitive, complimentary resource use that permits maximization of benefits from freshwater resources and enhances food security for the practitioners and the nation as a whole. The small-sized (<100 ha) minor irrigation reservoirs that dry up for 2–3 months (July – September) of the year can be utilized for the development of sustainable culture-based fisheries which is essentially a fisheries enhancement strategy through the stocking of individuals of selected fish species. As the preferred fish species for CBF do not naturally spawn under local conditions, the reservoirs have to be stocked regularly to sustain fisheries.

**b)** Sustainable Land Management (SLM): Need for Sustainable Land Management (SLM) is the resultant of the intensive land use practices adopted due to high land pressure. Land degradation is one of the most serious environmental problems in the country and occurs in all agro-ecological regions at different intensities. As the land is interconnected with other natural resources such as the air, water, fauna and flora, in addition to guaranteeing food supplies, proper land management will help protecting environment and natural resources that facilitates sustainability of ecological functions and services. Although, SLM is a CC adaptation technology, it also helps in reducing GHG emission through efficient use of fertilizer, better management of farm yard manure (manure produced in farms from animal dung) combined with integrated plant nutrient management, and by increasing organic carbon content in soil.

c) Crop Diversification and Precision Farming (CD&PF): Crop Diversification and Precision Farming (CD&PF) helps to build resilience in agricultural systems by increasing diversity and enhancing the capacity of crops to withstand climate-related shocks. Diversity serves as a buffer to increase the ability of agricultural systems to tolerate effects of rising climate variability and extreme events. Rice farming will face a severe challenge due to increased vulnerability of cultivations resulting from reduced crop diversity, threatening the food security. Climate change impacts can negate economic benefits exploited by transformation to mono-crop systems. Precision farming would facilitate matching agricultural inputs and practices based on the specific requirements of crops grown in a given eco system to enable optimizing input usage while improving efficiency.

#### Future Targets for the Food Sector

The central theme of all plans for food sector development has been increasing food security, using domestic production as the primary vehicle. In the case of rice, which is the staple commodity, the undisputed goal of all development plans has been attaining self sufficiency. The plans and programs through the most part of the last century has focused on supporting this goal through the development of irrigation, superior varieties and supply of inputs and technical advisory services. With the near-attainment of this goal towards the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the same strategy was extended to the secondary food crops and other commodities.

The current aim in the food sector envisages developing an export market for rice where a surplus has been produced in 2011. Becoming self sufficient in secondary food commodities such as onion, chilies, potato, maize and selected fruit and vegetable crops has been taken up earnestly. In the livestock sector, the aim is in increasing domestic production of milk for which a large sum of money is spent on imports. Increasing the production of poultry products, to meet the requirement of eggs and meat has been given priority. In fishery, meeting the national demand for fish while developing an export industry based on other aquaculture products will contribute to remain the policy objective.

Policy support towards achieving these targets is extended by maintaining a secure domestic market through severe import controls and prohibitive tariffs. This covers all key commodities referred to above and imports of certain commodities are subjected to tariffs that are among the highest for any imports. Also, a very generous fertilizer subsidy scheme where the imported fertilizer is distributed to farmers at price more than 50% below cost is in operation. Also, the irrigation water is supplied free to farmers. A government-funded rice procurement scheme is in operation and during periods of gluts, state procurement has been extended to other minor crop products and eggs as well.

#### 1.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures in the Food Sector

At the aggregate level, rapid development of the food sector is confronted with several common barriers. These barriers have industry-wide impacts by failing to harness resources required for infrastructure upgrading for appropriate technological advancement or by adversely impacting the incentive structure that governs investments within the sector. Distortions introduced through such shortcomings can lead to developments that weaken climate resilience in the sector. The key general barriers to progress in agriculture sector are briefly outlined below:

#### (a) Barrier: Inadequate R&D Investments

#### Proposed Measures:

- Set up R&D expenditures target at a level comparable to sector GDP
- Secure international funding for R&D
- Facilitate increased private R&D undertakings

Strategic investments in the R&D infrastructure and operation are critical determinants of technological advancement in any sector. The food sector has been severely constrained by chronically inadequate investments for the R&D activities. The research infrastructure has failed to receive required finances for upgrading from the national budget with the allocations being just sufficient to meet the recurrent expenses. Historically much of the investment capital for R&D infrastructure development has been secured through development aid, either grant or loan financed projects and public-sector driven. However, for nearly two decades there have not been major infusions of donor funds into the food sector due to various reasons, thereby preventing the necessary advancements in R&D capacity and technological know-how. The overall R&D expenditure shows a declining trend and thereby low output. As a result, the capacities of the system to develop appropriate technologies that are at the same time climate-resistant remain severely constrained.

The critical importance of R&D in the promotion of selected technologies featured strongly in the Culture Based Fisheries (CBF) and Crop Diversification and Precision Farming (CD&PF). Continuous improvements in the technology components are essential for selected adaptation technologies to remain viable.

#### (b) Barrier. Short-Term and Inconsistent Policy Outlook

## Proposed Measures: Develop a long-term, stable, nationally-committed and realistic policy framework

The policy framework that governs the food sector has failed to maintain a medium- to long-term outlook that is necessary for sustainable development. While the structural changes in the food sector tend to be disruptive in the short-term, decisions aimed at effecting profound changes in the food sector have to be maintained over a period before any significant improvements can be observed. Short-term measures have to be put in place to cushion disruptions resulting from such policies. However, given the politically determined short-term nature of the planning horizon, instead of pushing ahead with such structural policies Governments have resorted temporary policies that cushion impacts in the near term. Naturally, policies that strengthen climate resilience have been neglected in the process.

Policies affect implementation of measures in the all three technologies in the food sector. Policy failure in the SLM relate to institutional type policies whereas in CD&PF it relates more to trade policies. But, insufficient overall policy is identified as a barrier to promoting selected technologies.

#### (c) Barrier. Inadequate finances

#### Proposed Measures:

- Make finances available at concessionary terms for long-term investments
- Set up financing mechanisms for specific technology packages
- Introduce incentive packages

In the area of economic and financial constraints the most commonly cited barrier is the high cost of implementing the identified interventions and sources of finance. The absence of a system of long-term financing such as concessionary credit facilities through the formal sector impedes investments. While formal financing mechanisms are poorly developed in such areas informal mechanisms do not simply address it. Due to the high cost of implementation of some of the technology components and the long payback period, the access to finances becomes a critical determinant of determining adoption.

The absence of a system of financing such as credit facilities was directly identified in the case of culturebased fisheries. The availability of finances from formal and informal sources of credit is the principal mechanism for securing funds for any investment, and in some activities relating to Sustainable Land Management (SLM) and Crop Diversification & Precision Farming (CD&PF), formal financing mechanisms are poorly developed. Due to the high cost of implementation of some of the technology components and the long payback period, the accesses to finances become a critical determinant of determining adoption.

#### (d) Barrier. Poor risk management tools

#### Proposed Measures:

- Introduce an effective insurance scheme for high cost technology introduction
- Extend subsidy schemes for specific technology components

The risk management measures should be examined as a common approach to promoting any new development. Measures to manage risks are a major requirement in any new enterprise. Risks can arise from many causes including the lack of technology awareness. This is particularly important with new technologies or those with high investments. Such risk management measures require an approach led by social consciousness, a matter where governments have assume leadership.

(e) Barrier. Ineffective monitoring and evaluation (M& E)

#### Proposed Measure:

- Strengthen public-sector M&E institutions
- Facilitate and strengthen community participation in M&E

Continuous assessment of the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency of programs launched and undertaking necessary on-course corrections for improvement is an essential requirement in managing for results. Majority of the present day programs and projects have grown in complexity and become ambitious in targeting. Thus, the monitoring and evaluation needs have extended beyond the usual capacity of the public sector institutions. Either the institutions have to be strengthened or new structures formed to address this situation. The experience has suggested that the neither approach has been utilized affectively.

#### 1.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies:

The specific measures proposed for prioritized technologies in the Food Sector are given below.

No.	Recommended Measures
1.	Assuring adequate availability of financial resources
2.	Lowering the risk of investment
3.	Strengthening adequate supply of fingerlings
4.	Improving marketing infrastructure and price
5.	Assuring adequate R&D and Training Facilities
6.	Improving consumer preferences and overcoming social biases
7.	Improving Policy Coordination
8.	Improving institutional arrangements for stakeholder participation in policy making
9.	Introducing product standards, codes and certification
10.	Preventing degradation of Water quality

#### Table 1.5: Technology 1: Sustainable Culture-based fisheries (SCBF)

No.	Recommended Measures
1.	Increasing affordability of improved land management
2.	Increasing affordability of conservation practices and reducing social constraints in small land
	holdings
3.	Raising public and private investment on research and development
4.	Lessening dependency on land for livelihoods to reduce pressure on land
5.	Securing Land Ownership rights
6.	Introducing and enforcing land management policies, laws and regulations
7.	Raising knowledge on appropriate land management techniques and new challenges
8.	Ensuring proper attention to conservation in non-agricultural land uses
9.	Improving relevance land management techniques under diverse land, weather, soil, terrain, size
	and land formation
10.	Improving coordination among stakeholder organizations
11.	Promoting collective land management measures

#### Table 1.6: Technology 2: Sustainable Land Management (SLM)

#### Table 1.7: Technology 3: Crop Diversification & Precision Farming (CD&PF)

No.	Recommended Measures
1.	Contain price fluctuations due to unstable import policy
2.	Lowering cost of production including labor cost
3.	Reducing fragmentation of land holdings
4.	Making Land tenancy arrangements diversification friendly
5.	Developing varieties/Breeds and management packages suitable for diversification
6.	Improving post harvest technologies and processing infrastructure
7.	Lowering marketing risk arising from seasonal production
8.	Improving marketing system, Increase penetration of rural markets and providing timely and
	accurate market information
9.	Raising technical knowledge on the cultivation of new crops & precision farming methods
10.	Making irrigation network designs favorable for diversification

## 1.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fishery

#### 1.2.1 Description of the technology

Growing demand for fishery products with rising incomes and natural & manmade inland water resources provide ample prospects for development of environmental friendly, less capital and less labor intensive, culture based inland fisheries in the country. The potential for Sustainable Culture-based fisheries (SCBF) lies in the extensive network of perennial and seasonal reservoirs developed in the country mainly for irrigation purposes. Sustainable Culture based fisheries is a non-competitive, complimentary resource use that permits maximization of benefits from freshwater resources. The small-sized (<100 ha) minor irrigation reservoirs that dry up for 2–3 months (July – September) of the year can be utilized for the development of sustainable culture-based fisheries which is essentially a fisheries enhancement strategy through the stocking of individuals of selected fish species. As the preferred fish species for SCBF do not naturally spawn under local conditions, the reservoirs have to be stocked regularly to sustain fisheries.

Since it uses the natural environment itself, unlike aquaculture, culture-based fisheries are not limited by land or population pressures and do not have to modify or manage the culture system to approximate the natural environment. Therefore, sustainability of CBF in non-perennial reservoirs in Sri Lanka totally depends on the economic viability of the strategies at all level of production. Availability of quality fingerlings, selection of suitable non-perennial reservoirs, and post stocking management are the key factors that influence the productivity. Economic sustainability of the CBF determines the profitability at the each stage of the production.

The main reasons for identification of Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fishery as a prioritized adaptation technology are as follows;

- A low cost technology.
- Basic know-how about the technology is available in the country.
- The technology will be attractive to all categories of stakeholders as it creates new opportunities for rural communities.
- Additional income for paddy farming communities in rural areas.
- Ensure food and nutritional security of rural communities.
- Use of available resource for additional income generation.
- No serious policy barriers to the introduction of the technology in the country. The technology has acceptance as a means to increase production from reservoirs.
- No GHG emission in Culture Based Fishery.
- No local pollutants and ecosystem degradation.
- Zero impacts on indigenous/endemic aquatic fauna
Ref. Annex D1: Technology Fact Sheet for Sustainable Culture Based Fisheries, Technology Needs Assessment Report (Part I).

### 1.2.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

To increase the production of culture-based fishery from the current level of about 5,400 mt to 9,000 mt in 10 years (by 2023), involving seasonal and minor perennial reservoirs with a cumulative surface area of 30,000 ha supported by the production of 75 million fingerlings.

# 1.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

There are many constraints, as identified through stakeholder consultations, to SCBF development starting from the inadequate supply of fingerlings, which is dominated by the public sector, i.e. NAQDA, to the absence of finances to invest in the stocking of fingerlings that affect the supply. The coordination of fingerling production as well as the information on the supply arrangements is preventing smooth production operations. Technology development and R&D support is undersupplied. A variety of shortcomings exist in the marketing area including the absence of any information, coordination activities. The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification identified is given in table 1.8.

Tech	Technology Name: Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fisheries								
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority	Category of Barriers						
		Rank							
		(1 – 5)							
1.	Insufficient and weak supply arrangements for	1	Market failure						
	fingerlings								
2.	Inadequate availability of financial resources	1	Economic and financial						
3.	Inadequate R&D and Training Facilities	1	Institutional and Organizational						
			Capacity						
4.	High risk of investment	1	Economic and financial						
5.	Poor marketing infrastructure and low price	2	Market failure/Imperfection						
6.	Poor institutional arrangements for stakeholder	2	Network failure						
	participation in policy making								
7.	Water quality degradation	2	Other						
8.	Inadequate product standards, codes and	2	Technical						
	certification								
9.	Inadequacy of Government Policy	2	Policy, legal and regulatory						

 Table 1.8: List of Key barriers and hierarchy classification for sustainable inland culture based

 Fisheries

10.	Not-favorable	consumer	preferences	and	social	2	Social, cultural, behavioral
	biases						

### 1.2.4 Proposed action plans for the Technology

### The Proposed Action Plan for Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fishery is provided in table 1.9.

The Action Plan proposed to overcome barriers to the development of SCBF for the development of Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fishery (SCBF) (Table 1.9.) contains 31 Sub actions categorized under 10 Actions (enabling measures). For each action the agency responsible, the timeframe, required finances and the indicators for monitoring of implementation are presented.

In view of the need for increasing the supply of fingerlings for stocking, the two highest ranked actions required in this regard are (a) to open up the fish breeding and hatchery operations for private sector and (b) ensuring availability of financial resources to undertake these activities. These actions have to be supplemented by appropriate R&D activities which is lacking at the moment and actions to improve risk management by developing and introducing appropriate products.

On the product side, a number of actions are identified to improve marketing by introducing innovation in the product standards, range of uses and quality improvement. Facilitation of greater producer participation in marketing by promoting value addition and the development of price information systems is also recommended. Specific actions leading to development of product quality parameters and specifications, product standards and certification processes and to promote popularity of CBF produce to increase consumer acceptance thereby strengthening demand.

Efforts to increase policy coordination and expanding opportunities for producer participation in the policy process are also identified. Institutional arrangements to support stakeholder input into the key decision making processes are suggested. Towards ensuring sustainability of the CBF, actions to ensure responsible environmental management and adoption of Best Management Practices are suggested.

Implementation responsibility for much of the actions rests with the Ministry of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources and its line agencies primarily NAQDA and NARA. However, participation of the other state sector agencies to facilitate much of the actions is required. Non-state actors involved in implementation comprises of Universities and private investors.

# FOOD SECTOR

# Technology Action Plan for Technology 1

### Table 1.9: Proposed Action Plan for the Sustainable Inland Culture Based Fisheries

Measure/Action 1: Strengthening adequate supply of fingerlings								
Justification for the Action: To expand capacity of fingerling rearing operations to improve availability of								
fingerlings regionally and awareness creation								
Action/Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility	Timo	Cost (US \$)	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action	Deek	for	fromo	& Funding	muicators			
INO.	Rank	Implementation	irame	Source				
				3 M	- No of private			
				Domestic &	hatcheries established			
i. Facilitate private				International	within the project			
sector	V High		0.10 vooro		period			
participation in	v. nigri	NAQDA	0-10 years		- Percentage (%) of			
fish breeding					fingerlings produced			
					by private agencies			
					per year			
ii. Increase				2 M	- No of nurseries			
community	V. High	NAQDA	0-5 years	Domestic &	established within the			
based nurseries				International	first five years			
iii. Introduction of		M/ Einanco and		1 M				
loan scheme for	V High	Dianning	0.10 years	Domestic	- No of beneficiaries per			
fingerling	v. nigri	Control Bonk	0-10 years		year			
produces		Central Darik						
					- No. of forward			
iv. Improve					contracts placed for			
awareness on				0.5 M	fingerlings supply per			
sources and	V. High	NAQDA	0-10 years	Domostic	year			
availability of				Domestic	- Percentage (%) of on			
fingerlings					time supplied of			
					fingerlings annually			
Measure/Action 2:	Assuring	adequate availabili	ty of financial	resources				
Justification for the act	ion: To guid	de potential investo	rs and assist s	suppliers of inve	stment funds			

A	ction/Sub Action No.	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators				
i.	Develop model investment packages for different production systems	V. High	NAQDA	0-5 years	0.05 M Domestic	<ul> <li>No. of model investment packages introduced annually</li> </ul>				
ii.	Introduce financial incentives - concessionary interest and longer grace period for loans	V. High	M/ Finance and Planning, Central Bank	2-10 years	Interest subsidy to banks – 5 M Domestic	- % producers/ organizations obtaining loans annually				
Mea	Measure/Action 3: Assuring adequate R&D and Training Facilities									
Justification for the action: To develop adequate R & D required for the industry and to expand Training Facilities										
Fac	ilities									
Fac	ilities ion/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators				
Fac	ilities ion/Sub Action No Improve R& D Infrastructure	Priority Rank V. High	Responsibility for Implementation NARA Universities NAQDA	Time frame 0 - 5 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 10 M Domestic & International	Indicators - No. of research institute improved, equipped and staffed in first five years				
Fac	ilities ion/Sub Action No Improve R& D Infrastructure Encourage collaborative R&D activities between the state and private sector	Priority Rank V. High V. High	Responsibility for Implementation NARA Universities NAQDA NARA NAQDA Universities	Time frame 0 - 5 years 0-10 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 10 M Domestic & International	Indicators - No. of research institute improved, equipped and staffed in first five years - No. of research grants awarded during the project period				

					training modules
					prepared per year
					- 90% of the planned
					programs conducted
					per year
					- 90% of beneficiaries
					participated
	<u> </u>				
Measure/Action 4:	Lowering	the risk of investm	ent		
Justification for the ac	tion: To rec	luce the risk of inve	stment		
		Responsibility		Cost (US \$)	
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	for	Time	& Funding	Indicators
	Rank		frame	Source	
				000.00	- No of insurance
		Central Bank			nackages introduced
i Assist financial		Commercial			by banks
institutos to offor		Banks and	0 10	5 M	Dy Dariks Dorcontago of
insurance eveter	V. High	Eineneiel	0-10	Domestic &	- Fercentage Of
		Financiai	years	International	produčer
		institutes			organizations
					obtaining insurance
······································					annually
11. Introduce subsidy		NAQDA		<b>5 1 4</b>	- Subsidy funds
scheme for	V. High	Central Bank	0-10 years	5 M	disbursed annually
fingerlings supply		MF& ARD		Domestic	- No. of beneficiaries
for farmers					
Measure/Action 5:	Improving	g marketing infrastr	ucture and pri	ce	
Justification for the acti	on: Promo	te the market for in	land fishery p	roducts	
	Priority	Responsibility	Time	Cost (US \$)	Indicators
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	for	frame	& Funding	
		Implementation		Source	
i. Identify new					- No. of new value
markets and value		NAQDA,		1 M	added products
addition.	V Hiah	M/Tec&Res	0-10 vears	Domestic &	introduced within the
	v. i ngil	Universities		International	project period
		M/TI&SED		micmational	- Quantity processed
					per year
ii. Facilitate &	V. High	NAQDA	0 - 5 years	0.5 M	- % of produce

Promote				Domestic	marketed at
marketing of					'standard'
'Standard' size					size/weight per year
fish.					
iii. Support					
development of					
producer		NAQDA		0.05 M	- No. of producer
associations	High	MF&ARD	0-10 years	Domestic	associations
involving all					established per year
stakeholder					
groups					
iv. Introduction of a					- MIS established
marketing		NAQDA	0-2	0.5 M	within two years
information system	High	Universities	years	Domestic	- No. of subscribers
					from the time of
v. Facilitate		NAQDA,			
baryosting and	Modium	MF&ARD	0 -5 0.5 M s, years Dom	0.5 M Domestic	
and management	Medium	M/Tech&Res,			- % reduction in fish
system		SLSI			- % reduction in tish
System					sponage
Measure/Action 6:	Improving	institutional arrang	ements for sta	akeholder partic	ipation in policy making
Justification for the act	ion: To imp	rove coordination a	mong stakeho	older groups and	d policy making process
for strengthening the in	dustry				
	Deite eiter	Responsibility		Cost (US \$)	la dia stara
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	for	Timeframe	& Funding	muicators
	T di ik	Implementation		Source	
i. Improve a		NAQDA			
consultative		MF&ARD	In the first		- Stakeholder Group
mechanism	High		vear	No cost	established in the
involving industry			your		Ministry
and policy makers					
ii Liberalization of the		M F&ARD			- No of private
industry operations				0.05 M	breeding farms
to enhance	Medium		0-10 years	Domestic	approved/established
fingerling					per vear
production					

Measure/Action 7: Preventing degradation of Water quality									
Justification for the action: To ensure water quality requirements for CBF									
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators				
i. Regular monitoring of fresh water quality	High	NAQDA CEA	0-10 years	1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>No. of water quality Reports produced annually</li> <li>% water bodies covered</li> </ul>				
ii.Create awareness among general public on water pollution	Medium	NAQDA CEA	0-5 years	0.1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Awareness</li> <li>campaigns</li> <li>conducted per year</li> </ul>				
iii. Monitoring of effluent discharge	Medium	CEA	0-10 years	1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>No. of reservoirs reporting improved water quality parameters annually</li> </ul>				
		I			-				
Measure/Action 8:	Introducin	g product standard	s, codes and	certification					
Justification for the acti	on: To ens	ure product safety a	and quality						
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators				
i. Establish quality control measures and good management practices.	High	NAQDA SLSI	0-5 years	0.05 M Domestic	<ul> <li>No. of best management</li> <li>Practices</li> <li>introduced within</li> <li>the project period</li> <li>No. of national</li> <li>quality standards</li> <li>established within</li> <li>two years</li> </ul>				
ii.Establish a regular monitoring scheme for	High	NAQDA	0-2 years	0.05 Domestic	<ul> <li>Inspection scheme established for fingerling size and</li> </ul>				

fingerling production					<ul> <li>quality within two</li> <li>years</li> <li>Monitoring</li> <li>schedule developed</li> <li>within a year</li> </ul>			
iii.Introduce and implement product standards, codes and certification and license system for marketable fish	Medium	NAQDA, SLSI M/F&ARD DoARD	0-2 years	0.05 Domestic	- No. of license issued per year			
Measure/Action 9:		Policy Coordination	<b>n</b>					
Justification for the acti	on: To dele	gate powers amon	g aquaculture	Coot (US (				
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	for Implementation	Time frame	& Funding Source	Indicators			
<ul> <li>i. Establish a</li> <li>mechanism for</li> <li>consultation of all</li> <li>stakeholders &amp;</li> <li>creates stronger</li> <li>partnership with</li> <li>local authorities</li> </ul>	High	NAQDA MF&AR	0-2 years	No cost	<ul> <li>Federations of Provincial CBF</li> <li>Producer</li> <li>Associations</li> <li>formed within two</li> <li>years</li> </ul>			
ii.Establish a policy coordination mechanism	Medium	NAQDA MF&AR	0-2 years	No cost	<ul> <li>National Federation of CBF Producers Organization established within two years</li> </ul>			
iii. Improve community based Management system	Medium	NAQDA MF&ARD	0-2 years	No cost	<ul> <li>Community Based Management Systems are improved within 2 years</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 10: Improving consumer preferences and overcoming social biases								

Justification for the action: To increase consumer acceptance of CBF products									
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$)) & Funding Source	Indicators				
i. Raise awareness on product quality and health benefits	High	NAQDA M/F&ARD	0 – 5 years	1 M Domestic & International	- No. of campaigns carried out annually				
ii. Introduce new value-added products and improve dry fish quality	High	NARA Universities M/TI&SED NAQDA	0 – 5 years	1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>No. of recipes developed</li> <li>No. of products introduced to the market annually</li> <li>No. of processed CBF products marketed</li> </ul>				
iii. Carry out promotion activities	Medium	NAQDA	0 – 5 years	0.5 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>No. of campaigns launched per year</li> <li>No. of publicity materials distributed per year</li> </ul>				
iv. Develop hygienic marketing facilities	Medium	M F&AR CBO	0 – 5 years	0.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Types of hygienic marketing facilities introduced annually</li> </ul>				
Total	Total Cost of the Technology 1     Approx: US \$ 53.75million								

V. High - Very High; NAQDA - National Aquaculture Development Authority; M/TI&SED - Ministry of Traditional Industry & Small Enterprise Development; MF&ARD - Ministry of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Development; M/Tec&Res - Ministry of Technology and Research; SLSI - Sri Lanka Standard Institute; CEA - Central Environmental Authority; DoARD - Department of Agriculture Research and Development; NARA - National Aquatic Research & Development Agency; CBO - Community Based Organization; M F&ARD - Ministry of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Development

# 1.3. Action Plan for Technology 2: Sustainable Land Management (SLM)

### 1.3.1. Description of the Technology:

Sri Lanka experiences a variety of land degradation problems of different intensities across the 48 agroecological zones due to the combination of many factors. These factors are high population density (21 million people in an area of 65,500 km<sup>2</sup>), low per capita land availability and 17% of the land being hilly and mountainous terrain with steep slopes and narrow valleys. The latter is the area highly susceptible to land degradation with high rates of soil loss i.e; 100 tons/ha/yr in the hill country on sloping lands under intensive cultivation of vegetables and potatoes, poorly managed seedling tea and shifting cultivation<sup>8</sup>.

In 2002, the number of farmers with landholding of less than one acre (0.4 ha) has increased to 63% from 42% in 1982. Intensive land use practiced on such small farmlands due to high land pressure contribute to increased land degradation and limit the income from agriculture and thereby hindering adoption of SLM practices. However, the land is interconnected with other natural resources such as the air, water, fauna and flora, which are essential for survival and adaptation of sustainable land management technology. These factors together guarantee food supplies and help to protect environment and natural resources in the country.

Although, Sustainable Land Management (SLM) is a climate change adaptation technology, it also helps mitigating GHG emission through efficient use of fertilizer, better management of farm yard manure combined with integrated plant nutrient management and by increasing organic carbon content in the soil.

### 1.3.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

Target identified in the Technology Action Plan for SLM is restoration of the fertility status of 240,000 ha of lowland paddy and 100,000 ha under other highland food crops and 75,000 ha of plantation crop lands within 10 years (by 2023) and maintaining the present fertility status of the remaining non-degraded land allocated to food production.

### 1.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Eleven key barriers to technology transfer and diffusion of SLM in the context of climate change have been identified through a stakeholder consultation and expert inputs. Seven of them ranked as highly significant while the following economic and financial barriers were found to be the most critical.

- High cost of Implementation and slow return from SLM practices.
- High economic cost of conservation practices and social constraints in small land holdings.

Following barriers were identified as having some importance;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Upper watershed Management Project, Final Report, 1997

- Low public and private investment on research and development
- High dependency on land for livelihoods resulting in high land pressure

The identified key barriers were ranked and classified to several main groups as shown in the Table 1.10 by nature of their occurrence.

### Table 1.10: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for Sustainable Land Management

Tech	chnology Name: Sustainable Land Management							
No.	Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1 – 5)	Category of Barriers					
1.	High cost of Implementation and slow return from SLM practices	1	Economic and Financial					
2.	Insecure Land Ownership	1	Policy, Legal and Regulatory					
3.	High economic cost of conservation practices and social constraints in small land holdings	1	Economic and Financial					
4.	Inadequacy and poor enforcement of Policies, laws and regulations	1	Policy, Legal and Regulatory					
5.	Inadequate knowledge on appropriate land management techniques and new challenges to sustainable management	1	Human Skills					
6.	Low priority to conservation in non-agricultural land uses	1	Institutional and organizational capacity					
7.	Poor coordination among stakeholder organizations	1	Network Failures					
8.	Low public and private investment on research and development	2	Economic and Financial					
9.	Single or individual efforts are not effective	2	Social cultural and behavioral					
10.	Poor relevance of broad-spectrum techniques due to diversity of land, weather, soil, terrain, size, land formation and land use	2	Institutional and organizational capacity					
11.	High dependency on land for livelihoods resulting in high land pressure	3	Economic and Financial					

# 1.3.4. Proposed Action Plan for the Technology

The priority actions to assure Sustainable Land Management (SLM) are categorized under 11 key measures and comprise of 22 sub actions (Table 1.11). Some of the land management measures are actions that take a long time to implement with long payback period. This long-term nature causes some constraints requiring support over an extended period when compared with production activities. Ensuring adoption of various SLM practices by cultivators require raising awareness on the importance of the practices, supporting actions with low-cost funds or grants, as well as assuring returns to investments by granting ownership rights.

Nature of SLM practices are such that it calls for interventions in a complete or a large part of the respective watersheds thus requiring spatial planning units that comprise of multiple holdings. Planning and designing SLM practices in a manner that facilitates coordination and participation of multiple operators are suggested.

Recognizing shortcomings in the area of enforcement of laws and regulations pertaining to land management, actions to strengthen legal remedies are suggested. Other supporting actions comprise of strengthening R&D in the SLM technologies and improving coordination among key stakeholder groups concerned with implementation. Recognizing the need to lessen the pressure from intensive utilization of land as a source of livelihood by sacrificing its long-term sustainability, remedial actions are suggested.

The Proposed Action Plan for Sustainable Land Management is provided in table 1.11.

# FOOD SECTOR

# Technology Action Plan for Technology 2

### Table 1.11: Proposed Action Plan for the Sustainable Land Management

Measure/Action 1: Increasing affordability of improved land management									
Justification for the action: To encourage adoption of land management and support affordability									
Action/Cub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators				
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source					
<ul> <li>i. Introduce &amp; implement subsidies (input &amp; output subsidies)</li> </ul>	V. High	M/A M/I & WRMgt.	0-10 years	10 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Introduction of land development subsidies/incentives within two years</li> <li>Amounts disbursed under land</li> </ul>				
ii.Awareness Creation on long term benefits of SLM	V. High	M/L & LD M/A	0-10 Years	1 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>development subsidies</li> <li>15% of the planned sessions held per year</li> <li>Over 20% of the planned posters/ leaflets distributed/year</li> <li>Over 15% of the planned TV programs/year</li> </ul>				

Measure/Action 2: Securing Land Ownership rights								
Justification for the action: To ensure land ownership rights for responsible land management								
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
i. Enhance the clear ownership rights of land	V. High	M/L & LD M/A, M/I & WRMgt.	0-5 years	0.05 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Over 20% of the planned titles to land issued annually</li> <li>Amendments to land law to permit long- term leases within three years</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 3: Increasing affordability of conservation practices and reducing social constraints in small land holdings								
Justification for the action: To Overcome barriers to	SLM adoptio	on in small land parcels						
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
i. Set up incentives targeted to small land parcels	V. High	M/A M/Plantation Inds. M/D M/I & WRMgt. M/De &UD, M/ED M/DM	0-10 years	2.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Over 90% of incentive schemes targeting small land parcels</li> <li>10% of funds per year disbursed under each scheme</li> </ul>			
ii. Introduce water-shed level conservation methods	V. High	M/A M/I & WRMgt. M/Plantation Inds.	years	1 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 90% of planned appropriate conservation techniques introduced</li> </ul>			

Justification for the action: To strengthen legal structures for responsible land management								
Astion (Outs Astion Ma	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
i. Reform and enforce the relevant policies, laws and regulations	V. High	M/L & LD M/A	0-5 years	No cost	<ul> <li>90% of planned amended/revised</li> <li>legislations introduced within five years</li> </ul>			
ii. Independently monitor enforcement	V. High	M/A M/L&LD	5-10 years	0.5 M Domestic	- 100% of offenders taken legal action			
Measure/Action 5: Raising Knowledge on appro	opriate land	management technique	es and new ch	allengers				
Justification for the action: To promote SLM technology	ogy & impro	ove land productivity						
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
i. Develop & maintain long term benchmark sites with appropriate land management techniques in different agro-ecological zones	V. High	M/A M/Plantation Inds. M/I & WRMgt.	0 – 10 years	2 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 90% of planned benchmark sites established in each agro-ecological zone.</li> <li>Benchmark data on fertility status of land compiled within ten years</li> <li>Data on land productivity improvement within ten year</li> </ul>			
ii. Awareness creation on best practices available	V. High	M/A. M/L & LD M/Plantation Inds. M/I & WRMgt.	0-5 years	0.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>25% of beneficiaries participated per year</li> <li>Over 20% of programs conducted per year</li> </ul>			

				0.5.14	<ul> <li>Over 20% of people/ community adopted proper land management practices per year</li> </ul>
agents for sustainable land management	High	M/A	0-10 years	Domestic	<ul> <li>Percentage of target group capacity developed annually</li> </ul>
Measure/Action 6: Ensuring proper attention to	conservatio	on in non-agricultural la	nd uses		
Justification for the action: To promote sustainable of	levelopmen	t and Safeguard the pol	tential agricult	ural lands in the cour	htry
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
<ul> <li>i. Identify land conservation as a national priority in all land uses</li> </ul>	V. High	M/Economic Dev M/H M/Posts & Telecom. ,M/Env.	0-5 years	1.5 M Domestic	- EIA Procedures amplified to include land related issues within two years
ii. Identify potential prime agricultural lands and reserve for agricultural purposes.	High	M/L & LD M/A M/I & WRMgt. M/Plantation Inds.	0-5 years	2 M Domestic	<ul> <li>80% of planned land use zonation maps prepared based on potentials and limitations.</li> </ul>
iii. Revise land use policy and legislation	High	M/L & LD M/A,M/Env.	0-2 years	No Cost	- Revise within two years
Measure/Action 7: Improving coordination amo	ng stakehol	der organizations			
Justification for the action: To Improve results from i	nvestments	on land management			
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators

	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
i. Strengthen inter agency coordinating mechanisms	V. High	M/A M/L & LD	0-2 years	No Cost	<ul> <li>Activate an Inter-Ministerial Committee on Land Management and arrange meetings twice a year</li> </ul>			
ii. Set up land use planning and monitoring system	V. High	M/A M/L & LD	0-10 years	0.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Land use planning and monitoring system set up and upgrade annually</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 8: Raising public and private in	vestment o	n research and develop	ment					
Justification for the action: To increase investments	for R&D on	land management						
	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
<ul> <li>i. Increase public investment for R &amp; D aimed at generating scientific data and collecting Technical information</li> </ul>	High	M/A. M/L & LD M/I & WRMgt. M/Plantation Inds.	0-10 years	5 M International	<ul> <li>Over 80% of planned research grant schemes for land use studies</li> <li>Funds disbursed for land use R&amp;D</li> </ul>			
ii. Facilitate private investment on land use research	Medium	M/A M/L & LD	0-10 years	4 M International	- Tax credit and no of matching grants for land use research			
Measure/Action 9: Promoting collective land m	anagement	measures						
Justification for the action: To enhance effectiveness	s of land ma	nagement measures th	rough collecti	ve planning and imple	ementation			
Astics (Cub Astics No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
i. Develop and promote collective conservation efforts	High	M/A M/L & LD	0-10 years	1.5 M Domestic &	- Land area under collective conservation schemes within two years			

		NGO,s		International				
ii. Introduce catchment and watershed management	High	M/A, M/L & LD M/I & WRMgt.	0-10 years	1.5 M Domestic	- Land area under common catchment management within two years			
iii. Promote social responsibility through remedial measures	Medium	M/A M/L & LD	0 – 5 years	0.5 M	<ul> <li>Over 20% of the planned of remedial measures introduced by the stakeholder groups per year</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 10: Improving relevance of land management techniques under diverse land, weather, soil, terrain, size and land Formation								
Justification for the action: To increase reliability of	and manage	ement recommendation	s					
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost & Eunding	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source	indicators			
i. Develop and implement site-specific technologies for different land classes and environments	High	M/A M/L & LD M/Plantation Inds.	frame 0-10 years	4 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 50% of planned of technologies developed &amp; introduced within five years</li> <li>90% of land groups covered by new recommendations</li> <li>Over 80% of planned pilot sites set up for demonstration/study</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>i. Develop and implement site-specific technologies for different land classes and environments</li> <li>Measure/Action 11: Lessening dependency on I</li> </ul>	High and for liveli	M/A M/L & LD M/Plantation Inds.	0-10 years	4 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 50% of planned of technologies developed &amp; introduced within five years</li> <li>90% of land groups covered by new recommendations</li> <li>Over 80% of planned pilot sites set up for demonstration/study</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>i. Develop and implement site-specific technologies for different land classes and environments</li> <li>Measure/Action 11: Lessening dependency on I Justification for the action: To Promote off-farm incoded</li> </ul>	High High and for liveli	M/A M/L & LD M/Plantation Inds.	0-10 years	4 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 50% of planned of technologies developed &amp; introduced within five years</li> <li>90% of land groups covered by new recommendations</li> <li>Over 80% of planned pilot sites set up for demonstration/study</li> </ul>			

	Rank	Implementation					
<ul> <li>Promote diversification of land-based livelihood activities</li> </ul>	High	M/A M/Plantation Inds.	0-10 years	1.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Over 20% of farmers adopting alternative off-farm livelihoods per year</li> <li>80% of planned innovative solutions introduced</li> </ul>		
Total Cost of the Technology 2 Approx: US \$ 40.05 million							

V. High = Very High; M/A - Ministry of Agriculture; M/I & WRMgt. - Ministry of Irrigation and Water Resource Management; M/L & LD - Ministry of Land and Land Development; M/De &UD - Ministry of Defence and Urban Development; M/ED - Ministry of Education; M/DM - Ministry of Disaster Management; M/H - Ministry of Health; M/Env - Ministry of Environment; NGOs - Non-Governmental Organizations

# 1.4. Action Plan for Technology 3: Crop Diversification and Precision Farming

### 1.4.1. Description of the Technology

Crop diversification (CD) is adding new crops or introducing cropping systems to a particular farm taking into account the different returns from value added crops with complementary marketing opportunities. Forty eight agro-ecological zones that have been identified in Sri Lanka is a major driver for crop diversification. Crop diversification increases nutritional security thereby balancing food demand in lieu of increasing food security. Increasing productivity in specific ecosystems is the only enabling option to meet increasing demand for food and non-food agricultural products. Crop Diversification coupled with Precision Farming (CD&PF) enables improving accuracy and efficiency of inputs. This can be achieved by matching inputs and practices based on precise needs of crops and eco systems and reduced use of water, fertilizer, pesticide, and labor while assuring quality of produce, productivity through regulation of micro-environment, improving feed and fodder production, and timely veterinary care.

In the context of CC adaptation, Crop Diversification and Precision Farming (CD&PF) helps to build resilience in agricultural systems by increasing diversity and enhancing the capacity of crops to withstand climate-related shocks. Diversity serves as a buffer to increase the ability of agricultural systems to tolerate effects of rising climate variability and extreme events. The predominant position of rice cultivation could have negative impacts on food security in view of increased vulnerability due to inadequate crop diversity. Climate change impacts can influence crop growing conditions in a manner that reverses economic benefits of mass transformation to mono-crop systems thereby making diversification more attractive. Precision farming can complement crop diversification in securing a sustainable agricultural system. Precision farming could match agricultural inputs and practices based on crop specific needs in a specific eco system to optimize accuracy and efficiency of inputs. Precise application of inputs ensures avoiding overuse or under use of inputs protecting soil health and environment.

### 1.4.2. Target for the Technology Transfer and Diffusion

Target identified in the Technology Action Plan for Crop Diversification and Precision Farming is diversification of 80,000 ha of marginal lands presently cultivated with rice under major irrigation schemes, 100,000 ha of rice lands (from over 200,000 ha of rice lands) not cultivated due to water shortage in the minor (Yala) season and 75,000 ha of marginal lands under Plantation crops to other food crops and pasture cultivation over a 15-year period.

### 1.4.3. Barriers to the Technology's Diffusion

Ten (10) barriers having the potential for negatively impacting upon the success of technology transfer and diffusion of CD&PF have been identified. These barriers comprised of two from the economic/financial category and others mostly from policy, legal & regulatory, institutional, organizational capacity and network failures. Lack of attention for the development of the non-rice crop sector appears to be the root cause for many problems in this sector. List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for Crop Diversification and Precision Farming is given in table 1.12.

Techno	Technology Name: Crop Diversification & Precision Farming (CD & PF)								
		Priority							
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Rank	Category of Barriers						
		(1 – 5)							
1.	High risk of marketing due to seasonal	1	Market failure/Imperfection						
	production								
2.	Price fluctuation due to unstable import policy	1	Economic and financial						
3.	Irrigation network designs not conducive for	1	Other						
	diversification								
4.	Lack of varieties and management packages	1	Institutional and organizational						
	suitable for diversification		capacity						
5.	Under-developed marketing system- No	1	Network failures						
	penetration of rural markets and lack of timely		Information and awareness						
	and accurate market information								
6.	Inadequate post harvest technologies and	1	Institutional and organizational						
	processing infrastructure		capacity						
7.	High cost of cultivation including labor cost	2	Economic and financial						
8.	Fragmentation of land holdings	2	Policy, legal, and regulatory						
9.	Unfavorable land tenancy arrangements for	2	Policy, legal, and regulatory						
	diversification from rice								
10.	Poor technical knowledge on the cultivation of	3	Information and awareness						
	new crops & precision farming								

# Table 1.12: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for Crop Diversification and Precision Farming

# 1.4.4 Proposed action plans for Technology 3: Crop Diversification & Precision Farming (CD&PF)

Suggested actions under Crop Diversification and Precision Farming are categorized under 10 key measures and comprise of 20 sub actions (Table1.13). CD&PF technology includes measures aimed at harnessing a range of technology components to enhance food production and improve efficient resource use.

The priority actions relating to the technology category addresses deficiencies in product marketing by removing price uncertainty and policy failures. These actions are based on the recognition of an available market for diversified crop products. However, access to the market would be handicapped by poor planning and coordination.

On the production side, actions are designed so as to improve technology supply by strengthening R&D and create a favorable environment for crop diversification under irrigation systems which are designed only for rice production. Need for improvements in the food technology and product development to increase demand for produce is also recognized. It is also proposed to remove structural constraints caused by poor tenancy arrangements and land fragmentation. Improving competitiveness of the produce by addressing cost escalation and by increasing productivity are also identified as areas requiring action.

The Proposed Action Plan for Crop Diversification and Precision Farming is provided in table 1.13.

# FOOD SECTOR

# Technology Action Plan for Technology 3

### Table 1.13: Proposed Action Plan for the Crop Diversification & Precision Farming

Measure/Action 1: Lowering marketing risk arising from seasonal production								
Justification for the action: To enhance resilience & assure food security								
Action/Sub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
i. Develop and implement Crop forecasting and marketing advisory service	V. High	M/A DC&S M/Co-Op & IT	0-10 years	1.5 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Crop Forecasts and Price Reporting systems in place for all major crops within two years</li> </ul>			
ii. Develop value added techniques to preserve perishables	V. High	M/A M/T&R	0-10 years	2.5 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 10% of planned new processed products introduced and marketed annually</li> </ul>			
iii. Develop & implement technologies for off season cultivation	V. High	M/A M/I & WRMgt. M/Plantation Inds.	0-5 years	1.5 M Domestic	- 80% of planned technologies introduced within five years			
Measure/Action 2: Contain price fluctuations due to volatile import policy								
Justification for the action: To prevent frequer	nt price fluct	uation due to volatile im	port policy					

Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
i. Adopt transparent and stable tariff policy framework	V. High	M/A M/F&P M/Co-Op & IT	0-15 years	No Cost	- Long-term tariff bounds introduced
Measure/Action 3: Making irrigation networ	k designs fa	vorable for diversificatio	n		
Justification for the action: To persuade to ad	lopt crop div	ersification		L	
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
i. Modify irrigation network design for greater flexibility	V. High	M/I & WRMgt. M/A	0-15 years	10 M International	<ul> <li>Area covered by the modified canal system and tanks at the end of the project period</li> <li>At least 70% irrigation systems with modified schedules</li> </ul>
Measure/Action 4: Developing varieties/	Breeds and	management packages	suitable for	diversification	
Justification for the action: To ensure food se	curity and e	nhance resilience to Clir	mate Chang	vulnerability	
Action (Sub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
i. Develop and introduce suitable crops/ pastures /varieties/Breeds and technologies	V. High	M/A M/LD M/I & WRMgt. M/Plantation Inds	0-10 years	10 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 80% of planned new crops/ pastures/varieties/Breeds released at the end of the project period</li> <li>Over 50% of planned technology packages developed &amp; introduced within 5 years.</li> </ul>

# Measure/Action 5:

Improving marketing system- Increase penetration of rural markets and providing timely and accurate market information

Justification for the action: To increase farmers' income & food accessibility

Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
i. Improve the road connectivity and marketing network	High	M/ED	0-15 years	10 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>80% of planned KM Improved at the end of the project period</li> <li>Road density in farming areas</li> </ul>			
ii. Develop marketing information and price reporting system	High	M/A M/Co-Op & IT	0-10 years	1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>Price information system introduced and operated within the project period</li> </ul>			
iii. Encourage appropriate public and private institutional arrangements	High	M/A M/Co-Op & IT	0-2 years	1 M Domestic	<ul> <li>80% of planned supply chains developed in 2 years.</li> <li>Over 80% of planned markets developed in 2 years</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 6: Improving post harvest technologies and processing infrastructure								
Justification for the action: To Stabilize price f	luctuation a	nd ensure food security						
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
i. Develop appropriate post harvest	High	M/A	0-15	5 M	- Over 80% of planned cold chains introduced			

technologies including cold chain and		M/Co-Op & IT	years	Domestic &	and operated at the end of the project period			
cold storage facilities				International	- Volume of produce handled by cold chain			
					network annually after 3rd year			
					- Volume of food marketed as processed			
ii Develop food processing and support		Μ/Δ	0-10	2 M	products annually after 3rd year			
n. Develop lood processing and support	High		0-10	domostio	Variation of processed food evoluble in the			
			years	domestic				
					market			
iv. Establish storage facilities for	High	M/A	0-3 vears	1 M	- 90% of planned of storage facilities			
Onion/Grains	riigii	M/ED	0-5 years	Domestic	established within 3 years			
Measure/Action 7: Lowering cost of prod	uction inclu	ding labour cost						
Justification for the action: To increase farmers' income								
	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance			
Action/Sub Action No i. Introduce and implement agricultural	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame 0-15	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M	Indicators     Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance     system introduced within two years			
Action/Sub Action No i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation M/F&P M/A	Time frame 0-15 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic	Indicators     Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance     system introduced within two years     No of beneficiaries annually			
Action/Sub Action No i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation M/F&P M/A	Time frame 0-15 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic	Indicators  - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years - No of beneficiaries annually - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th			
Action/Sub Action No i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation M/F&P M/A	Time frame 0-15 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th			
Action/Sub Action No  i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme  ii. Introduce appropriate mechanization	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation M/F&P M/A	Time frame 0-15 years 5-10	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M Domestic &	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th year.			
Action/Sub Action No i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme ii. Introduce appropriate mechanization	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for ImplementationM/F&P M/AM/A	Time frame 0-15 years 5-10 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M Domestic & International	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th year.         - Over 70% of planned machinery units in			
Action/Sub Action No  i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme  ii. Introduce appropriate mechanization	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for ImplementationM/F&P M/AM/A	Time frame 0-15 years 5-10 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M Domestic & International	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th year.         - Over 70% of planned machinery units in operation after 8th year.			
Action/Sub Action No  i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme  ii. Introduce appropriate mechanization	Priority Rank High High	Responsibility for Implementation M/F&P M/A M/A	Time frame 0-15 years 5-10 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M Domestic & International	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th year.         - Over 70% of planned machinery units in operation after 8th year.			
Action/Sub Action No         i. Introduce and implement agricultural credit and insurance scheme         ii. Introduce appropriate mechanization         Measure/Action 8:       Reducing fragmentation	Priority Rank High High	Responsibility for         Implementation         M/F&P         M/A         M/A         oldings	Time frame 0-15 years 5-10 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3 M Domestic 5 M Domestic & International	Indicators         - Integrated ag. credit and crop insurance system introduced within two years         - No of beneficiaries annually         - 60% of planned mechanized farms after 8th year.         - Over 70% of planned machinery units in operation after 8th year.			

Action/Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
i. Modify the legal framework to favor land	Medium	M/A	0-5 vears	0.05 M	- New land titling and tenancy law introduced
consolidation		M/L& LD		Domestic	within 5 years
Measure/Action 9: Making Land tenancy	arrangeme	nts diversification friendl	у		
Justification for the action: To increase land p	productivity a	and there by productivity	,		
Action (Sub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
i Amond to puriou or an anomato		M/L	5-10	0	- Modified land tenure system introduced within
1. Amena tenunai arrangements	Medium	M/A& LD	years	0	10 years.
Measure/Action 10: Raising technical know	vledge on th	e cultivation of new crop	os & precisio	n farming methods	
Justification for the action: To resist CC vulne	erability and	increase input use effici	ency and se	cure food quality & sa	fety
Action/Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
Action/Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
i. Wide use of information technology and			0 10	4 M	Cyber extension systems in operation for all
electronic mass media for agricultural	Medium	M/A	0-10	Domestic &	key grone within five years
extension			years	International	key clops within live years
ii. Training and awareness creation on		M/A	0.10		
precision farming methods and food	Medium		U-IU	0.5 M	- 15% of planned of training classes per year
quality & safety			years		

iii. Develop/Improve integrated plant nutrient management packages	High	M/A M/Plantation Inds	0-10 years	1 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>Over 50% of planned crops covered by IPNS within five years</li> <li>% of farms adopting IPNS per year</li> </ul>
iv. Develop/Improve integrated pest and disease management technologies	High	M/A M/Plantation Inds	0-10 years	2 M Domestic & International	<ul> <li>60% of planned crops covered by IPM practices within five years</li> <li>% of farms adopting IPM per year</li> </ul>
Total Cost of the	Technology	Approx: US \$ 61.05 millio	n		

V. High = Very High; M/A - Ministry of Agriculture; M/I & WRMgt. - Ministry of Irrigation and Water Resource Management; M/L & LD - Ministry of Land and Land Development; M/ED - Ministry of Education; DC&S - Department of Census and Statistics; M/Co-Op & IT - Ministry of Cooperatives & Internal Trade; M/F&P - Ministry of Finance & Planning; M/T&R - Ministry of Technology and Research

# **CHAPTER 2**

# Technology Action Plan for the Health Sector

### 2.1 Actions at sectoral level

### 2.1.1 Short sector description:

Climate change affects the health of the humans directly as well as indirectly. The common direct health effects are, vector, including rodent and water borne diseases (Malaria, Dengue, Yellow fever, leptospirosis, viral hemorrhagic diseases and diarrheal diseases including Cholera). Conditions associated with extremes of temperature such as heat waves and cold spells also exist. The effect of natural disasters and extreme weather events causes many health effects on humans; some are immediate and others late. The immediate health effects are death and injury. Late ones are disability, communicable diseases, psychosocial problems etc. On the other hand, protracted or sudden weather events indirectly affect human health through crop failure, loss of live stock, livelihoods etc. Poor and underdeveloped countries and nations will be affected more compared to developed nations as they are capable of implementation of mitigation and adaptation mechanism to minimize human suffering<sup>9</sup>. Therefore, it is imperative to identify strategies and methods to reduce human suffering. As health sector produces negligible amount of green house gases (GHGs) and no actions are envisaged in reducing the GHGs already in the atmosphere, the sector can design and implement technologies related to climate change adaptation only.

The status and development of the health sector in Sri Lanka depends on the ability and capacity of the providers, aspirations and demands of the people of the country and the vision of the government. There should be a balance between the supply and demand as the major health provider remains to be the public sector though the private sector is rapidly growing. Another factor is that the existence of other systems providing healthcare services to population other than the Allopathic Medicine i.e. Aurvadic, Homeopathy, Unani, Siddhi, and Indigenous. Except for Aurvadic system government involvement in other systems is negligible at present. Moreover, currently the country is going through a transition period of economic growth and every sector is expanding and growing. Basically all the aforesaid factors influence the development of the health sector of the country. Currently the country enjoys a better health conditions among the South Asian countries. But due to various factors it is not a period to be complacent of the state of the health services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Climate Change and Human Health, Risks and Responses, Summar

y: WHO, WMO, UNEP; 2007. (ISBN 92 4 159081 5)

The Government of Sri Lanka has ratified the statements of the Convention of the WHO as a member state and the Ministry of Health (MoH) through its Vision, Mission and objectives which describes the roles of the sector provider, has aligned with the obligations of the Convention. The Vision is *"to build a healthier nation that contribute to its economic, social, mental and spiritual development*", and the Mission is *"to contribute to social and economic development of Sri Lanka by achieving the highest attainable health status through promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative services of high quality made available and accessible to people of Sri Lanka". The objectives are; to empower community for maintaining and promoting their health, to improve comprehensive health services and health actions, to strengthen stewardship and management functions, to improve management of human resources for health, and to improve health finances, mobilization, allocation and utilization. To achieve these objectives the health sector has to play different roles at different levels and during different phases of development (web. MoH) These commitments have been repeatedly emphasized in many plans, including health master plan (HMP) sub-sector policies and health sector policy statement (HPS). Currently, the health development activities are conducted according to the <i>Mahinda Chintana* i.e. the national program on sustainable development which is basically a reflection of the objectives of the MoH.

The total aggregate emission of GHGs in Sri Lanka estimated for 2000 amounts to 18,842 GgCO<sub>2</sub> equivalents from all sectors. With the uptake of 6,254 GgCO<sub>2</sub> equivalents from the land use change and forestry sector, the total net emission for the country is 12,589 GgCO<sub>2</sub> equivalents from all sectors<sup>10</sup>. This amount is negligible compare to the amounts emitted by the industrialized countries<sup>11</sup>. Though the health sector produces GHGs, mainly CO<sub>2</sub>, directly and indirectly, the amount of GHGs emitted by the health sector is negligible compared to that of Industry, Transport and Energy sectors.

The sector vulnerability profiles developed for Sri Lanka in 2010 has identified the health sector as one of the most critical sectors for the climate change vulnerability<sup>12</sup>. According to IPCC 2001 report, vulnerability varies with geographical location, time, social, economic and environmental conditions (IPCC.2001). The report also states that, the ability of human system to adapt to and cope with climate change depends on factors such as wealth, technology, education, information, skills, infrastructure, access to resource, and management capabilities.

#### Existing Policies and Laws related to Sector and Technology Development in the Health Sector

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ME,2011, Sri Lanka's Second National Communication on Climate Change

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> UNEP/WB, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ME, 2010, National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Sri Lanka- 2011 to 2016

# A) Existing Policies related to Health Sector

The Existing Policies and Laws related to Health Sector are given in table 2.1 & 2.2.

Title	Date Enacted/ Revised	Contents
Mahinda Chintana	2005 and	Strengthening of family, poverty alleviation, provision of shelter,
	2010	nation building, agriculture and industry development, energy
		policy, science & technology, health, sustainable development
National Policy and	2007	Objectives of the policy are (a) to establish eco efficient
Strategy on Cleaner		consumption patterns for optimum resource management in the
Production for health		framework of the health care system (b) to establish
Sector		environmentally sound waste management practices
		emphasizing preventive measures in the health care system (c)
		to promote social responsibility and community participation
		through eco-friendly consumption and production in the health
		sector (d) to inculcate CP consciousness among health care
		workers and professional for better curative and preventive
		services
National environmental	2003	The policy aims to promote the sound management of Sri
policy		Lanka's environment balancing the needs for social and
		economic development and environmental integrity. It also
		aims to manage the environment by linking together
		the activities, interests and perspectives of stakeholders and to
		assure environmental accountability
National policy on		Objectives of the policy are (a) to ensure environmental
Solid Waste		accountability and social responsibility of all waste generators,
management		waste managers and service providers (b) to actively involve
		individuals and all institutions in integrated and environmentally
		sound solid waste management practices (c) to maximize
		resource recovery with a view to minimize the amount of waste
		for disposal and (d) to minimize adverse environmental impacts
		due to waste disposal to ensure health and well being of the
		people and on ecosystems.
National Climate	2011	Strategic Trust Areas:

# Table 2.1: Existing Policies related to Health Sector

Change Adaptation		0	Mainstream climate change adaptation into national	
Strategy for Sri Lanka			planning and development	
(NCCAS)		0	o Enable climate resilient and healthy human settlements	
		<ul> <li>Minimize climate change impacts on Food security</li> <li>Improve climate resilience of key economic drivers</li> </ul>		
		0	o Safeguard Natural resources and biodiversity from climat	
			change impacts	

# Table 2.2: Existing Laws related to Health Sector

	Title	Date	Contents
		Enacted/	
		Amended	
1	Medical	1927	Registration of doctors, Dentists, Nurses,
	Ordinance No 26	Acts since	Pharmacists and AMOs
	and subsequent acts since	1949	Medical Faculty of Colombo, Penal erasure,
	1947		Medical Council
2	Quarantine and Prevention of	1897	Procedures to prevent spread of communicable
	Diseases Ordinance No 3 &		diseases
	subsequent amendments		
3	National Environmental Act	1980/1988/	Establishment of CEA, prevention of environment
	No. 47	2000	pollution, preservation of endangered eco-
			systems
4	Lepers Ordinance No. 4	1901	Ordinance to provide for the segregation and
	Chapter 560, Vol. 17 of		treatment for lepers. Segregation was done away
	Legislative Enactments		in a subsequent amendment
	& Subsequent amendments		
	since 1952		
5	Health Services Act No.12 &	1952/1956/	Constitution and responsibilities of the
	Subsequent amendments	1977	department of health, establishment of efficient
			administration by local authorities to ensure
			adequate Public health
6	Private Health Institution	2006	Regulations for registration, organization,
	Registration Act No. 21		monitoring, and further development
7	National Kidney Foundation	2006	Establishment of the national foundation
	of Sri Lanka Act No. 34		
8	Prevention of Mosquito 2007		Control of mosquito breeding sites, eradication of

-			
	breeding Act No. 11		places of mosquito breeding
9	Cosmetics, Devises and	1980	Production of Cosmetics, devises and drugs,
	Drugs Act No. 27 &		Importation of the same, distribution and trade
	Subsequent amendments		regulation and control; establishment of a CCD
			technical committee for the above, etc.
10	Control of Pesticides Act No.	1980	Control and regulate importation, transport,
	33	2011	storage and selling of pesticides in Sri Lanka
		(amendment)	

# 2.1.2 An overview of the prioritized technologies

The Technology Needs Assessment process nine potent technologies have been selected and following three were prioritized utilizing the Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) approach.

- a) Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on Extreme Weather events and other climate change related events
- b) Transfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel
- c) Technology for management of Health Care Waste
- a) Technology 1: Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change related events.

The importance of EWS is emphasized in its definition as "the provision of timely and effective information, through identified institutions, that allows individuals exposed to hazard to take action to avoid or reduce their risk and prepare for effective response."

This technology is not novel in the local context as there is some progress already taken place in this regard. The objective of selecting this technology is to sustain and to strengthen the ongoing activities and to fill the major gaps identified. Moreover, the economic, social, health and other benefits to populations override the resources incurred to develop and improve EWS and related systems.

### Target for technology transfer and diffusion and employment targets

The preliminary target group is comprised of the health personnel actively working in emergency and disaster related activities, health educators (Health education Officers, Public Health Inspectors etc), and health administrators at national and sub-national levels (Provincial, district and divisional). The approximate number of personnel to be benefited during the project period is 1250-1400. Nationwide diffusion of the technology will take eight to ten years. The current level of employment is restricted to the less than 100 personnel who marginally involved in EWS related activities and the future target for employment is 1500 personnel.

#### b) Technology 2: Transfer of knowledge and skills to health personnel.

Activities and projects under this technology are already taking place in the island. For example, presently an awareness program is being conducted by the Environmental and Occupational Health Directorate of the Ministry of Health for health workers at district level. Many other organizations are also conducting, school programs, public awareness activities, exhibitions etc. The primary goal of the technology is to go beyond the awareness creation and to provide the health workers with necessary knowledge, skills and attitudes to enhance adaptation measures in the society through health sector activities. Training of some master trainers to upscale the training activities is another objective. However, there are certain inherent problems that need to be rectified in order to make these programs successful. Firstly, the emphasis on climate change and its potential effects on human health have not received the due recognition in any of the ongoing training programs. Instead, disaster or emergency management is given the priority or the focus as the island is subject to many natural disasters like, floods, flash floods, thunderstorms, droughts, landslides and disease outbreaks over the potential risks of global warming and climate change. Secondly, almost all training activities are confined to class room teaching, basically lecture-demonstrations. Evaluation of the training and testing of knowledge and skills gained through trainings in the forms of drills and simulations are not done except in few occasions and even these are also not repeated over time. In addition, there are no follow-up actions to improve capacity and diffusion across the sector. Thirdly, the amount of time spent and the number of health personnel trained are very limited due to many constraints, including finances, shortage of trainers, absence of a training schedule, unavailability of training modules, and low priority given to climate change related health training by the training institutions.

The technology is less costly compared to the other interventions but the economic, social, health and educational benefits are immense. Diffusion of the technology is easy as the health personnel are already engaged in health activities. Any negative impacts on the environment are absent or minimal.

### Target for technology transfer and diffusion and employment targets

The preliminary target of beneficiaries of this technology is 2000-2500 health personnel during the project period. This number is comprised of 50 health personnel from each of the 25 districts, 750 from different institutions of the Ministry of Health, and 50 from Municipality health workers. The country wide diffusion of the technology will take 5-8 years. The new employment opportunities will be minimal except for replacements for attrition due to retirement, leaving etc.

#### c) Technology 3: Technology for management of health care waste.

The World Health Organization identifies health waste care management as a measure to reduce the burden of disease, including alternatives to incineration.<sup>13</sup> Of the total amount of waste generated by health-care related activities, about 80% is general waste comparable to domestic waste. The remaining 20% is considered hazardous material that may be infectious, toxic or radioactive. Waste and by-products cover a diverse range of materials, such as infectious waste, pathological waste, sharps, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, radioactive substances, genotoxins, and heavy metals. The major sources of health-care waste are hospitals and other health-care establishments, laboratories and research centers, mortuary and autopsy centers, animal research and testing laboratories, blood banks and collection services, and nursing homes for the elderly.

Improvements in health-care waste management rely on few key elements such as building a comprehensive system, addressing responsibilities, resource allocation, handling and disposal. This is a long-term process, sustained by gradual improvements, raising awareness of the risks related to health-care waste, and of safe and sound practices, and selecting safe and environmentally-friendly management options, to protect people from hazards when collecting, handling, storing, transporting, treating or disposing of waste<sup>14</sup>. Government commitment and support is needed for universal, long-term improvement, although immediate action can be taken locally.

#### Target for technology transfer and diffusion and employment targets

The preliminary target for technology transfer and diffusion is 25 selected major health institutions in the island. In implementing the planned projects, the implementers will be targeting institutions in underserved areas. The number of health workers subjected to the training component will be 300-350 @ 5 or 6 persons from each institution. It will take approximately 12 –15 years for transfer and diffusion of the technology island wide. The current employment status cannot be defined clearly as the sector specific policy is still at draft stage. The future employment target will be around 500.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> WHO, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> WHO, 2011

### 2.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures

Although the nature of the barriers will vary depending on the type of technology, yet there are some general or common barriers for all three technologies selected. Five general barriers identified for transfer and diffusion of the technologies are as follows;

- Economic and Financial aspects
- Institutional and organizational capacity
- Network failures
- Human Skills
- Information and awareness
- a) Barrier: Economic and Financial Inadequacy of financial resources to sustain the ongoing activities and to introduce new technologies. This barrier has relevance to all the three technologies. The expensive treatment technologies and lack of sustainability over time also reflects funding requirements. Further, there is a hidden component of economic and financial aspects in many other barriers identified

**Proposed Measures:** Allocation of sufficient funds from government sources, exploration of alternative and additional funding sources and mechanisms and development of policies conducive to successful transfer & diffusion of technologies are the measures recommended for overcoming this barrier.

b) Barrier: Institutional and organizational capacity - Seven key barriers of this category were identified for all three prioritized technologies. These have been considered as main barriers specifically for technology 1 and 2. Absence of an established structure in the sector, administrative gaps, underutilization of available trained personnel, poor coordination of training activities, unavailability of training plans, unavailability of monitoring mechanisms and shortage of technical staff to manage regular activities are the common barriers related to three technologies.

*Proposed Measures:* The proposed enabling measures are; a) assign focal points where necessary and align with existing national government structures through the focal points b) identify the administrative gaps and rectify the shortcomings with appropriate measures, c) make amendments to the HRH Policy facilitating utilization, d) design financial and non-financial incentive measures, e) appoint a training coordinator in the Ministry of Health, f) establish a coordination mechanism by the Ministry of Health with all training institutions, g) develop and share an annual training plan, h) provide authority to the directorate responsible for monitoring, i) develop monitoring mechanism with suitable methods and implement on a regular basis, j) training of identified and interested personnel already in the staff, and k) pooling of staff from other sections.
c) Barrier: Network failures - Two key barriers of this category has been identified for technology 1 and 3. Networking for inter and intra agency information sharing at national and sub-national levels is the network failure related barrier for Technology 1. This is due to shortcomings in sharing EWS information between sectors as well as issues related to diffusion of information in a timely and regular manner. A similar issue has been identified for Technology 3 as *inadequate inter-sectoral coordination* for Healthcare Waste Management due to network failures between sectors.

*Proposed Measures:* The proposed enabling measures to eliminate network failure barriers are; a) Regularize the available information sharing mechanisms, b) extend information sharing mechanisms available for disease forecasting and outbreak control to other health issues, e) awareness creation for policy makers and top administrators, and f) strengthen the available coordination mechanisms.

*Barrier: Human Skills* - These barriers identified are Poor utilization of novel technologies for technology
 1, shortage of competent trainers for technology 2 and shortage of technical staff to manage regular activities for technology 3.

*Proposed Measures:* The proposed enabling measures are, a) training of identified and interested personnel already in service, b) pooling of staff from other sections, c) identify and implement affordable and appropriate new technologies, d) develop policies for maintenance (including preventive), repair and replacement of equipment used in such technologies, e) train adequate number of staff to implement the technologies, f) make the service a closed-service g) identify a set of master trainers from other sectors as well, h) establish in-service carrier development opportunities , and j) provide necessary financial and non-financial incentives.

e) Barrier: Information and awareness – The general barriers under this category are poor utilization of novel technologies for the purposes of EWS for technology 1 and poor awareness among health personnel including administrators for Technology 3.

*Proposed Measures:* The proposed enabling measures are, a) create awareness using existing forums, and b) utilization of mass media to the extent possible.

## 2.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies

The specific measures proposed for prioritized technologies in the health sector are given below.

## Table 2.3: Measures proposed for technology 1: Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change related events

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Allocation of adequate funds by the government and explore alternative funding sources and
	mechanisms
2.	Align with the existing Government structure (National Disaster Management Centre of the
	Ministry of Disaster Management)
3.	Rectify the issues related to administrative gaps
4.	Improve utilization of novel technologies for the purposes of EWS
5.	Regular review and monitoring of policy; Stakeholder awareness creation on existing policies and
	stakeholder involvement in policy reviews
6.	Improve and enhance the use of available trained persons

## Table 2.4: Measures proposed for technology 2: Transfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Provide sufficient funds and facilities for training and human resource development
2.	Establish and strengthen a coordination unit and a mechanism. Preparation and sharing of an
	annual training calendar, and to solicit technical assistance from other agencies.
3.	Explore and provide opportunities to use modern educational methodologies and technologies
4.	Conduct training needs assessments and design trainings accordingly
5.	Development and inclusion of an M &E mechanism into an existing system to monitor and
	evaluate transfer and diffusion of knowledge.
6.	Provide financial and non-financial benefits, pooling of trainers from other sectors, provide due
	recognition to trainers

## Table 2.5: Measures proposed for technology 3: Technology for management of Health Care Waste

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Explore funding sources, public-private partnerships and identification of low-cost technologies
2.	Advocacy creation, illustrate evidence of ignorance and solicit technical assistance from UN and
	other agencies
3.	Awareness creation among health personnel
4.	A combination of conducting feasibility studies on different technologies and implementation of
	sustainable technologies
5.	Train interested and qualified in-service persons, open avenues for carrier development and take
	measures to retain personnel for a stipulated period
6.	To improve inter agency coordination

# 2.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change related events

## 2.2.1 Description of the technology

Early warning (EW) is "*the provision of timely and effective information, through identified institutions, that allows individuals exposed to hazard to take action to avoid or reduce their risk and prepare for effective response.*", and is the integration of following four main elements<sup>15</sup>.

- Risk Knowledge: Risk assessment provides essential information to set priorities for mitigation and prevention strategies and designing early warning systems.
- Monitoring and Predicting. Systems with monitoring and predicting capabilities provide timely estimates
  of the potential risk faced by communities, economies and the environment.
- Disseminating Information: Communication systems are needed for delivering warning messages to the potentially affected locations to alert local and regional governmental agencies.
- The messages need to be reliable, synthetic and simple to be understood by authorities and public.
- Response: Coordination, good governance and appropriate action plans are a key point in effective early warning. Likewise, public awareness and education are critical aspects of disaster mitigation.

The basic rationale behind early warning is that the earlier and more accurately we are able to predict short and long-term potential risks associated with natural and human-induced hazards, the more likely we will be able to manage and mitigate disasters' impact on society, economies, and environment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> United Nations (UN), 2006, International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR)

Early warning systems help to reduce economic losses and mitigate the number of injuries or deaths from a disaster, by providing information that allows individuals and communities to protect their lives and property. Effective early warning systems embrace all aspects of emergency management, such as: risk assessment analysis, which is one of early warning system's design requirements; monitoring and predicting location and intensity of the natural disaster waiting to happen; communicating alerts to authorities and to potentially affect; and responding to the disaster.

EWS is not a new technology to Sri Lanka. It has come into existence as a response to the impact of the tsunami disaster in 2004. Basically, the issue in Sri Lanka is not establishment of a EWS as a new technology, but to transform the existing EWS to be effective. The objective of selecting this technology is to sustain and to strengthen the ongoing activities and to fill the major gaps identified. Moreover, the economic, environmental, social, health and other benefits to populations override the resources incurred to develop and improve EWS and related systems.

## 2.2.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

The preliminary target group is 'selected health personnel' actively working in emergency and disaster related activities, health educators (health education officers, public health inspectors etc), and health administrators at national and sub-national levels (provincial, district and divisional). The approximate number to be benefited during the project period is 1250-1400 health personnel. Island wide diffusion of the technology will take eight to ten years.

## 2.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Six (06) key potential barriers have been identified for technology transfer and diffusion of 'Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change related events'. Of the key barriers, one belonged to the category of economic and financial barriers and the other five are non-financial related. The non-financial barriers are related to institutional and organizational capacity, policy, legal and regulatory and human skills.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for Technology 1 is given in table 2.6.

#### Table 2.6: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for Technology 1

Technology Name: Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme										
weather events and other climate change related events										
		Priority								
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Rank	Category of Barriers							
		(1 – 5)								
1.	Inadequacy of financial resources	4	Economic and financial							
2.	Absence of an established structure for EWS	1	Institutional and organizational							
	and networking for inter agency information		capacity							
	sharing									
3.	Administrative gaps in relevant sectors	2	Institutional and organizational							
			capacity							
4.	Poor utilization of novel technologies for the	3	Institutional and organizational							
	purposes of EWS		capacity							
5.	Feeble policies and policy reviews	5	Policy, legal and regulatory							
6.	Underutilization of available trained people	6	Human skills							

## 2.2.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for 'Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on extreme weather events and other climate change related events' is provided in table 2.7.

## HEALTH SECTOR

## Action Plan for Technology 1

## Table 2.7: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 1: Technology for Early Warning Systems and networking for information exchange on Extreme Weather events and other climate change related events

Measure/Action 1: Allocation of sufficient amount of funds by the government; Exploration for alternative funding sources and mechanisms								
Justification for the action: To ensure adequate finance	ces for techn	ology implementation						
Action /Sub Action Priority Responsibility of Time Cost & Funding Indicators								
No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source (US \$)				
		Ministry of Health/						
I. Advocacy for Legislators, Policy Planners,	V High	Ministry of Disaster	0.1.voor	10,000 IF	- Number of programs conducted			
NGOs, UN and Donors	v. rign	Management/ Ministry of	0-1 year		within one year			
		Finance						
		Ministry of Health/			60% increase of appual financial			
II. Explore alternative funding sources and	V Llink	Ministry of Disaster	0.0	2 000 IE	- 00% increase of annual mancial			
mechanisms	v. nigh	Management/ Ministry of	0-5 year	3,000 IF	allocation by the end of 5 years			
Finance								
Measure/Action 2: Align with existing government structures and establishment of a inter and intra agency network								
Justification for the action: To address issues related	to inter and i	ntra agency information shar	ing					

Action /Sub Action	Priority Responsibility of		Time	Cost & Funding	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source (US \$)	
I. Assess suitable and sustainable networking	V High	Ministry of Hoalth	0.1 year	3 000 ¢ LIS IE	- Number of methods selected
methods	v. nign		0-1 year	3,000 \$ 03 IF	In one year
II. Establish focal units and focal points at all		Ministry of		5 000 \$ US	- Number of administrative levels
administrative levels (National/ Provincial, and	V. High	Health/National Disaster	0-1 year	DF	covered by the end of one year
District) down to the grass roots		Management Centre			
III. Establish a network down to the grass roots		Ministry of		10.000 \$ US	- Networking system in place by end of
level by identifying focal points at different	V. High	Health/National Disaster	0-3 years	10,000 \$ 00	2 years
levels.		Management Centre		11	5 years
Measure/Action 3: Rectify the issue of administra	ative gaps				
Justification for the action: To increase awareness or	n climate cha	nge and its impacts.			
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility of	Time	Cost &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	
				Source (US \$)	
I. Advocacy to all administrators at different levels		Ministry of Health/	0-1 year	3,000 \$ US	- Number of administrative units
	V. High	National Disaster		DF	covered by the end of one year
		Management Centre			
II. Provide training to all focal points on carrying			0-2 year	5,000 \$ US	- Number of focal points trained
out their duties and responsibilities	High	Ministry of Health		DF	- % of focal units regularly reporting by
					the end of two years

Measure/Action 4: Improve utilization of novel technologies for the purposes of EWS; Networking, training and related research and development							
Justification for the action: To introduce new, affordable and appropriate technologies							
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators		
I. Identify appropriate and affordable technologies.	High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Science and Technology Research	0-1 year	7,500 \$ US DF	- Number of technologies identified for implementation within the year		
<ul> <li>II. a) Train personnel for the technologies to be used, including Training needs assessment.</li> <li>b) Preparation of training modules, pre-testing, identification of health personnel to be trained and trainers</li> </ul>	High	Ministry of Health	0-1 year	15,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of assessments done by the end of first year</li> <li>Availability of modules by the end of first year</li> <li>Categories of health workers identified for training by the end of the first year</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>III. A second line of trained personnel to be on call, including Training of selected health personnel at different levels</li> </ul>	High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Disaster Management	0-8 years	15,000 \$ US IF	- Number of training programmes conducted per year		
IV. Promote R & D in new innovations for EWS	High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Technology and Technology Research	0-3 years	25,000 \$ US	- Number of new innovations for EWS developed at the end of three years		
	- la - la - af			listen and in sta			

Justification for the action: To enable regular policy reviews, updating as appropriate and increase policy awareness									
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility	Time frame	Cost & Funding (US \$)	Indicators				
I. All related policy revive every three years	Medium	Ministry of Health	0-3 years	3,500 \$ US DF	- Review policies by the end of three years				
<ul> <li>II. All health personnel provided information on policy measures through awareness, training activities</li> </ul>	Medium	Ministry of Health	0-3 years	2,500 \$ US	<ul> <li>% of health personnel made aware of the policy measures at the end of three years</li> </ul>				
Measure/Action 6: Improve and enhance the us	e of available	trained persons							
Justification for the action: To enhance training capacity	cities of healt	h institutions and improve ski	Justification for the action: To enhance training capacities of health institutions and improve skills of trainers.						
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility	Time frame	Cost & Funding (US \$)	Indicators				
Action /Sub Action I. Include a category in the HRH Policy from the existing cadre	Priority Rank Medium	Responsibility Ministry of Health	Time frame 0-1 year	Cost & Funding (US \$) 1,500 \$ US DF	Indicators  - EWS category included in the National HRH Policy by the end of one year				
Action /Sub Action         I. Include a category in the HRH Policy from the existing cadre         II. Address the issues related to rural (peripheral) retention	Priority Rank Medium Medium	Responsibility Ministry of Health Ministries of Health/Finance & Planning/ Public Administration	Time frame 0-1 year 0-1 year	Cost & Funding (US \$) 1,500 \$ US DF 1,500 \$ US DF	Indicators - EWS category included in the National HRH Policy by the end of one year - Number of measures implemented to rural retention by the end of one year				

	Development/ Ministry of Public Administration	retain personnel in the service by the end of two years				
Total Cost of	Approx: US \$ 113,000					

DF – Domestic Funds, IF – International Funds; V. High = Very High

## 2.3 Action plans for Technology 2: Transfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel

## 2.3.1 Description of the Technology

Activities and projects under this technology are already taking place in the island. For example, presently an awareness program is being conducted by the Environmental and Occupational Health Directorate of the Ministry of Health for health workers at district level. Many other organizations are also involved with awareness programs in the form of school programs, public lectures, exhibitions etc. The aim of the technology is to go beyond the awareness creation and to provide the health workers with necessary knowledge, skills and attitudes to enhance adaptation measures among the public through health sector initiatives. One other objective is to train some master trainers for the benefit of trainers while rectifying inherent problems associated with these programs.

The emphasis on climate change and its effects on human health are not highlighted in any of the ongoing training programs. Instead, disaster or emergency management is given the priority as the island is subjected to many natural disasters such as floods, flash floods, thunderstorms, droughts, earth slips and disease outbreaks without appreciating the fact that most natural disasters are global warming and climate change induced. Almost all training activities are confined to class room activities such as lecture-demonstrations. Evaluation of the training, testing of knowledge and skills acquired through trainings in the forms of drills and simulations are not undertaken except in few occasions. Even such actions are not repeated over time. In addition, there are no follow-up actions to improve capacity and diffusion across the sector. The amount of time spent and the number of health personnel trained is limited due to many constraints, including finances, shortage of trainers, absence of a training calendar, unavailability of training modules, and low priority given to climate change related health training by training institutions.

The technology is less costly compared to the other interventions but the economic, social, health, educational benefits are immense. It is easy to diffuse the technology as the health personnel are already in place and Negative impacts on the environment is minimal.

## 2.3.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

The preliminary target of beneficiaries for this technology is 2000-2500 health personnel during the project period. This includes fifty (50) from health institutions in each of the 25 districts, 750 from different institutions of the line Ministry of Health, and 50 from Municipality health workers. The estimated duration of country wide diffusion of the technology is 5-8 years.

## 2.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

One economic and financial barrier and five non-financial barriers have been identified being impediments for the success of this technology. The first barrier is 'Unavailability of sufficient funds' and the proposed enabling measures are to increase the allocation of funds for the climate change related activities from the government. The authorities should pursue other options such as public-private partnerships, exploring funds from international agencies interested in climate change adaptation activities.

The non-financial barriers identified include one network failure, three institutional and organizational capacity and one human skill related. Poor coordination of training activities, under utilization of modern educational technologies, unavailability of a training calendar, unavailability of a mechanisms to monitor diffusion of knowledge and skills and shortage of competent trainers are the non-financial barriers identified for the technology 'transfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel'.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 2 is given in table 2.8.

Technology Name: Transfer of Knowledge and Skills to Health Personnel							
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1 – 5)	Category of Barriers				
1.	Unavailability of sufficient funds	2	Economic and financial				
2.	Poor coordination of training activities	1	Network failure				
3.	Modern educational technologies are not utilized	3	Institutional and organizational capacity				
4.	Unavailability of a training calendar	4	Institutional and organizational capacity				
5.	Unavailability of a mechanism/s to monitor diffusion of knowledge and skills, including to the general public	5	Institutional and organizational capacity				
6.	Shortage of competent trainers	6	Human skills				

#### Table 2.8: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2

2.3.4 Proposed Action Plans for Technology 2: Transfer of Knowledge and Skills to Health Personnel The Proposed Action Plan for Transfer of Knowledge and Skills to Health Personnel is provided in table 2.9.

## HEALTH SECTOR

## Action Plan for Technology 2

Table 2.9: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 2: Transfer of knowledge and skills to Health Personnel

Measure/Action 1: Provide sufficient funds (government and other avenues) and facilities for training and human resource development								
Justification for the action: To improve financial in	Justification for the action: To improve financial inputs from different sources and to reform the unfavorable policy issues as appropriate							
Action /Sub Action         Priority         Responsibility of         Time         Cost & Funding         Indicators								
No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source (US \$)				
I Advance and awaranasa programs for					- Number of programs conducted by the end			
I. Advocacy and awareness programs for	V Llieb	Ministry of Llookh	0.0	year 4,500 \$ US IF	of two years			
registators, policy makers and donor	V. High Ministry of Heal	Ministry of Health	0-2 year		- Number of policy issues rectified by the end			
community including Private sector					of two years			
Measure/Action 2: Establish and strength	en a coordi	nation unit and a mech	nanism. Prej	paration and sharing	of an annual training calendar, and to solicit			
technical assistance from other agencies								
Justification for the action: To overcome issues re	lated to trair	ing through regularizin	g training act	ivities and by making	g training more diverse.			
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility of	Time	Cost & Funding	Indicators			
Rank Implementation frame Source (US \$)								
I. Establishment of a training coordination unit	V Lliab	Ministry of Haalth	0-11.5		- Training coordination unit established at the			
for training coordination	v. rign	Ministry of Health	year	10,000 \$ 03 DF	end of one and half years			
II Develop an annual training calendar (training	V. High	Ministry of Health/	0-1 year	2,500 \$ US DF				

plan)		Ministry of Disaster			- Training calendar made available at the end				
		Management			of one year				
Measure/Action 3: Conduct training needs assessments and design trainings accordingly									
Justification for the action: To enable undertaking	regular trair	ning needs assessment	of the Health	Ministry staff and de	evelop training curricula accordingly.				
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility of	Time	Cost & Funding	Indicators				
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source (US \$)					
I. Conduct training needs assessments across the sector	V. High	Ministry of Health	0-1 years	2,500 \$ US DF	- Training needs assessment completed by the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year				
II. Development of appropriate curricula for training	V. High	Ministry of Health /Ministry of Education	0-1 year	5,000 \$ US IF	- New curricula for training made available by the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year				
III. Training of health personnel	V. High	Ministry of Health/Provincial and District Health Authorities	0-5 years	15,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of districts covered out of 25 by the end of three years</li> <li>Number of programmes completed by the end of first three years</li> </ul>				
IV. Conduction of drills and simulations	High	Ministry of Health/ NDMC/ Ministry of Public Administration/ Ministry of Defence	0-8 years	200,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of drills conducted by end of first three years</li> <li>Number of institutions involved as a percentage by the end of first three years</li> </ul>				
Measure/Action 4: Explore and provide opportunities to use modern educational methodologies and technologies									

Justification for the action: To enable replacing student entered, class room activities with outdoor activity based technology transfer and skills development					
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators
I. Identification of appropriate training methodologies to use in training programs (Under 3. III)	High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Education	0-1 year	5,000 \$ US DF	- Number of new educational methodologies identified by end of the 1 <sup>st</sup> year.
Measure/Action 5: Development and inclusion of a M &E mechanism into an existing system to monitor and evaluate transfer and diffusion of knowledge, and recording lessons learned for incorporation into future M &E purposes					
Justification for the action: To introduce regular n	nonitoring ar	nd evaluation system an	d to assess i	mpact of transfer and	l diffusion of knowledge
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility of	Time	Cost & Eunding	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source (US \$)	indicators
<ol> <li>Recruitment and training of monitoring teams, establishment of a monitoring mechanism, and implementation of regular monitoring activities across the country</li> </ol>	Rank High	Ministry of Health	frame	Source (US \$)	<ul> <li>Number of monitoring events conducted annually</li> <li>Number of different means used for monitoring</li> <li>Annual percentage of coverage</li> </ul>
I. Recruitment and training of monitoring teams, establishment of a monitoring mechanism, and implementation of regular monitoring activities across the country	High	Ministry of Health	0-8 years	Source (US \$)	<ul> <li>Number of monitoring events conducted annually</li> <li>Number of different means used for monitoring</li> <li>Annual percentage of coverage</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Recruitment and training of monitoring teams, establishment of a monitoring mechanism, and implementation of regular monitoring activities across the country</li> <li>Measure/ Action 6: Provide financial and nor</li> </ol>	High	Ministry of Health	0-8 years	Source (US \$)	<ul> <li>Number of monitoring events conducted annually</li> <li>Number of different means used for monitoring</li> <li>Annual percentage of coverage</li> </ul> a due recognition to trainers
<ul> <li>Recruitment and training of monitoring teams, establishment of a monitoring mechanism, and implementation of regular monitoring activities across the country</li> <li>Measure/ Action 6: Provide financial and nor Justification for action: To address issues related</li> </ul>	High High	Implementation Ministry of Health enefits, pooling of trainers.	0-8 years	Source (US \$)	<ul> <li>Number of monitoring events conducted annually</li> <li>Number of different means used for monitoring</li> <li>Annual percentage of coverage</li> </ul> a due recognition to trainers
<ul> <li>Recruitment and training of monitoring teams, establishment of a monitoring mechanism, and implementation of regular monitoring activities across the country</li> <li>Measure/ Action 6: Provide financial and nor Justification for action: To address issues related Action /Sub Action</li> </ul>	High High h-financial be to shortage Priority	Ministry of Health	frame 0-8 years rs from other Time	Source (US \$)	Number of monitoring events conducted annually     Number of different means used for monitoring     Annual percentage of coverage     a due recognition to trainers     Indicators

<ol> <li>Establishment and implementation of measures to address the issue of shortage competent trainers</li> </ol>	Medium	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Environment	0-2 years	5,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of pooled trainers in the roster at the end of two years</li> <li>Number of Financial and non-financial measures adopted to retain them at the end of two years</li> </ul>
Total Cost of T	echnology 2		Approx: US \$ 284,	500	

DF – Domestic Funds, IF – International Funds; V. High = Very High

## 2.4 Action plans for Technology 3: Technology for management of Health Care Waste

## 2.4.1 Description of the technology

The World Health Organization identifies health care waste management as a measure to reduce the burden of disease, including alternatives to incineration<sup>16</sup>. Of the total amount of waste generated by health-care activities, about 80% is general waste comparable to domestic waste. The remaining 20% is considered hazardous material that may be infectious, toxic or radioactive. Waste and by-products cover a diverse range of materials, such as infectious waste, pathological waste, sharps, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, radioactive substances, genotoxins, and heavy metals. The major sources of health-care waste are: hospitals and other health-care establishments, laboratories and research centres, mortuary and autopsy centres, animal research and testing laboratories, blood banks and collection services, and nursing homes for the elderly.

High-income countries generate on average up to 0.5 kg of hazardous waste per bed per day while lowincome countries generate on average 0.2 kg. However, health-care waste is often not separated into hazardous or non-hazardous wastes in low-income countries making the real quantity of hazardous waste much higher.

Health-care waste contains potentially harmful micro-organisms which can infect hospital patients, healthcare workers and the general public. Other potential infectious risks may include the spread of drug-resistant micro-organisms from health-care establishments into the environment. Waste and by-products can also cause injuries such as radiation burns, sharps-inflicted injuries etc. Poisoning and pollution due to improper disposal of health care waste could occur through the release of pharmaceutical products, in particular, antibiotics and cytotoxic drugs, waste water; and toxic elements or compounds, such as mercury or dioxins that are released during incineration. The Risks associated with waste disposal are indirect health risks that may occur by the release of toxic pollutants into the environment through treatment or disposal.

Incineration of waste has been widely practiced but inadequate incineration or the incineration of unsuitable materials results in the release of pollutants and ash residues into the air. Incinerated materials containing chlorine can generate dioxins and furans, which are human carcinogens and have been associated with a range of adverse health effects. Incineration of heavy metals or materials with high metal content (in particular lead, mercury and cadmium) can lead to the spread of toxic metals in the environment. Dioxins, furans and metals are persistent and bio-accumulate in the environment. Materials containing chlorine or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> WHO, 2011

metal should therefore not be incinerated. Only modern incinerators operating at 850-1100 °C and fitted with special gas-cleaning equipment are able to comply with the international emission standards for dioxins and furans. Alternatives to incineration are now available, such as autoclaving, microwaving, steam treatment integrated with internal mixing, and chemical treatment.

Improvements in health-care waste management rely on building a comprehensive system, addressing responsibilities, resource allocation, handling and disposal. This is a long-term process, sustained by gradual improvements, raising awareness of the risks related to health-care waste, and of safe and sound practices;,and selecting safe and environmentally-friendly management options, to protect people from hazards when collecting, handling, storing, transporting, treating or disposing of waste<sup>17</sup>. Government commitment and support is needed for universal, long-term improvement, although immediate action can be taken locally.

## 2.4.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

The preliminary target for technology transfer and diffusion is 25 selected major health institutions in the island. In implementing the planned projects, the main emphasis will be for targeting institutions in underserved areas. The number of health workers to be will be 300-350 (5 or 6 persons from each institution). It will take approximately twelve to fifteen years for transfer and diffusion of the technology island wide.

## 2.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Two economic and financial barriers and four non-financial barriers have been identified and the economic and financial barriers included '*Treatment technologies of health care waste are expensive*' and '*Lack of sustainability of ongoing implemented activities due to financial constraints*'. Non-financial barriers included one each from Information and awareness, Institutional and organizational capacity, Social, cultural and behavioral and Network failure categories.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 3 is given in table 2.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> WHO, 2011

Technology Name: Technology for management of Health Care Waste						
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1 – 5)	Category of Barriers			
1.	Treatment technologies of health care waste are expensive	1	Economic and financial			
2.	Lack of sustainability of ongoing activities due to financial constraints	3	Economic and financial			
3.	Poor awareness among health personnel including administrators	2	Information and awareness			
4.	Shortage of technical staff to manage regular healthcare waste activities	4	Institutional and organizational capacity			
5.	Uncommitted attitude of policy planners and administrators	5	Social, cultural and behavioral			
6.	Inadequate inter-sectoral coordination	6	Network failures			

## Table 2.10: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 3

## 2.4.4 Proposed Action Plans for Technology 3: Technology for management of Health Care Waste

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology for Management of Health Care Waste is provided in table 2.11.

## HEALTH SECTOR

## Action Plan for Technology 3

Table 2.11: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 3: Technology for management of Health Care Waste

Measure/Action 1: Exploration for additional funding sources, Public-private partnerships and Identification of appropriate and low-cost technologies for implementation

**Justification for the action:** To secure additional funding, explore partnerships and identify low-cost technologies to address issues related to high costs of implementation .

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators
I. Identification of financial sources, low-cost technologies, and establishment of a national information centre to facilitate public-private and other partnerships	V. High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Environment	0-2 years	25,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of parties providing</li> <li>resources by the end of two years</li> <li>Availability of partnership</li> <li>information reports by the end of</li> <li>two years</li> <li>Number of technologies</li> <li>implemented by the end of two</li> </ul>
					years

Measure/Action 2: A combination of conducting feasibility studies on different technologies and implementation of sustainable technologies

Justification for the action: To identify appropriate technol	Justification for the action: To identify appropriate technologies for ensuring sustainability of the programs				
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators
I. Study to identify appropriate, sustainable, and affordable technologies and implement the identified technologies.	V. High	Academic/Research institutions Ministry of Health	0-3 year	30,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Number of technologies identified and implemented by end of three year</li> <li>Availability of study reports by the end of the three year</li> </ul>
Measure/Action 3: Awareness creation among healt	h personne	1			
Justification for the action: To create awareness in order water.	to generate	e interest for healthcare waste mana	agement a	and to prevent ill	effects on the environment soil and
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators
I. Preparation of educational material, leaflets, booklets, posters	V. High	Ministry of Health / Ministry of Environment	0-1 year	10,000 \$ US DF	<ul> <li>Number of different educational materials prepared by the end of one year</li> </ul>
II. Awareness creation among health personnel at national and sub-national levels	V. High	Ministry of Health/Ministry of Environment/ Ministry of Education	0-1 year	7500 \$ US IF	- Number of awareness programmes conducted by the end of one year

## Measure/Action 4:

Train interested and qualified persons already in service, open avenues for carrier development and take measures to retain personnel for a stipulated period

Justification for the action: To overcome the barrier related to shortage of technical staff by providing required skills and Opportunities for carrier development

Action /Sub Action I Selection and provision of training and skills to health personnel across the sector	Priority Rank High	Responsibility of Implementation Ministry of Health/ Provincial Ministries of Health	Time frame 0-3 years	Cost & Funding Source (US \$) 15,000 \$ US IF	Indicators <ul> <li>Number of established institutes</li> <li>with proper waste management</li> <li>skills at the end of three years</li> </ul>
Measure/Action 5:       Advocacy creation, illustrate evidence of ignorance and solicit technical assistance from UN and other agencies         Justification for the action:       To overcome the obstacles due to lack of commitment by the policy planners and administrators					
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility of Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source (US \$)	Indicators
I Advocacy to administrators at national and sub- national levels	High	Ministry of Health/ Ministry of Environment	0-1 years	3,000 \$ US DF	- Number of Provinces covered by the end of one year
Measure/Action 6:       To improve the coordination between sectors         Justification for the action:       To address inter-sectoral coordination weaknesses					
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility of Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indicators

	Rank		frame	Funding	
				Source (US \$)	
<ol> <li>Strengthen the existing network to include the healthcare waste management</li> </ol>	High	Ministry of Health	0-2 years	20,000 \$ US IF	<ul> <li>Over 70 % of institutions connected with the network by the end of two years</li> <li>Number of sectors connected by the end of two years</li> </ul>
Total Cost of Technology 3				Approx: US \$ 1	11,000

DF – Domestic Funds, IF – International Funds; V. High = Very High

## **CHAPTER 3**

## Technology Action Plan for the Water Sector

## 3.1 Actions at sectoral level

Major action identified as adaptations to climate change under the water sector are to take measures to reduce water stress during droughts, reduce dependency on surface & ground water, storm water control & capture and ground water recharge. These measures are primarily targeted on rain water, surface run-off and ground water.

## 3.1.1 Short Sector description:

Based on the average annual rainfall, Sri Lanka is divided in to three climatic zones - wet, intermediate and dry zone. The Dry Zone of Sri Lanka includes much of the east, southeast and northern parts of the country. The southwest monsoon winds bring rainfall mainly to the wet-zone, while the north-east monsoon brings rainfall mainly to the dry and intermediate zones. The two inter-monsoonal periods bring rain spread over the entire country. Out of the total land area of 6.5 million ha, around 4 million ha belongs to the dry zone and it receives the least amount of rain fall. The "dry zone, receives between 1200 and 1900 mm of rain annually. Much of the rain in these areas falls from October to January; during the rest of the year there is very little precipitation. The arid northwest and southeast coasts receive the least amount of rain - 600 to 1200 mm per year, concentrated within the short period of the winter monsoon (Geography of Sri Lanka). High temperature, prevailing dry winds and non-availability of a plant cover are contributory factors in increasing high evaporation rates in the dry zone to exceed 2000 mm/year.

There are 103 distinct natural river basins that cover 90% of the island. Most of the cultivation of crops in the dry and intermediate zones is carried out using water from irrigated schemes comprising both ancient systems and modern systems<sup>18</sup>. More than 90% of the minor tank systems are clustered into cascades and these tank network systems have been built in water scarce areas by ancient kings mainly for agricultural purposes. The vast ancient reservoirs, minor and medium tanks and canals built by ancestors are supplemented with many recent large scale irrigation projects such as Victoria, Randenigala and Kotmale reservoirs. Minor tanks get water from surface water bodies, runoff and from direct rainfall.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> ME,2011, Sri Lanka's Second National Communication on Climate Change

Water is mainly used for domestic, irrigation, hydropower and industrial processes in the country. Economic status of the dry zone mainly depends on agriculture. Nationally, 37% of the population receives pipe borne water, which comprises 95% of the urban population. Over half of the piped water connections are in the Western province. Rural populations are supplied by small scale piped water schemes, hand pump operated tube wells, protected dug wells and harvested rainwater. Water stressed populations are periodically supplied water by bowsers by the National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) in cooperation with local authorities, NGOs and International NGOs (INGOs)<sup>19</sup>. The Water Resources Board Act No.29 of 1994 provides access for integrated planning and conservation of water resources, co-ordination of river basin surveys and studies and other measures to control economic uses of water.

**GHG emissions level and trends:** CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from domestic and commercial waste water management are 0.59 and 13.14 Gg respectively<sup>6</sup>.

**Vulnerability to climate change:** Climate change is likely to result in rapid temperature increases in Sri Lanka, faster than the average global rate of warming. Between 1961 and 1990, the temperature increase in Sri Lanka has been 0.016°C per year. Night time annual average temperatures have increased faster than daytime, up to a maximum of 0.02 °C per year. National level modeling undertaken by the Sri Lankan Centre for Climate Change Studies has reported that, by 2100 the temperature increase (2.9°C) during the northeast monsoon season (December to February) is more prominent than that of (2.5°C) during the southwest monsoon season (May to September). As temperature increases, evaporation of water increases and it will drive up the demand for irrigation water, contributing to water scarcity especially in the dry zone.

The projections of IPCC 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment report (2007)<sup>20</sup> the possible impacts of climate change due to changes in extreme weather and climate events, shows an increase in the frequency of heavy precipitation events over most areas. Adverse effects on quality of surface and groundwater is anticipated, contamination of water supply and water scarcity may be relieved. The area affected by drought increases and more widespread water stress is expected.

Based on the projections of the IPCC 3<sup>rd</sup> Assessment report and according to the Sri Lanka's second national communication on climate change (2012), the annual rainfall is likely to increase during the south west monsoon rains and decrease in north east monsoon rains. This would make the dry zone districts more vulnerable to droughts. The change in rainfall distribution has caused a shift in the demarcation between the dry and wet zones, with a reduction in the area of the wet zone. Increases in high rainfall events will increase soil erosion, which in turn accelerates the silting up of existing reservoirs, further contributing to water stress. Sea level rise can cause salt water intrusion and decrease in fresh water availability. The IPCC has categorized water sector in the South Asian region as one of the highly vulnerable

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> M/F&E, 2000, Initial National Communication under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
 <sup>20</sup> IPCC, 2007, Climate Change, Synthesis Report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007

sectors<sup>21</sup>. In addition, the sector vulnerability profiles developed for Sri Lanka in 2010 has identified Water sector as one of the most critical sectors for the climate change vulnerability<sup>22</sup>.

## Existing Policies and Legislation related to Sector and Technology Development in the Water Sector

The existing policy framework and legislation related to the sector development and technology deployment are given below.

Name of the Policy	Year		Responsible	Main contents
	Enacted	Revised	Authority	
1. Participatory	1988	-	Department of	- Full responsibility for O&M of small
Irrigation			Agrarian	or minor irrigation schemes were
Management (PIM)			Development	given to farmers.
Policy				- Responsibility of managing the
				head works and the main canal
				system were given to the irrigation
				agency.
				- Medium and major irrigation works
				were brought under joint
				management with FO
2. The national policy	2000	2011	Ministry of	- Recognize water as a basic human
on water supply and			Water Supply	need
sanitation			and Drainage /	-Identified that water has an
			NWSDB	economic value
				- User should bear the operational
				costs of drinking water provision
				and sewage and sanitation
				services
3. National policy for	2001	-	Ministry of	The government will assist the
Rural Water Supply &			Water Supply	promotion of the hygiene education
Sanitation Sector			and Drainage /	as an integral part of the Rural
				Water Supply Sector (RWSS)

Table 3.1:	Existing	Key	Policies	Involved:
------------	----------	-----	----------	-----------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Practical Action, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> ME, 2010, National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Sri Lanka- 2011 to 2016

			Sector development
4. National	2003	Ministry of	The quality and quantity of surface
Environment Policy		Environment	water, ground water and coastal
			waters will be managed to balance
			the current and future needs of
			ecological systems, communities,
			agriculture, fisheries, industry and
			hydroelectric generation.
5. National Rainwater	2005	Ministry of	Rainwater harvesting has been
Policy and Strategies		Urban	made mandatory, yet introduced in
		Development	phases, in all areas under municipal
		and Water	and urban council jurisdiction within a
		Supply	prescribed time period, as will be
			prescribed in law, for certain
			categories of buildings and
			development works, and shall be
			strongly promoted in all Pradeshiya
			Sabha areas.
6. National Policy on	2009		- Developing a broad set of strategies
Drinking Water			to promote the growth of the drinking
			water sector in terms of the coverage
			quality as well as the service
			delivery.
			- Provide guidance to all the actors
			involved in the sector
7. <i>Mahinda</i>	2006	Natinal	- Strategies to provide safe drinking
Chinthanaya		Planing	water to 90% of people by the year
		Department	2016
			- Complete rehabilitation of 10,000
			tanks by 2020.

Table 3.2: Existing Key Laws Involved:

Name of the	Year		Responsible	Main contents
Legislation	Enacted	Revised	Authority	
Urban Development	1978	2007	Ministry of	Development plans must incorporate
Authority Law			Urban	a rainwater harvesting scheme in
No.41,1978			Development	keeping with National Rain water
			and Water	Policy
			Supply/ Urban	
			Development	
			Authority	
Water Resource	1964	1999	Ministry of	Establishment of the Water
Board Act No.29,			Irrigation and	Resources Board
1964			Water	Advise
			Resources	the minister on various facets of
			Management/	water resources management
			Water	Plans for conservation,
			Resources	utilization, control and
			Board	development of the groundwater
				resources of the country
National Water	1965	1978	Ministry of	Provide water supply for public,
Supply and Drainage			Water Supply	domestic and industrial purposes and
Board (NWSDB) Act			& Drainage/	to operate a coordinated sewerage
(No. 2) of 1974			NWSDB	system.
Agrarian	2000		Department of	Provides a sound policy framework for
Development Act			Agrarian	the establishment and work of FOs,
2000			Development	

## 3.1.2 An overview of prioritized technologies

The most appropirate adaptation technologies for the water sector were identified through a process of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) in consultation with stakeholders. The prioritized technologies are given below in order of priority.

- a) Restoration of minor tank net works
- b) Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses
- c) Boreholes/tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply

#### a) Restoration of minor tank net works:

Restoration of minor tank net works contributes to adaptation for climate change by diversification of water supply, storm water control and capture and groundwater recharge. The irrigation water demand in the Yala (minor) season is greater than that of the Maha (major) season in the dry zone. Further, due to climate change, dry zone will be vulnerable to droughts. Due to above reasons minor tanks can play a major role in suppling irrigation water to the dry zone and at present there are a considerable number of abandoned/ damaged/silted minor tanks. Restoration of abandoned minor tanks is costly whereas restoration of silted or damaged cascade minor tank systems is affordable. The preliminary target for Restoration of minor tank net works is restoration of 10 minor tank net works (50 minor tanks) in the dry zone which are in working condition, but need rehabilitation, within a period of ten years. This would also help rural development in the dry zone. Expensive modern technologies such as GPS and remote sensing will be required for quick identification and mapping the problems in the catchment and command areas of minor tank systems.

#### b) Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses:

Rainwater harvesting means collection, preservation and obtaining maximum use of rain. Many parts of the world including Australia, Hawaii, Germany, Japan, USA, Singapore etc. also make use of rain water. Harvesting of rainwater from roof tops can be done as a household project or in hospitals, schools, housing complexes etc. A study on the rainfall for the period from 1960 to 2001 has shown that the length of dry spells is increasing all over Sri Lanka. The above study (Ratnayake U.R., *etal* 2005) has also shown that the daily rainfall intensities increases and therefore rain water from roof tops could be harvested within a short period during the rainy season and the stored rainwater can provide short term security against such dry periods. At present, in certain areas the rain water harvesting is not being carried out in a proper manner and it is necessary to provide necessary guidance through awareness programs. The preliminary target for rainwater harvesting from rooftops is introduction of 400 roof top rain water harvesting systems for households/schools/hospitals/suitable buildings in the dry zone, within a period of ten years. Priority will be given to areas where surface water is scarce and quality of ground water is poor.

#### c) Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply:

Ground water can be extracted using boreholes and ground water is used as a drinking water source and also for back-garden agriculture and aquaculture in the dry zone. The borehole efficiency (high efficiency means both high yield and high success rates) changes with the bedrock geology. Farmers abstract groundwater at rates typically ranging between 27 m<sup>3</sup>/hour and 45 m<sup>3</sup>/hour (Premanath *et al* 1994) based on their requirements and this would cause over exploitation of groundwater resources either on a local or regional scale. Boreholes will be provided to the community in small villages in the dry zone and also to

individual houses. Preliminary target for Boreholes/Tube wells is introduction of 50 hand pump operated boreholes/tube wells in the dry zone where suitable hydro geological conditions are available. The project will be completed within a period of seven years. Ref. Technology Fact Sheet, TNA report Report (PartI) for more information on this technology.

### 3.1.3 General barriers and proposed measures for the water sector

Following general barriers have been identified for implementation of the three technologies;

- High capital cost
- Lack of sustainability
- Poor enforcement of policies/laws
- Lack of information and awareness
- No prioritized areas to implement the technology
- Limitations of the technology due to water pollution
- Lack of Research & Development.

#### (a) Barrier: High capital cost

## **Proposed Measure:** Obtain sufficient funds from the government and donor agencies; whenever necessary, farmer/ household contributions in terms of labor inputs should be obtained to minimize the cost.

High capital cost is identified as the major barrier for all three technologies. Financial requirements for implementation of these technologies should be identified at the national planning process and provide from the national budget to the respective agencies. As development funds of the government are limited, additional project specific funds need to be mobilized from external sources in the form of grants/loans from the donor community. It is recommended to edevor securing farmers and household contributions in terms of labor to minimize the costs.

### (b) Barrier: Lack of sustainability

## **Proposed Measure**: Regular operation and maintenance practices to improve sustainability and institutional capacity building

Lack of sustainability is another major general barrier likely to affect all three technologies. For the Technology 1 (*Restoration of minor tank networks*), sustainability could be improved by implementing effective operation and management practices such as de-siltation, rehabilitation of damaged bunds, reducing high evaporation of tank water by planting trees in the *Gasgommana*, oiling and greasing of sluice structure on a regular basis etc. For the Technology 2 (*Rainwater harvesting from rooftops*), sustainability could be improved by management practices such as minimizing contamination possibilities within the

rainwater harvesting system, treatment of harvested rainwater and minimization of possible leakages. It is also recommended to publish a simple guide book on rain water harvesting from roof tops. For the Technology 3 (*Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply*), sustainability could be increased by installing tube wells only in areas with suitable hydro-geological conditions and good quality ground water. Good operation and maintenance practices should be implemented while preventing over extraction. Necessary training/guidance is recommended for registered contractors for tube well construction.

#### (c) Barrier: Poor enforcement/Lack of policies/laws

**Proposed Measure:** (i) Prepare a clear policy on selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration; (ii) Formulate a National Water Policy and new policies as required; (iii) Strengthen involvement of agencies to implement existing policies/legal framework.

Poor enforcement of policies/laws is also a common barrier for all three technologies. For the Technology 1, it is necessary to formulate a clear policy/strategy for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks by considering the demand for water, number of potential beneficiaries, amounts of funds available and type of restoration/rehabilitation work required and hydrology of the tank system etc. For the Technology 2, it is necessary to strengthen involvement of Municipal councils, Urban Development Authority (UDA), National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) for strict enforcement of existing national rainwater harvesting policy. It is also recommended to issue licenses to roof top rainwater harvesting systems, in an annual basis. For the Technology 3, policies/laws should be formulated to register and issue licenses to tube well constructors in order to control large scale abstractions and also to limit drilling of boreholes affecting vulnerable aquifers. It is also recommended to formulate policy/strategy to establish a low-interest loan scheme facility and import tax relief to the registered tube well constructors to import/locally purchase necessary equipment for tube well industry at affordable prices.

#### (d) Barrier: Lack of information and awareness

**Proposed Measure:** Improve operation and maintenance practices through effective awareness programs and by Publishing guide books; Capacity building of relevant departments/institutes/boards to conduct training and awareness programs

Lack of information and awareness is a common barrier for all three technologies. For the Technology 1, it is recommended to promote R & D to collect data on cascade hydrology and make them available to interested parties. In relation to the Technology 2, it is necessary to improve operation and management practices of rooftop rainwater harvesting systems through improved awareness and by providing necessary

guide books on operation and maintenance of roof top rainwater harvesting systems. For the Technology 3, it is recommended to provide information related to benefits provided, prices of necessary equipment and information on aquifers in Sri Lanka etc. to constructors from rural areas and other contractors registered for installation of boreholes. In addition to above, it is recommended to publish guide books on operation and maintenance of tube wells as well.

#### (e) Barrier: Lack of prioritized areas to implement the technology

**Proposed Measure:** Develop a policy/strategy for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration, needs, urgency and climate change modeling should be considered.

Lack of prioritized lists of locations for interventions is a common barrier for all three technologies. For the Technology 1, it is recommended to develop a policy/strategy for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration. For both Technology 2 and 3, first it is necessary to identify areas vulnerable to climate change by applying climate change modeling followed by prioritization of locations based on the needs, quality of rain water/ ground water etc. Hydrogeology of the sites also should be considered for the implementation of the Technology 3.

#### (f) Barrier: Limitations of the technology due to water pollution

**Proposed Measure:** Select suitable alternative sites; strict enforcement of environmenalt laws to protect surface/ground water from pollution; good operation and management practices, R & D.

Risks related to water pollution is another general barrier which is likely to restrict application of the technologies. For all three technologies, strict enforcement of environment laws and research & development are recommended to reduce water pollution. For the Technology 2 and 3, Good operation and management practices are recommended. Selecting suitable alternative sites is also recommended for the technology 3.

#### (g) Barrier: Lack of Research & Development

**Proposed Measure:** *R* & *D* to collect required data for ensuring sustainability of the technology; funds for necessary *R* & *D* should be provided to universities, research institutions etc., As the annual budget does not allocate sufficient funds for *R* & *D*, it is necessary to give priority for *R* & *D* related to these fields, Incentives should be given to research students carrying out research projects in this field. Lack of R & D is also a common barrier for all three technologies and it is necessary to give priority for R & D activities when allocating funds in the annual budgets. For the technology 3, it is extremely necessary to collect required data on aquifers in Sri Lanka and incentives should be considered to attract students for undertaking related research projects.

## 3.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies:

The specific measures proposed for prioritized technologies in the water sector are given below.

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Provide sufficient funds and farmer contributions in terms of labor
2.	Provide alternative income sources to farmers during extended dry seasons
3.	Improve operation and maintenance practices to increase sustainability of minor tank systems
4.	Improve the knowledge on importance of good tank / catchment management practices
5.	Increase involvement of farmers in planning and decision making on restoration of minor tank
	networks; Strengthen Farmer Organizations
6.	Development of a policy/strategy for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks
	for restoration
7.	Demarcation of responsibilities of Agrarian Service Department and Provincial Councils with
	respect to restoration/rehabilitation of minor tank network systems and allocation of funds
	accordingly
8.	Build capacity of relevant institutes/departments to collect and update hydrological data
9.	R & D on tank water pollution and strict enforcement of environmental laws/ policies/regulations

### Table 3.4: Proposed measures for Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Obtain additional funds and Reduce high capital cost
2.	Provide potable water during extended dry seasons at subsidized rates
3.	Formulate standards, codes & certification and also annual licenses for roof top rainwater harvesting
	systems
4.	Raising knowledge on operation and management practices of rooftop rainwater harvesting systems
5.	Awareness creation on importance of the technology as a water conservation method and means for

	minimizing flash flood s
6.	Review and revise data dissemination policies of Meteorology Department in order to provide free
	access to rainfall data
7.	Formulate a clear mechanism/strategy/protocol for prioritization of areas for diffusion of this
	technology and prepare a list of priority sites
8.	Increase the public confidence in roof top harvested rain water as a potable water source
9.	Increase the demand for roof top harvested rain water
10.	Strict enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy
11.	Good operation and management practices to minimize possible contamination of rain water.

## Table 3.5: Proposed measures for Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water

supply

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Tke appropriate measures to reduce high capital cost
2.	Adequate funding allocation for diffusion of the technology in prioritized areas
3.	Build capacity of relevant institutes to offer a certificate course to disseminate necessary knowledge
	and technical skills on construction of successful boreholes
4.	Improve the awareness on ramifications of over extraction of ground water
5.	Diffusion of the technology by giving special attention to sustainability of boreholes
6.	Revise existing guidelines for safe and sustainable use of groundwater
7.	Revise existing policies/ laws related to ground water in order to control drilling of boreholes affecting
	vulnerable aquifers
8.	Establish an environment to enable easy access to financial resources through a low-interest loan
	scheme
9.	Establish a mechanism for adequate availability of financial resources through an import tax relief for
	importers/producers of tube wells
10.	Update information on status of aquifers in the dry zone of Sri Lanka by WRB/NWSDB
11.	Develop a mechanism for prioritization of areas/sites for installation of boreholes and preparation of a
	priority list
12.	Awareness campaigns on special facilities provided for tube well constructors
13.	Promote R &D on ground water availability and hydrogeology of various sites
14.	Prevent degradation of Ground water quality

## 3.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Restoration of minor tank net works

### 3.2.1 Description of the Technology

Over the centuries, minor tank network systems have acted as insulation against droughts, helped in recharging groundwater, provided crucial irrigation for crop production, functioned as a source of multiple uses for the village community (drinking water, washing, bathing, water for livestock and wildlife, fishing, water for cultural and ritual purposes), and played a role in the maintenance of a good natural environment. Besides, the minor tank network systems and its surrounding area served as a resource-base for many other activities such as making bricks, pots, baskets, etc, with women often providing assistance in these processes.

A minor tank comprises the catchment area, feeder channels; water spread area, outlet structures (sluices), flood disposal structures (surplus weir) and command area. Many of the minor tanks are interconnected forming cascades, allowing surplus water from the upstream tanks(s) and return flow from the upstream command area(s) to reach the tank immediately downstream. This facilitates reuse of water in the command area of the downstream tank, and in effect, increases available water for irrigation. These tanks are hydro-geologically and socio-economically interlinked in terms of storing, conveying and utilizing water.

At present 12,120 of minor tanks are in working order out of which most of them are not able to provide the maximum benefits due lack of regular maintenance and such minor tanks have to be restored and protected to enable facing the predicted water scarcity in the dry zone due to climate change and also to sustain their contribution to the social development, economic wellbeing and environmental benifits. Restoration of minor tanks involves work such as breach closing, tank bund strengthening, aquatic weeds control and repairing or reconstruction of sluices and weirs. Considering the importance of rural development in the Dry Zone, there have been numerous minor tank rehabilitation efforts in the past, but most of them have achieved poor results as such work has been focused on individual tanks without considering the cascade hydrology. Therefore, the proposed technology is focused on restoration of minor tank networks in the dry and intermediate zones of the country. This technology contributes to adaptation for climate change through diversification of water supply, storm water control and capture and groundwater recharge. It also enhance access to groundwater and hence the expansion in irrigable area. Another reason to select this technology is that it has the potential to contribute to enhance employment opportunities and farm income through increased crop yields by altering crops and crop diversification.

### 3.2.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

The target for Restoration of minor tank net works is 10 minor tank networks (50 minor tanks) in the Dry Zone which are in working condition but need rehabilitation within a period of ten years. Detailed targets for transfer and diffusion includes; (i) conducting awareness programs to decision/policy makers to enable securing required funds (ii) formulation of a clear policy/strategy for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for interventions, (iii) collect necessary information such as priority cascade systems and minor tanks in them, (iv) capacity improvements of Department of Agrarian Development and Provincial Councils, (v) strengthen farmer organizations in restoration work and operation and management of minor tank systems, and improve engagement of farmer community in planning and decision making, (vi) conduct awareness programs to disseminate knowledge on importance of good tank/catchment/canal management practices and also on potential alternative employments opportunities during extended dry seasons., (v) incentives and compensations to encourage involvement of farmers in restoration/rehabilitation work and for extended dry seasons respectively, (vi) R & D on tank water pollution .

## 3.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Existing overall enabling framework: Importance of conservation of water has been recognized even at the time of king Parakramabahu the Great (1153-1186). Sri Lanka is working on formulation of a water policy since 1990 and still it is in progress. Department of Agrarian development is responsible for minor irrigation systems, establishment of Farmer organizations and management of irrigation water within the area of authority of the Farmer Organizations.

During the past, there have been numerous minor tank rehabilitation projects and efforts, but most of them have achieved poor results due to lack of focus. For example, ad hoc raising of bunds and spillways of minor tanks in recent development programs has seriously disrupted the delicately balanced hydrology between the respective tanks within a cascade.

Under the ongoing *"Dahasak Maha Wevu"* water resources management program, which has planned to rehabilitate 10,000 tanks will be expedited with the participation of farmer community in order to complete rehabilitation by 2020. It is scheduled to complete 1000 tanks every year from 2010 at an annual estimated cost of Rs. 500 mn. It is also noted that, farmers are expected to make a meaningful contribution in the form of labor for minor schemes, with the major proportion of the finances being provided by the government or other agencies such as NGOs and the private sector. The benefits of this program include increased production, ground water recharge and enhancing water supply for domestic purposes, livestock, fisheries and recreation. Another aspect reported is that, the private sector investors will be encouraged to invest in fields such as eco-tourism and agri-business, where return on investment is attractive<sup>23</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Mahinda Chinthana, 2010; The Department of National Planning.
Identified Barriers: A total of nine barriers have been identified and they are classified under the categories of Economic & financial and Non-financial. The economic & financial barriers include high capital cost, no return/benefit from the investment during extended dry seasons and lack of payments for communities involved in restoration activities and inadequate allocation of funding for restoration work. The non-financial barriers are; lack of sustainability of minor tank systems due to poor tank/catchment management practices, lack of understanding on importance of good tank/catchment management, lack of farmer community involvement in planning and decision making on restoration of minor tank network, weak farmer organizations, absence of prioritized locations for selecting the most suitable cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration of minor tank network systems, poor understanding on cascade hydrology due to lack of R & D and limited institutional and organizational capacity and limitations of the technology due to water pollution

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 1 is given in table 3.6.

Techno	ology Name: Restoration of minor tank net works		
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers
1.	High capital cost and inadequate allocation of funds in the annual national budget	1	Economic and financial
2.	No returns/benefits from the investments during extended dry seasons and lack of payments for communities involved in restoration activities	8	Economic and financial
3.	Lack of sustainability of minor tank systems due to poor tank management practices	2	Technical and network failure
4.	Lack of understanding on importance of good tank / catchment management practices	6	Institutional and organizational capacity/ Network failure
5.	Lack of involvement of farmer community in planning and decision making on restoration of minor tank network: weak farmer organizations	3	Institutional and organizational capacity/ Network failure
6.	Lack of priority list for selecting the most suitable cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration	5	Policy, legal and regulatory
7.	Lack of policy for distribution of funds among different government agencies involved in	4	Policy, legal and regulatory

Table 3.6: List of key	barriers and hierarchy	classification	for the technology
------------------------	------------------------	----------------	--------------------

	restoration of minor tank network systems		
	Poor understanding on cascade hydrology due		
8.	to lack of R & D and limited institutional and	8	Information and awareness
	organizational capacity		
9.	Limitations due to water pollution	9	Other barriers

## 3.2.4 Proposed Action Plans for Technology 1: Restoration of minor tank net works

The Proposed Action Plan for Restoration of minor tank net works is provided in table 3.7.

## WATER SECTOR

### Technology Action Plan for Technology 1

#### Table 3.7: Proposed Action Plan for Restoration of Minor Tank net works

Action 1: Provide sufficient funds and farmer co	ntributions in t	erms of labor				
Justification for the action: The barrier related to this action is 'high capital cost and inadequate allocation of funds in the annual national budget for restoration work'.						
Minor tank network system is a water conservation method for water scarcity and for reduction of floods during heavy rains, which are the negative impacts expected						
due to climate change. However, climate change an	d its effects ar	e not priority areas for ce	ertain relevan	nt policy makers ar	nd legislators.	
	Priority	Beenensibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indiastora	
Action/Sub Action	Rank		fromo	Funding	indicators	
		Implementation	ITame	Source		
I. Advocacy of policy makers and legislators for		• M/ Finance and	0-1 years	600	(i) Awareness programs on possible	
implementation of adaptive measures with		Planning		Domestic	socioeconomic benefits through the	
respect to climate change		<ul> <li>M/Agrarian</li> </ul>			technology-1.	
	V High	Development and			(ii) Policy makers and legislators consider	
	v. riigri	Wildlife			implementation of adaptive measures	
		<ul> <li>M/Local</li> </ul>			with respect to climate change as a	
		Government and			priority area when taking policy	
		Provincial Councils			decisions	

II. Allocate sufficient funds from annual budget for diffusion of this technology	V. High	<ul> <li>M/Agrarian</li> <li>Development and</li> <li>Wildlife</li> <li>M/Local</li> <li>Government and</li> </ul>	1-2 years		<ul> <li>(i) 50% increase of funding in the annual budget within the second year for diffusion of technology 1</li> </ul>
III. Mechanism for additional funding from donor agencies	V. High	Provincial Councils     M/Finance and     Planning	0-1 years	5,000 International	<ul> <li>(i) Completion of three stake holder meetings.</li> <li>(ii) Completion of a strong proposal for obtaining grants/loans by end of year -1.</li> </ul>
		ing ordered day appendix	_		
Action 2 : Provide alternative income sources : Justification for the action: There is no return/bend provided	efit during ext Priority Park	ended dry seasons with Responsibility for	respect to t	he investment an Cost (US \$) &	d therefore, alternative livelihoods need to be Indicators
Action 2 :       Provide alternative income sources in Justification for the action: There is no return/bene provided         Action/Sub Action	o farmers dur efit during ext Priority Rank	ended dry seasons with Responsibility for Implementation	respect to t Time frame	he investment an Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	d therefore, alternative livelihoods need to be Indicators
Action 2 :       Provide alternative income sources in Justification for the action: There is no return/bend provided         Action/Sub Action         I. Provide compensation to farmers in the event of extended dry seasons	o farmers dur efit during ext Priority Rank V. High	ended dry seasons with           Responsibility for           Implementation           • NWSDB           • Dept. of Agrarian           Development	respect to t Time frame 2-9 years	he investment an Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 6 M International	d therefore, alternative livelihoods need to be Indicators (i) Compensation paid to eligible farmers from year 2 until end of the project.

III. Awareness creation on alternative employments for extended dry seasons	V. High	<ul> <li>Dept. of Agrarian Development</li> <li>Dept. of Irrigation and Water Management</li> </ul>	2-9 years 0-2	12 M Domestic and international 0.05 M	<ul> <li>(i) Completion of ten training and awareness programs in year-2</li> <li>(ii) Repeating the above program annually.</li> <li>(i) 30% Increased capacity of Agrarian</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>IV. Build capacity of Department of Agrarian Development and provincial councils (in terms of recruitments &amp; availability of machines/equipment).</li> </ul>	V. High	<ul> <li>M/Agrarian</li> <li>Services and</li> <li>Wildlife</li> </ul>	years	Domestic	Service Department (in terms of recruitment & availability of equipment by end of year 2.		
		a state bill of states to					
Action 3: Improve operation and maintenance practices for sustainability of minor tank systems Justification for the action: The related barrier is 'Lack of sustainability of minor tank systems due to poor tank/catchment management practices'. In order to overcome							
Justification for the action: The related barrier is <i>Lat</i> this barrier tank /catchment management should be	<i>ck of sustainal</i> improved thro	<i>wility of minor tank systen</i> ugh Farmer Organizatior	ns due to poo	or tank/catchment	management practices'. In order to overcome		
Justification for the action: The related barrier is 'Lat this barrier tank /catchment management should be Action/Sub Action	ck of sustainal improved thro Priority Rank	ugh Farmer Organization Responsibility for Implementation	Time	or tank/catchment Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	management practices'. In order to overcome		

#### Action 4: Improve the knowledge on importance of good tank/catchment/canal management practices

Justification for the action: The barrier is 'Lack of knowledge on importance of good tank/catchment management practices'. This is due to inadequate training/knowledge and guidance given to farmer communities on this subject.

Action/Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
<ul> <li>I. Develop an annual calendar to provide necessary awareness to farmers and act accordingly. This will be included under Action 2-III.</li> </ul>	High	<ul> <li>Dept. of Agrarian Services</li> <li>Provincial Councils</li> </ul>	2-9 years	Already included under Action 2-III.	<ul> <li>(i) Conducting awareness programs according to a schedule, on operation and management from year 2 to year 9.</li> </ul>
Action 5 : Increase involvement of farmers in	planning and o	lecision making on resto	ration/rehabi	litation of minor ta	nk networks Strengthen Farmer Organizations
Justification for the action: Barrier is 'Lack of involve	ement of farme	r community in planning	and decision	making on restor	ation of minor tank network: weak Farmer
Organizations'. This is mainly due to, weak Farmer	Organizations				
<i>Organizations'.</i> This is mainly due to, weak Farmer <b>Action/Sub Action</b>	Organizations Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
Organizations'. This is mainly due to, weak Farmer Action/Sub Action I. Address the issue of weak Farmer Organizations and identify measures to strengthen them	Organizations Priority Rank High	<ul> <li>Responsibility for Implementation</li> <li>Dept. of Agrarian Services</li> <li>Provincial Councils</li> </ul>	Time frame 1.0-2.0 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source 3000 Domestic	Indicators (i) Active involvement of Dept. of Agrarian Services and Provincial Councils to strengthen Farmer Organizations

Organizations in planning and decision making	Provincial Councils	Action 2-III.	
in restoration work. This will be included under			
Action 2-III.			

Action 6: Development of a policy/protocol/strategy for selection and prioritisation of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration/rehabilitation and preparation of a priority list

Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is '*Lack of priority list when selecting the most suitable cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration*'. In most of the previous restoration processes, selection of cascade systems/minor tanks has been carried out without properly considering hydrology of the cascade system and needs and as a result such restorations have failed to maximize benefits/returns.

Action/Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
I. Formulate a clear policy/strategy/protocol for selection and prioritization of cascade systems/minor tanks for restoration/rehabilitation	High	<ul> <li>M/ Agrarian Services and Wildlife</li> <li>M/ Local Government and Provincial Councils</li> </ul>	0-1 years		<ul> <li>(i) Availability of a policy for prioritization of cascade systems and their minor tanks for restoration by end of year 1.</li> </ul>
II. Collect data on major rehabilitation work needed, number of beneficiaries from each tank, relocation needs and amounts of funds available etc. and prepare a priority list	High	<ul> <li>Dept. of Agrarian</li> <li>Services</li> <li>Provincial Councils</li> </ul>	1-2 years	0.05 M International	<ul> <li>By end of year 2:</li> <li>(i) Availability of data on cascade systems in the dry zone which need rehabilitation, major rehabilitation work needed, number of beneficiaries from each tank in them, relocation needs and amounts of funds</li> </ul>

		available etc.
		(ii) Availability of a priority list for restoration
		work.

## Action 7: Demarcation of responsibilities of Agrarian Service Department and Provincial Councils with respect to restoration/rehabilitation of minor tank network systems and allocation of funds accordingly.

Justification for the action: Barrier - Lack of policy/legal mandate for distribution of funds among different government agencies involved in restoration of minor tank network systems. There is no demarcation of responsibilities of Agrarian Service Department from Provincial councils pertaining to restoration/rehabilitation work of minor tank net work systems and their support to FOs. Due to this reason, there is no proper mechanism to determine the amount of funds that should be allocated to each of the above two agencies for restoration/rehabilitation work. As a result, they have failed to prepare a proper planning of restoration work in the country targeting a considerable financial benefit.

Action/Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
I. Review the mandates of Agrarian Service Department and Provincial councils and demarcate their responsibilities pertaining to restoration work.	High	●M/Finance and Planning	0-1 years		<ul> <li>(i) Type of involvement in restoration work by each department is clearly defined by the end of year 1.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>II. According to the assigned responsibilities allocate the required percentages of total funds to above two agencies</li> </ul>	High	<ul> <li>M/Finance and Planning</li> </ul>	2-9 years		(i) Allocation of funds based on the work assigned, from year 2.
Action 8 : Build capacity of relevant institutes/	Departments to	o collect and update hydr	rological data	<u> </u>	

Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is '*Poor understanding on cascade hydrology due to lack of hydrological data and limited institutional and organizational capacity*'. Due to lack of capacity of relevant instates/Departments, updated data on hydrology of most of the cascade systems are not available. Failure to consider cascade hydrology, had been detrimental to small tank rehabilitation projects, during the past.

Action/Sub Action	Priority Rank Action/Sub Action	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
I. Build capacity of relevant institutes and organizations and promote collection of hydrological data of selected cascade systems.	Medium	Dept. of Agrarian     Services	0-2 years	0.2 M international	By end of year-1 appointment of research assistants/scientific officers, availability of necessary equipment, transport facility
Action 9: R & D on tank water pollution and stric Justification for the action: <i>Limitations of the techno</i> Anuradhapura, Polopharuwa etc. The suspected re	t enforcement logy-1 due to v	of relevant environmenta	I laws/policie	es/regulations this action. Denta	al fluoresis and kidney diseases are reported in
, and addinapting, i blonnardwa etc. The suspected re	ason is poor w	aler quality due to pollut	ION.		
Action/Sub Action	Priority Rank Action/Sub Action	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators

					year 9.
<ul> <li>II. Strengthen the involvement of relevant agencies to implement existing environmental policies/legal frame work</li> </ul>	Medium	M/Environment	0-9 years		Number of penalties per year
Total	Approx: US \$ 18.83 million				

V. High = Very High

# 3.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses (RWH)

#### 3.3.1 Description of the Technology

Rainwater harvesting from rooftops is a simple, inexpensive technology that promotes sustainable water management. This technology can be adopted as a household project or in hospitals, schools, housing complexes etc. Collected water can be used for non-potable uses or for potable supply with appropriate treatment. The technology requires a little/ or no energy because capture systems often use low-volume, non-pressurized, gravity fed systems or low power pumps. Further, it would reduce runoff that can cause surface water pollution and urban flooding. In drought-prone areas or where the surface water/groundwater is saline or polluted, rooftop rainwater harvesting is the only sustainable alternative for ensuring continued access to safe drinking water. Therefore, roof top rain water harvesting (RWH) is the best approach for communities potentially vulnerable to climate change and also for rain water conservation.

In addition to the above, this technology would provide social development, economic wellbeing and environmental sustainability as described below. Construction of rooftop rainwater harvesting systems provides employment to persons having required skills. Local people can easily be trained and mobilized to implement this technology. Construction materials are readily available and system provides water at the point of consumption, and family members have full control of their own system. The RWH technology facilitates women by providing water which is otherwise brought from distanced water sources. It reduces their physical hardship and mental stress as well as time required to fetch water from other water sources. The saved time can thus be used for other productive purposes such as domestic work, agriculture and livestock activities, and child care. Rain water harvesting from the roof tops would reduce the total volume of runoff from the roofs. Installing a rainwater harvesting system would reduce the water supply costs and also provides significant savings as a storm water management tool. Appropriately designed rainwater harvesting systems will have minimal maintenance costs associated with its upkeep and therefore will show the best long-term relationship between cost and financial benefit. Rainwater is soft, which means less detergent is used and released into the environment. Also, rainwater harvesting systems with a connected vaporization system can raise site humidity and create a healthier microclimate. This is ideal for city areas dealing with air pollution<sup>24</sup>. Considering all the above facts, this technology was identified as an adaptation method for water sector for climate change.

A roof top rainwater harvesting system consists of three basic elements: Roof top - the catchment area, gutters - conveyance system, and storage tank. The effective roof area and the roof material affect the water

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> LaBranche-Tucker et al, 2009

quality and efficiency of collection. Drain pipes, roof surfaces and the storage tank should be constructed by chemically inert, non toxic materials in order to avoid adverse effects on water quality.

#### 3.3.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

The target for the technology is installation of 400 roof top rain water harvesting systems for households/schools/hospitals/suitable buildings in the dry zone, within a period of ten years. Priority will be given to areas where surface water is scarce/ polluted and ground water quality is poor. Detailed targets for technology transfer and diffusion are; Program for decision/policy makers to enable securing required funds and preparation of a strong proposal with the assistance of stake holders, to obtain additional funds from donor agencies; Improve capacities of Department of Health and NWSDB; Formulate standards/ codes/certificates for roof top rainwater harvesting systems and a scheme for annual license; Formulate a clear mechanism to prioritize sites for interventions and collect necessary data (needs, rainfall data, quality of rain water, urgency and results of climate change modeling etc); Prepare a priority list based on above data; Awareness creation on the technology as means for water conservation and a flood minimizing; Awareness creation on good operation and management practices; Technical assistance for good operation, management, and for water treatment; Installation of 300 RWH systems will be installed from year 8 to 9, Evaluation of success in years 2,3,5,6,7,9

Overall target will be achieved by end of 2023 if the project will be commenced in 2013.

#### 3.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

**Existing overall enabling framework:** Since time immemorial Sri Lanka has been using rain water for both domestic and agricultural purposes for many centuries. According to the Act No. 13 of 1992, NWSDB is vested with the responsibility of providing various services related to water supply schemes. Institutionalized rainwater harvesting became a practice in Sri Lanka in 1995, under the World Bank funded Community Water Supply and Sanitation Project (CWSSP). This project initiated the emergence of the Lanka Rainwater Harvesting Forum (LRWHF), the 1<sup>st</sup> NGO directly working towards the promotion of rainwater harvesting in the country. Later, the Southern Development Authority (SDA), Dry Zone Development Project funded by IFAD and 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> ADB water and sanitation projects, awareness programs and training in all districts in order to promote this technology. The National rainwater rain water policy and strategies was enacted in 2005. A

bill was gazetted in 2007, to amend the UDAL Law No 41 of 1998, to facilitate rainwater harvesting in new buildings<sup>25</sup>.

#### Identified barriers for meeting the targets transfer and diffusion:

Eleven barriers are identified and they are classified as Economic & financial and non-financial. The barriers identified are given below. Due to aesthetic considerations, roof top harvested rainwater has no demand. Inefficient enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy has lead to contamination of water due to no water quality monitoring.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 2 is given in table 3.8.

Techno	chnology Name: Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses							
Ne	Key Persieve Identified	Priority	Cotocony of Parriero					
INO.	Rey barriers identified	Rank	Calegory of Damers					
1.	High capital cost	1	Economic and financial					
2	No benefit during extended dry seasons with	9	Economic and financial					
2.	respect to the investment	Ŭ						
	Lack of sustainability of roof top rain water		Tochnical/Institutional &					
3.	harvesting systems due to poor management	2						
	practices		organizational capacity					
1	Lack of standards, codes and certification for	3	Technical/Policy and legal					
4.	roof top rainwater harvesting systems	5	reenneal/r oney and legal					
	Poor understanding of importance of rain water							
5	harvesting from roof tops as a water	Б	Information and owaranasa					
5.	conservation method for water scarcity due to	5	mormation and awareness					
	climate change							
6	Poor dissemination of information on rainfall	11	Information and awaranaaa					
0.	data	11						
7	Lack of prioritized areas for installation of roof	4	Information and accordance					
1.	top rainwater harvesting systems	4	information and awareness					
	Lack of confidence in roof top rainwater		Social, cultural,					
8.	harvesting technology	7	behavioral/Information and					
			awareness					

#### Table 3.8: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Rainwater harvesting, Practioners Guide for Sri Lanka, 2009

9.	Due to aesthetic considerations, roof top harvested rainwater has no demand	8	Social, cultural, behavioral/Information and
10.	Inefficient enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy	6	Policy, legal and regulatory
11.	Possibility of water contamination	10	Institutional and organizational capacity/ Technical

### 3.3.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for Rainwater harvesting from rooftops for drinking and household uses is provided in table 3.9.

## WATER SECTOR

#### Technology Action Plan for Technology 2

Table 3.9: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 2: Rainwater Harvesting from Rooftops for Drinking and Household uses

#### Action 1: Obtain additional funds and Reduce high capital cost

Justification for the action: The barrier related to this action is 'High capital cost'.

Government has not identified this technology as a priority area, although this technology helps to solve certain negative effects of climate change. i.e. water conservation to overcome water scarcity, minimize erosion and flooding during heavy rains by diverting reasonable volumes of rainwater into storage tanks.

Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
I. Advocacy of policy makers and legislators for		M/ Water Supply	0-1	0.01 M	(i) Awareness programs on possible
implementation of adaptive measures with respect to		& Drainage	years	Domestic	socioeconomic benefits through the
climate change					technology-2.
	V. High				(ii) Policy makers and legislators consider
					implementation of adaptive measures with
					respect to climate change as a priority area
					when taking policy decisions
II. Allocate sufficient funds from annual budget for		• M/ Water Supply &	1-2		(ii) 50% increase of funding in the annual budget
diffusion of this technology	V. High	Drainage	years		within the second year for diffusion of
		<ul> <li>M/Environment</li> </ul>			technology 2.

		and Natural			
		Resources			
III. Mechanism for additional funding from donor		NWSDB	0-1	5000	(i) Completion of three stake holder meetings.
agencies	V. High		years	International	(ii) Completion of a strong proposal for obtaining
					grants/loans by end of year -1.
IV. Promote research on development of low cost,		Universities	0 - 2	0.01 M	(i) Availability of methodology for low cost, better
better quality roof top rainwater harvesting systems	V. High	Research	years	International	quality roof top rainwater harvesting systems
		Institutes			by end of year 2.
V. Subsidy scheme for storage tanks to those		NWSDB	3-9	1 M	(i) Provide storage tanks at a subsidized
registered with the NWSDB.	V. High	<ul> <li>INGOs, NGOs</li> </ul>	years	International	rate (50 % price reduction) from
					year-3 to year 9.
Action 2 : Provide potable water during extended dr	y seasons	at subsidized rates			
Justification for the action: The barrier related to this action	on is ' <i>no be</i>	enefit during extended a	lry season	s with respect to th	he investment'.
Roof top rainwater harvesting system requires consideral	ble financia	al commitment by the he	ousehold,	but the rain water	collected during the rainy season may not
be sufficient for extended dry seasons. Therefore it is need	eded to pro	ovide them potable wate	er at subsic	lized rates.	
	Priority	Desponsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
Sub Action No	Rank		frame	Funding	maidators
		Implementation	name	Source	
I. Provide water during extended dry seasons at		•NWSDB	3-0	10000	(i) Provide water during extended dry seasons at
subsidised rates	V. High	•INGOs, NGOs	Veare	International	subsidized rates (50 % price reduction) from
			years	mernational	year-3 to year 9.
Action 3: Raising knowledge on operation and mar	nagement	practices of rooftop rain	water harv	esting systems	

**Justification for the action**: The related barrier is *'Lack of sustainability of roof top rain water harvesting systems due* to *poor management practices'*. The importance of good operation and maintenance practices is poorly understood by the community due to lack of training/guidance/ information.

Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
I. Awareness creation on good operation and		• NWSDB	2-9	3.5 M	(i) Conduct awareness programmes annually			
management practices	High	Lanka Rain water	years	International	from year-2 until end of the project.			
		Harvesting Forum						
		Dept. of Health						
III. Establish demonstration models and prepare audio-		• NWSDB	0 -2	1 M	By end of year 2:			
visuals on operation and maintenance of roof top		• Lanka Rain water	years	Domestic and	(i) Availability of demonstration models in the			
rainwater harvesting systems	V High			International	three districts selected			
	v. mgn	Harvesting Forum			(ii) Availability of audio-visuals on operation and			
					maintenance of roof top rainwater harvesting			
					systems			
Action 4: Formulate standards, codes & certification and also annual license for roof top rainwater harvesting systems in Sri Lanka								

Justification for the action: The barrier is 'Lack of standards, codes and certification for roof top rainwater harvesting systems'.

Many consumers use contaminated water and certain storage tanks have become mosquito breeding sites. There is also a possibility of using inappropriate roof materials by technology users.

S,	uh	Δr	tic	'n	N	2
3	uD	Μ	ມເ	ЛТ	IN	U

Priority

Responsibility for Time Cost (US \$) &

Indicators

	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding				
				Source				
I. Develop or formulate standards/ codes/certificates for roof top rainwater harvesting systems and a scheme for annual license.	High	<ul> <li>Urban</li> <li>Development</li> <li>Authority</li> <li>NWSDB</li> </ul>	0-1 years		<ul> <li>(i) Availability of accepted standards for Sri Lanka for roof top rainwater harvesting systems, by end of year-1.</li> </ul>			
Action 5 : Awareness creation on roof top rain water harvesting technology as a method for water conservation and minimizing flash flood s								
Justification for the action: Barrier is 'Poor understanding of importance of rain water harvesting from roof tops as a water conservation method for water scarcity due to climate change'. It is necessary to address the issue of lack of/inadequate programs for dissemination of knowledge on benefit of this technology as a water conservation and flood minimizing method for climate change.								
Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
Sub Action No  I. Create awareness on this technology as water conservation and a flood minimizing technology. Include this activity under Action 3-1.	Priority Rank High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • Urban Development Authority • Lanka Rain water Harvesting Forum	Time frame 2- 9 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source Included under Action 3-1	Indicators (i) See indicators under Action 3-I.			

					and minimization of flash floods.			
Action 6: Revise data dissemination policies of Met department in order to provide free access to rainfall data								
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is 'Poor accessibility for information on rainfall data'. There is no free access for rainfall data for previous								
years.								
	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
Sub Action No	Rank		frame	Funding	indicators			
		Implementation	name	Source				
I. Revise the data dissemination policies of	High	Mot dopartmont	0-1		(i) Availability of rainfall data for previous years,			
Meteorology department	riigii	nigh met department			free of charge from end of year-1.			
Action 7: Formulate a clear mechanism/strategy /p	rotocol for	prioritization of areas for	diffusion	of this technology	and prepare a priority list			
Justification for the action: Barrier – 'Lack of prioritized a	reas for ins	stallation of roof top rain	water harv	esting systems'.				
	Priority	Beenensibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indiactors			
Sub Action No	Rank		fromo	Funding	Indicators			
		Implementation	ITallie	Source				
I. Formulate a mechanism/strategy/protocol to prepare		• M/ Water Supply &	0		By the end of year-1:			
a priority list	High	Drainage	0- 1) (0.010		(i) Availability of a policy/strategy to prepare a			
			Tyears		priority list.			
II. Collect data on needs, rainfall data, quality of rain					(i) By end of year-2, availability of data on:			
water, urgency and results of Climate change	High		0-2	0.02 M	needs, rainfall data, quality of rain water,			
modeling etc. and prepare a priority list	піуп		years	Domestic	urgency and results of Climate change			
1	1	1						

					(ii) Availability of a priority list by end of year-1		
III. Provide the priority list to relevant authorities			× 0		Availability of a priority list at authorities handling		
	High	• NWSDB	Year 2		this technology by end of year 2.		
Action 8 : Increase the confidence in roof top harve	sted rain v	vater as a potable water	r source				
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is	s ' <i>Lack of</i>	confidence in roof top r	ainwater h	arvesting technol	ogy'. It is necessary to implement suitable		
steps to convince the community that the harvested rain	water can	be used as potable wat	er.				
	Priority			Cost (US \$) &	·		
Sub Action No	Rank	Responsibility for	lime	Funding	Indicators		
		Implementation	frame	Source			
I. Provide water quality analytical services for harvested		NWSDB		1 M	Availability of biannual analytical reports on water		
rain water at a regular basis and at a nominal rate	High	<ul> <li>Lanka rainwater</li> </ul>	3-9	Domestic and	quality of harvested rain water		
		harvesting forum	Years	International			
II. Free monitoring service on health conditions for				0.5 M	Availability of annual reports on health		
persons consuming harvested rain water.	Hiah	Dept. of Health	2-	Domestic and	conditions of persons consuming		
			9Years	International	harvested rain water.		
		1					
Action Q: Increase the domand for reaf top hanvested rain water							
		<u> </u>					
Institution for the entire (Due to contration considerations, reaf ten berugated reinwater has no demand in the berrier related to this entire and every sector is							
Justification for the action: Due to aesthetic considerations, roof top harvested rainwater has no demand is the barrier related to this action and awareness creation is							
necessary.							
	Driorit (	Popponsibility for	Time		Indicators		
Sub Action No	Phoney		fine		Indicators		
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding			

				Source				
I Create awareness through guide books, TV programs, leaflets and posters on roof top rainwater harvesting systems and information on use of rain water harvesting systems in other countries.	High	<ul> <li>NWSDB</li> <li>Lanka rainwater harvesting forum</li> </ul>	0-9 years	1 M Domestic and International	<ul> <li>(i) From end of year 1, availability of guide books, TV programs, leaflets and posters on roof top rainwater harvesting systems and information on use of rain water harvesting systems in other countries.</li> </ul>			
Action 10: Strict enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy								
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is 'Inefficient enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy'. The reason is poor involvement of Urban Development Authority. Municipal councils, and NWSDB in this regard.								
Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
I. Effective enforcement of national rainwater harvesting policy.	Medium	<ul> <li>UDA</li> <li>Municipal councils</li> <li>NWSDB</li> </ul>	0-9 years		(i) Number of certificates issued per year for new buildings from year 1.			
Action 11: Good operation and management of rainwater harvesting systems to minimize possible contamination of rain water								

Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is 'Limitations of the technology-2 due to contamination of water'.								
Harvested rain water contaminated with E. Coli is reported at certain occasions. Lack of capacity for treatment of harvested water is a barrier.								
Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators			
I. Build capacity of Health Department and NWSDB	Medium	<ul><li>NWSDB</li><li>M/Health</li></ul>	0-1 years	5000 Domestic	<ul> <li>(i) By end of year 1, sufficient capacity at NWSDB and Health Department pertaining to Technology 2.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>II. Provide Technical assistance for good operation and management, and for water treatment.</li> </ul>	Medium	<ul> <li>NWSDB</li> <li>Dept. of Health</li> <li>Lanka rainwater harvesting forum</li> </ul>	2-9 years	5000 Domestic Domestic	<ul> <li>(ii) By year 2, technical assistance by NESDB and Health Department</li> </ul>			
Total Cost of Technology 2 Approx: US \$ 8.07 M								

V. High = Very High

## 3.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Boreholes/tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply

#### 3.4.1 Description of the Technology

Ground water is used as a drinking water source and also for back-garden agriculture and aquaculture primarily in the dry zone. Boreholes/Tube wells consist of a narrow, screened tube (casing) driven into a water bearing zone of the subsurface. The borehole efficiency (high efficiency means both high yield and high success rates) changes with the bedrock geology. One of the main reasons for selecting this technology is that, under surface water stress situation the ground water can be substituted for domestic purposes as it free of pollutants. The total cost of construction of a hand pump tube well (HPTW) and a production borehole are Rs. 193,920 – Rs. 210,080 and Rs. 198,550 – Rs. 219,450 respectively<sup>26</sup> out of which 50% is for drilling of the well, 20% is for screening, 15% is for testing of water quality and yield, 5% is for cleaning, communication and the balance is for demobilization<sup>27</sup>.The total cost changes with the depth and the size of the borehole and investment cost is very high.

When the bed rock is igneous or metamorphic in formation and with no weathered zones and fractures, it would result in low yielding and less sustainable bore holes. Issues related to ground water quality are connected with natural geochemistry of the area and also with industrial or agricultural pollution of aquifers. One could become self reliant and sufficient of water by having a borehole. Personal boreholes serve water which is pure and free of added chemicals at all times. Another advantage is that, there is no need to pay water bills. For women in rural areas, burden of carrying water from long distance is reduced because of boreholes, thereby saving their time. In addition to that, they can get water from these boreholes at odd hours, e.g. during night. The saved time can be utilized in doing several activities that would add to their earnings and so improve their socio economic conditions. On the other hand, water from these boreholes can be used for back yard gardens. Due to these boreholes one need not depend on rains for their irrigation purpose and get ample amount of water for all the construction purposes. Moreover, the energy required to extract water from them is less as compared to that in water purification plants.

#### 3.4.2 Targets for technology transfer and diffusion

Target for the technology is introduction of 50 hand pump boreholes/tube wells in the dry zone where suitable hydro-geological conditions are available. The project will be completed within a period of eight

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> US \$ = SLRs. 135.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Personal communications – NWSDB, 2012

years. The overall target will be achieved by 2021 if the project is commenced in 2013. Main steps proposed to be adopted for technology transfer and diffusion is as follows;

Conduct an awareness program for decision/policy makers to facilitate securing funds on a priority basis; Preparation of a strong proposal with the assistance of stake holders to obtain additional funds from donor agencies; Financial incentives through loan schemes and import tax relief, build capacity of NWSDB/WRB; Amend the existing guidelines/laws for safe and sustainable use of groundwater: Formulate a protocol for prioritization of areas/sites; Collect data on highly vulnerable areas for climate change; Implement a method to register organizations having at least one person who has successfully completed the certificate course as tube well contractors at WRB/NWSDB, Create awareness; R&D on ground water availability/quality; Construct the first successful 25 boreholes from year–3 to year-5) and next successful 25 boreholes during year-5 to year-6; Introduce an annual license system for boreholes to prevent over extraction; Steps to prevent degradation of Ground water quality; Evaluation of success (year-3 to year-7).

#### 3.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

**Existing overall enabling framework:** Water Supplies for towns such as Nuwara Eliya, Tangalle, Batticalo, Dambulla, Wennappuwa, Ahangama, Kataragama, Vavuniya, Puttalam, Chilaw, Anamaduwa, Nikaweratiya, Kuliyapitiya and Mihintale are being fully or partly operated by using groundwater from deep bore holes. At present, about 8% of the total population is benefited by this technology. Usage of ground water in the country is rapidly increasing leading to intensified smallholder cultivation thereby improving the standards of living of poor farmers in the dry zone.

Water Resources Board (WRB) is responsible for ground water resources (Act No. 42 of 1999). WRB, collects data and information on Water Resources for advisory purposes, and undertakes Hydro-geological investigation and Groundwater development projects.

In Sri Lanka, a land owner is regarded as owning the unreserved rights to water underneath his land and tend to extract all accessible water. Such unregulated activities results in drying up the aquifers by the end of the dry season and some communities are left without drinking water.

#### Identified barriers for transfer and diffusion of the technology:

Fourteen (14) key barriers have been and are comprised of two (2) economic & financial, three (03) institutional & organizational, four (04) policy, legal & regulatory, three (03) information & awareness and market failure, one (01) technical and one (01) "Other" barriers.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 3 is given in table 3.10.

Tech	Technology Name: Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply								
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers						
1.	High capital cost	1	Economic and financial						
2.	Inadequate funding allocation for this technology	11	Economic and financial						
3.	Lack of technical assistance for physical investigations of the site, drilling of the well, screening, water quality testing and yield testing	4	Institutional and organizational capacity						
4.	Lack of understanding on negative impacts of over extraction of ground water	10	Institutional and organizational capacity						
5.	Lack of sustainability	3	Institutional and organizational capacity						
6.	Lack of policies/laws/ by laws/ guidelines for safe and sustainable use of groundwater	5	Policy, legal and regulatory						
7.	Lack of policies/laws to control drilling of boreholes affecting vulnerable aquifers	6	Policy, legal and regulatory						
8.	High interest on loans for importers/producers of tube wells due to lack of policies/strategies to establish low-interest loan scheme	14	Policy, legal and regulatory						
9.	High import taxes due to lack of policies/strategies to provide tariff relief	12	Policy, legal and regulatory						
10.	Lack of information on ground water resources	7	Information and awareness/Market failures						
11.	Lack of prioritization of areas to implement this technology	2	Information and awareness, market failures						
12.	Lack of information on prices of equipment, loan schemes etc.	13	Informationand awareness, market failures						
13.	Lack of R & D on ground water availability and hydrogeology	8	Technical						
14.	Limitations of the technology due to poor quality of ground water	9	Other						

 Table 3.10:
 List of Key Barriers and Hierarchy Classification for the Technology 3

### 3.4.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply is provided in table 3.11.

## WATER SECTOR

## Action Plan for Technology 3

#### Table 3.11: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 3: Boreholes/Tube wells as a drought intervention for domestic water supply

tion 1: Reduce high capital cost										
Justification for the action: The barrier related to this action is 'High capital cost'										
50% of the cost of construction of borehole is for drilling of the well and the drilling cost increases with increase in the depth.										
Sub Action No.	Priority Responsibility for Time Cost (US \$) &		Indicators							
Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source						
I. Select sites having suitable hydro-geological	V High	Dept. of Irrigation	2 2 1/2 2/2		(i) Reduced drilling cost					
conditions	v. High	NWSDB	2-3 years							
Action 2: Adequate funding allocation for diffu	ision of the	technology-3 in prioritize	d areas (e.g	. rural areas)						
Justification for the action: The barrier related to the	is action is	'Inadequate funding alle	ocation for d	liffusion of the tech	nology in prioritized areas (e.g. rural areas). The					
government has not given priority in the annual budg	get, for the o	diffusion of the technolog	y-3 in prioriti	zed areas.						
Cub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators					
Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source						
I. Advocacy of policy makers and legislators for		M/ Finance and		0.01 M	(i) Awareness programs on possible					
implementation of adaptive measures with	V. High	Planning	0-1 years	Domostia	socioeconomic benefits through the					
respect to climate change		M/ Irrigation and		Domestic	technology-3.					

		Water Resources			(ii) Policy makers and legislators consider
		Management			implementation of adaptive measures with
		M/ Water Supply &			respect to climate change as a priority area
		Drainage			when taking policy decisions
II. Allocate sufficient funds from annual budget		M/ Irrigation and			(iii) 50% increase of funding in the annual
		Water Resources			budget within the second year for diffusion of
	V. High	Management	0-1 years		technology 2.
		M/ Water Supply &			
		Drainage			
III. Mechanism for additional funding from donor					(i) Completion of three stake holder meetings.
agencies			0-1 years	0.01 M	(ii) Completion of a strong proposal for obtaining
		● WKB			grants/loans by end of year -1.

Action 3 : Build capacity of relevant institutes to offer a certificate course to disseminate necessary knowledge and technical skills on construction of successful boreholes

Justification for the action: The barrier related to this action is '*Lack of assistance for physical investigations of the site, drilling of the well, screening, water quality testing and yield testing'*. Poor hydro-geological conditions of the site can affect the sustainability of the borehole/tube well. Persons having necessary knowledge and technical skills for construction of successful boreholes is lacking due to inadequate capacity of relevant institutes to offer skill development training programmes.

	Sub Action No		Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
			Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
I.	Build capacity of NWSDB/WRB to offer a	V. High	NWSDB	0- 1	0.5 M	(i) By end of year-1, adequate capacity of WRB

certificate course on construction of successful		• WRB	years	Domestic and	and NWSDB for successful implementation	
boreholes.				International	of technology-3.	
II. Implement a method to register organizations having at least one person who has successfully completed the above certificate course as tube well contractors at WRB/NWSDB.       V. High       • NWSDB         Action 4:       Improve the knowledge on negative impacts of over extraction			1-2years		(i) Availability of a list of qualified borehole constructing organizations registered at WRB/NWSDB, by end of the year-2.	
Justification for the action: The related barrier is 'Lad	ck of unders	standing on negative imp	acts of over	extraction of ground	d water'.	
In certain areas in the country, rate of groundwater a	abstraction l	has exceeded the rate of	<sup>r</sup> recharge. C	one of the main reas	sons is lack of knowledge of consumers on	
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.						
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.						
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators	
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water. Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators	
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water. Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	(i) Indicators given under 3-1	
Sub Action No         I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators (i) Indicators given under 3-I.	
No         I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators (i) Indicators given under 3-I.	
I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under	Priority Rank V. High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators (i) Indicators given under 3-I.	
I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-I.	Priority Rank V. High	Responsibility for         Implementation         • NWSDB         • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators (i) Indicators given under 3-I.	
I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-1.         II. Raise awareness at national and sub national	Priority Rank V. High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source Included under 3- I.	Indicators         (i) Indicators given under 3-I.         (i) From year 3, conduct awareness	
No         I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-I.         II. Raise awareness at national and sub national level	Priority Rank V. High	Responsibility for         Implementation         • NWSDB         • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators         (i) Indicators given under 3-1.         (i) From year 3, conduct awareness programmes annually on negative impacts of	
I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-1.         II. Raise awareness at national and sub national level	Priority Rank V. High V. High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • WRB • NWSDB • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years 2-6 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators         (i) Indicators given under 3-I.         (ii) From year 3, conduct awareness programmes annually on negative impacts of programmes annually on negative impacts programmes annually on negative impacts of programmes annually on	
No         Sub Action No         I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-1.         II. Raise awareness at national and sub national level	Priority Rank V. High V. High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • WRB • NWSDB • WRB	Time frame 0-1 years 2-6 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators         (i) Indicators given under 3-I.         (i) From year 3, conduct awareness programmes annually on negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.	
negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.         Sub Action No         I. Build capacity of NWSDB and WRB to create awareness on negative impacts due to over extraction of ground water. Include this under 3-I.         II. Raise awareness at national and sub national level         III. Publish guide books (in Sinhala/English/Tamil),	Priority Rank V. High V. High	Responsibility for Implementation • NWSDB • WRB • NWSDB • WRB • NWSDB	Time frame 0-1 years 2-6 years	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators         (i) Indicators given under 3-I.         (i) From year 3, conduct awareness programmes annually on negative impacts of over extraction of ground water.         (i) By end of year-1, availability of 1000 guide	

				International	in Tamil media) by end of the third quarter						
Action 5: Diffusion of the technology by giving special attention to sustainability of boreholes											
Justification for the action: The barrier is 'Lack of sustainability'. Many boreholes constructed in Sri Lanka have become failures due to poor hydrogeological conditions											
of the site, over extraction and poor water quality etc	. Therefore	special attention should	l be given to	sustainability of bor	reholes.						
Sub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators						
Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source							
<ul> <li>I. Construct the first successful 25 boreholes according to the priority list. After installation, implement good Operation &amp; Management practices.</li> <li>II. Construct the next successful 25 boreholes.</li> </ul>	High High	<ul> <li>NWSDB</li> <li>WRB</li> <li>Registered organisations</li> <li>NWSDB</li> <li>WRB</li> <li>Registered organisations</li> </ul>	3-5 years 5-6 years	6 M International 6 M International	<ul> <li>(i) Availability of 25 Successful boreholes in the dry zone by end of the fifth year.</li> <li>(i) Availability of another 25 successful boreholes by end of the sixth year.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>III. Establish a periodic inspection scheme/Introduce an annual license system for boreholes to prevent over extraction.</li> </ul>	High	• NWSDB • WRB	3-6 years	5000 International	<ul><li>(i) Annual license system from the year-3</li><li>(ii) Inspection reports available from the year-3</li></ul>						
Action 6 : Revise existing guidelines for safe an	nd sustaina	ble use of groundwater									

Justification for the action: Barrier is 'Lack of Policies/ laws/ by-laws/ guidelines for safe and sustainable use of groundwater'.

At present, ground water is over-extracted by certain consumers in Sri Lanka due to lack of policy/strategy in the country to control over extraction. As a result, such boreholes have dried-out. In coastal areas, brackish water has entered in to such boreholes.

Cub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
I. Amend the existing guidelines for safe and		M/Irrigation and			(i) Availability of revised guidelines for
sustainable use of groundwater, developed for		Water Resources	0.1	0.4	sustainable use of ground water
the regolith aquifer.	High	Management	U-1		
		M/Water Supply &	years		
		Drainage			
II. Dissemination of above guidelines through		M/ Irrigation and			(i) See indicators given under 4-II.
awareness programs. This will be included		Water Resources		Included under	
under Action 4-II.	High	Management	2-6 years		
		M/Water Supply &		4-11	
		Drainage			
Action 7 : Revise existing policies/ laws related	to ground v	water in order to control o	drilling of bor	eholes affecting vul	inerable aquifers
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this acti	on is ' <i>Lack</i>	of policies/laws to contro	ol drilling of l	boreholes affecting	vulnerable aquifers'. Depending on the site,
drilling of boreholes can affect vulnerable aquifers.					
Sub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
I. Revise existing policies/laws.		M/Irrigation and			
		Water Resources			(i) Availability of rovisod policios/laws by and of
	High	Management	0-1 vears		(i) Availability of revised policies/laws by end of

пığn		Management	0-1 years	
	•	M/Water Supply &		
		Drainage		

year 1.

#### Action 8: Establish a mechanism for adequate availability of financial resources through a low-interest loan scheme

Justification for the action: Barrier – '*High interest on loans for importers/producers of tube wells.* Currently there is no mechanism in the country to establish low-interest loan system for purchasing of necessary equipment.

Sub Action No		Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
		Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
I. Formulate financial incentives	through loan		Central Bank			i) With effect from end of year-1, availability of
schemes		V. High	• Treasury	0-1 years		financial incentives such as concessionary
			Private sector			interest and longer grace periods for loans.

#### Action 9: Establish a mechanism for adequate availability of financial resources through an import tax relief

Justification for the action: Barrier related to this action is '*High import tax for importers/producers of tube wells due to lack of mechanism/strategy to establish import tax relief*'. Currently there is no mechanism in the country to establish an import tax relief for importing necessary equipment.

Sub Action No	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
I. Formulate financial incentives through		M/Finance and			By end of year-1, implementation of import tax
import tax relief; Combine this to Action 8- I.	High	Planning	0-1 years		relief.
Action 10: Update information on status of aquife	rs in the dr	y zone of Sri Lanka by W	RB/NWSDB		
Justification for the action: 'Lack of information on gr	round water	<i>resources</i> is the barrier	related to th	is action.	
There is no regular monitoring program to update the	e status of g	ground water resources i	n the country	<b>/</b> .	
Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators

	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source					
I. Implement an annual monitoring program by WRB/NWSDB	High	<ul><li>WRB</li><li>NWSDB</li></ul>	2-6 years	0.01 M International	Availability of annual data on ground water resources				
Action 11: Develop a mechanism for prioritization of areas/sites for installation of boreholes and preparation of a priority list									
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this acti	on is ' <i>Lack</i>	of prioritisation of areas	to implemen	t this technology'					
At present a prioritized list for the country for introdu	ction of the	technology 3 is not avail	lable.						
Out-Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators				
Sub Action No	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source					
1. Formulate a protocol/mechanism	High	<ul> <li>M/Irrigation and</li> <li>Water Resources</li> <li>Management</li> <li>M/Water Supply &amp;</li> <li>Drainage</li> </ul>	0- 1 years		(i) Availability of a protocol for preparation of a priority list by end of year 1.				
<ol> <li>Collect data on highly vulnerable areas for climate change, need and urgency</li> </ol>	Medium	<ul><li>NWSDB</li><li>WRB</li></ul>	0-2 years	5000 Domestic	<ul> <li>(i) Availability of data on highly vulnerable areas for climate change, need and urgency by end of year 2.</li> </ul>				
III. Preparation of a priority list	Medium	<ul><li>NWSDB</li><li>WRB</li></ul>	2-3 year		(i) Availability of a priority list by year 3.				
Action 12: Awareness campaigns on special facil	ities provide	ed for tube well construc	tors						
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this acti	on is ' <i>Lack</i>	of information on prices	of equipmen	t, loan schemes etc	2.'				
Certain tube well constructors/producers are not awa	are of speci	al facilities provided to b	orehole cons	structors registered	at WRB/NWSDB.				

Cub Action No.	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
(I). Create awareness on special facilities provided				5000	(i) Publicity on special facilities provided to			
to constructors/producers especially in rural	Medium	<ul> <li>NVVSDB</li> </ul>	2-6 years	5000	constructors/producers through media.			
areas		• WRB	5	International				
Action 13: Promote R &D on ground water ava	ilabilitv/qua	lity and hydrogeology of	various sites					
Justification for the action: Barrier related to this acti	on is <i>'Lack</i>	of R & D on around wate	er availabilitv	and hydrogeology'	Above information should be monitored and			
undeted by M/DD/NM/CDD	UT IS LUCK		avanabinty	and nydrogeology .				
Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
I. Monitor ground water availability/quality and	Madium	<ul> <li>NWSDB</li> </ul>	2 6 veere	ears				
hydro-geolological data in a systematic basis.	weatum	• WRB	2-6 years					
	L							
Action 14: Prevent degradation of Ground wate	er quality							
Justification for the action: Barrier – 'Limitation of the	e technologi	v due to poor quality of q	round water	,				
If the quality of ground water is poor, quality of bore	ole/tube w	ell water will also be noo		water samples con	taminated with <i>Escherichia coli</i> . Eluoride and			
i the quality of ground water is poor, quality of bore								
nitrate ions, agrochemicals etc, have been reported	. I neretore,	, it is necessary to ensure	e ground wa	ter quality required.				
Sub Action No	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank		frame					
		Implementation	IIailie					

I. Regular monitoring of quality of borehole water.		<ul> <li>M/ Irrigation and</li> </ul>			(i) Availability of data on quality of borehole
This can be incorporated with present water	Medium	Water Resources	3-7 years	0.02 M International	water.
quality surveying program.		Management			
		M/Water Supply &			
		Drainage			
II. Monitor health conditions of people consuming					(i) Availability of data on health conditions of
water from above boreholes and study whether	Medium	Dept. of Health	3-7 years	0.05 M	people consuming water from above
there is a relationship between health issues				International	boreholes.
and borehole water quality.					(ii) Results of statistical analysis
Total Cost of Technology 3					Approx: US \$ 14.67 million

V. High = Very High

## **CHAPTER 4**

## Technology Action Plan for the Coastal Sector

#### 4.1 Actions at sectoral level

Major action to be taken as adaptations to climate change under the coastal sector are to take precautionary measures to reduce the impacts of Sea Level Rise (SLR), coastal inundation and erosion that may occur in the coastal belt. These actions are mainly focused on the sand dunes, mangroves and coral reefs which are sensitive coastal ecosystems that act as natural barriers against waves, tides, storm surges, tsunami, etc. that cause damage to coastal environment.

#### 4.1.1 Short sector description:

Sri Lanka being an island with 25% of its population living in coastal areas, coastal communities both rural and urban are at risk from the effects of rising sea levels, increasing temperatures, disasters such as floods and droughts and issues as salt water intrusion<sup>28</sup>. Apart from the population density in the coastal regions, 62% of industrial units and more than 70% of tourist infrastructure are located on Sri Lanka's coastal areas<sup>29</sup>. The coastal zone accounts for about 43% of the nation's GDP, so impacts on coastal settlements translate into substantial impacts on the nation's economy<sup>30</sup>.

Coastal zone of Sri Lanka is defined as the area lying within a limit of 300 m landwards of the Mean High Water Line and a limit of 2 km seawards of the Mean Low Water Line and in the case of rivers, streams, Lagoons or any other body of water connected to the sea either permanently or periodically, the landward boundary shall extend to a limit of 2 km measured perpendicular to the straight base line drawn between the natural entrance points thereof and shall include water of such rivers, streams and lagoons or any other body of water so connected to the sea<sup>31</sup>. It includes several sensitive ecosystems such as, coral reefs, sea grass beds, sand dunes, mangroves, salt marshes and sandy, pebble and rocky beaches.

Role of the sector: Coastal belt of Sri Lanka is very important for many socioeconomic activities, some of which are playing a major role in attracting foreign exchange (e.g. tourism, ornamental & food fish trade,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Jayatilake, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ministry of Environment, Climate Change Vulnerability in Sri Lanka -a, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Ministry of Environment, Climate Change Vulnerability in Sri Lanka -b, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> CZMP of Coast Conservation Department, Olsen et.al, 1992
etc.). Large tracts of Sri Lanka's coastal belt are already pressured by a host of human induced environmental threats including pollution, coral and sand mining, erosion and depletion of mangroves and these will be further exacerbated by climate change. Tourism, fisheries and agriculture play a substantial role in livelihoods of coastal communities and are directly or indirectly exposed to coastal vulnerability that in turn increases the effects on poor communities that rely on these enterprises. In addition to the above, development of harbours, anchorages, groins, revetments, etc. also make a major impact on this sector.

**GHG** emissions level and trends: Since all three adaptation technologies proposed encompasses enhancement of natural biodiversity, there will be no impact from GHG emission. Due to replanting of dune vegetation and mangrove vegetation GHG emissions will be at a negative level. Coral transplanting also includes growth of corals which removes carbon dioxide from the aquatic environment.

Vulnerability to climate change: Climate change effects such as sea temperatures and sea level rise (SLR), increased frequency and magnitude of tropical storms and other extreme events will have negative impacts on both ecosystems (coral bleaching, saltwater intrusion, flooding, erosion) and human well-being (loss and/or reduced productivity in goods and services provided by ecosystems). Sensitive ecosystems such as coral reefs, sand dunes, sea grass beds and mangroves are not only economically and ecologically important to Sri Lanka but they also act as buffers against wave action, storm surge, tidal variations and sometimes against severe conditions such as tsunami which was evident during the 2004 tsunami. While global mean sea level rise is important, the local or relative sea level is the dominant factor in determining impacts on the coast. Climate change may also cause increases in both extreme wave heights and in the intensity of storms, which can be uncertain, especially in the tropics where storms may become more intense but less common. Sea level rise scenarios for Sri Lanka suggest a shoreline retreat of 10m by 2050. The IPCC has categorized the coastal sector in the South Asian region as one of the highly vulnerable sectors to climate change<sup>32</sup>. In addition, the sector vulnerability profiles developed for Sri Lanka in 2010 has identified coastal sector as one of the most critical sectors for the climate change vulnerability<sup>33</sup>.

### Existing Policies and Laws related to the Sector and Technology Deployment

### a) Existing Policies Involved:

There are four policies that are specifically relevant to coastal sector and to the climate change adaptations activities identified for the sector. They are National Environment Policy (NEP) which deals with the Environment, National Forestry Policy (NFP) dealing with the biodiversity and sensitive ecosystems, the National Policy on Wild Life Conservation (NPWLC) deals with the biodiversity and wild life conservation and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Practical Action, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> ME, 2010, National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Sri Lanka- 2011 to 2016

National Policy on Wetlands (NPW) deals with wetlands. The existing policy framework and laws related the sector's development and technology deployment are given below (Table 4.1 and 4.2).

Existing Policies	When Enacted and Revised	Responsible Authority	Main contents
1.National Environment Policy (NEP)	Enacted in 2003	Ministry of Environment and Natural resources	<ul> <li>Objectives are to protect and conserve the integrity of the nation's environment and natural resources through ecologically sustainable development, with due recognition of the contribution of natural resources to economic development and to the quality of life.</li> <li>Policy target is to achieve a healthy and pleasant environment sustaining nature for the well-being of the people and the economy.</li> <li>It also aims to promote the sound management of the environment while balancing social and economic development needs, to manage the environment by linking together the activities, interests and perspectives of different stakeholders with equitable sharing of benefits and costs.</li> </ul>
2.National Forestry Policy (NFP)	Enacted in 1995.	Ministry of Environment and Natural resources/For est Department	<ul> <li>Objectives are to conserve forests for posterity, with particular regard to biodiversity, soils, water, and historical, cultural, religious and aesthetic values, to increase the tree cover and productivity of the forests to meet the needs of present and future generations for forest products and services and to enhance the contribution of forestry to the welfare of the rural population, and strengthen the national economy, with special attention paid to equity in economic development.</li> <li>Conservation and sustainable management of forests ensuring continued existence of important ecosystems and flow of forest products and services, conservation of biodiversity, soil and water resources</li> </ul>

## Table 4.1: Existing policy framework related the sector and technology deployment

			and socioeconomic development of the country
3.National	June 2000	Department	Objective is to conserve wildlife resources, through
Policy on		of Wildlife	protection, research, education, sustainable use and
Wild Life		Conservation	benefit sharing, for the benefit of present and future
Conservatio		(DWLC)	generation.
n			• To maintain ecological processes and life-sustaining
			systems.
			To manage all components of genetic diversity, as
			resources to improve crop plant and farm animal,
			and to develop in a fair and equitable manner.
			• To ensure sustainable use and equitable sharing of
			benefits.
			To conserve native and endemic species and their
			habitats, so as to maintain the overall species
			richness and ecological integrity of the country.
			To encourage the development of biological
			repositories, for the purposes of conservation
			education and science.
			• To encourage the private sector and communities to
			join as a full partners in all aspects of the wildlife-
			conservation process
4. National	Enacted in	Ministry of	<ul> <li>Protect and conserve wetland ecosystems, to</li> </ul>
Policy on	2005	Environment	prevent illegal utilization of wetlands, to restore and
Wetlands			maintain the biological diversity and productivity of
			wetlands, to enhance ecosystem services from
			wetland habitats, to assure sustainable use of
			wetlands and traditional practices by local
			communities, and to meet national commitments as
			a signatory to the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands.
5. Mahinda	2005	Ministry of	•Aim is to promote sustainable development in close
Chinthena &		Finance &	liaison with the land, fauna and flora and to bestow
Mahinda		Planning	our natural heritage to our future generation.
Chinthena	Amended in		<ul> <li>Conserving the environment, nationally and</li> </ul>
way forward	2010		internationally. Due to the application of the principle
			that the 'abuser should pay for the abuse,' the
			Environment Ministry is self-financing reducing the
			burden on the Treasury
			Direct employment generation through development

<ul> <li>of coastal resources.</li> <li>An effective integrated coastal zone management framework will be introduced to address widely varying and integrated issues in order to prevent the depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	 F
<ul> <li>An effective integrated coastal zone management framework will be introduced to address widely varying and integrated issues in order to prevent the depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	of coastal resources.
<ul> <li>framework will be introduced to address widely varying and integrated issues in order to prevent the depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An effective integrated coastal zone management</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>varying and integrated issues in order to prevent the depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	framework will be introduced to address widely
<ul> <li>depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	varying and integrated issues in order to prevent the
<ul> <li>coastal zone management.</li> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	depletion of coastal resources and ensure effective
<ul> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat, landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	coastal zone management.
<ul> <li>sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat,</li> <li>landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A joint management will be set up with the private</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>landscapes and features which add natural beauty and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	sector to sustain coastal vegetation, habitat,
<ul> <li>and aesthetic value to the environment.</li> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	landscapes and features which add natural beauty
<ul> <li>Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	and aesthetic value to the environment.
<ul> <li>includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	Coastal and marine environmental degradation, which
<ul> <li>oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	includes sea erosion, coastal pollution and threats of
<ul> <li>be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	oil spills to the sustainability of coastal habitats, will
<ul> <li>and regulations.</li> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.</li> </ul>	be reduced by the implementation of relevant acts
•By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.	and regulations.
country in which all the major environmental problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.	<ul> <li>By 2020, it is expected to make Sri Lanka a green</li> </ul>
problems have been solved and a land free of elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.	country in which all the major environmental
elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most clean and healthy environment in Asia.	problems have been solved and a land free of
clean and healthy environment in Asia.	elephant-human conflict, beautiful cities and the most
	clean and healthy environment in Asia.

# b) Existing Laws Involved:

Table 4.2: Existing laws related t	the sector and	technology deployment
------------------------------------	----------------	-----------------------

Existing laws	When Enacted and	Responsible Authority	Main Contents
	Revised		
- Coast	Enacted	Coast	"Coast Conservation Act is an act to make provision for
Conservation Act	ln 1981,	Conservatio	a survey of the coastal zone and the preparation of a
No. 57		n	Coastal Zone Management Plan; to regulate and
- Coast		Department	control development activities within the coastal zone;
Conservation Act		(CCD)	to make provision for the formulation and execution of
No. 64	Amended		schemes of work for coast conservation within the
- Coastal zone	in 1988		coastal zone; to make consequential amendments to
			certain written lows; and to provide for matters
management plan			

)	connected	therewith	or	incidenta	al thereto".
	Accordingly	the Coast	Cons	ervation I	Division was
· &	upgraded to	Coast Cons	ervatio	on Departr	ment CCD, in
ament	1984 and th	ne administ	ration,	control,	custody and
2004	management	t of the coa	istal z	one have	been vested
	with Director,	Coast Con	servati	ion.	
) ;	& ement 2004	& connected Accordingly upgraded to 1984 and th management 2004 with Director,	& connected therewith Accordingly the Coast upgraded to Coast Cons 1984 and the administ management of the coa with Director, Coast Cons	& connected therewith or Accordingly the Coast Cons upgraded to Coast Conservation, 1984 and the administration, management of the coastal z with Director, Coast Conservation	& connected therewith or incidental Accordingly the Coast Conservation Departmute upgraded to Coast Conservation Departmute 1984 and the administration, control, management of the coastal zone have with Director, Coast Conservation.

### 4.1.2 An overview of prioritized technologies

Coastal ecosystems have been subjected to destruction not only by the natural causes but also due to anthropogenic activities, which reduce the area covered by sand dunes, mangroves and coral reefs at a rate much higher than the rate of natural replenishment. Therefore, as an initial adaptation measures against climate change, the following three technologies have been prioritised as soft barriers that would help socioeconomic development in the coastal region while acting as barriers against SLR, coastal inundation and erosion.

- Sand dune Rehabilitation
- Restoration of Mangroves
- Restoration of Coral Reefs

#### A) Sand dune Rehabilitation

Aimed at facilitating the growth of the sand dunes by replanting dune vegetation, especially in areas affected by anthropogenic activities and in areas vulnerable to SLR, coastal inundation & erosion. In addition to providing a protection from SLR and coastal erosion, it will also act as a wind belt in areas where strong winds persist. It is recommended to identify dune plants most suitable for replanting taking in to account their economical and medicinal importance during this process. Further, the plants of economic and medicinal value will provide an alternative income source for coastal communities. With the improvement of soil conditions due to rehabilitation, many other natural plant communities also will get established improving their biodiversity. Statistics on the status of employment in the activities within sand dune areas has not been recorded and it is negligible when compared with employment opportunities in other sectors.

#### B) Restoration of Mangroves

One of the most commonly restored wetland ecosystems for coastal protection in Sri Lanka is mangroves. Wetland habitats are important because they perform essential functions in terms of coastal flood and erosion management. In addition to the provision of ecosystem functions, the mangroves are instrumental in supporting the livelihoods of the local coastal communities. These mangrove systems also perform vital hydrological functions and serve as breeding grounds for fish & other marine species.

Mangrove rehabilitation is an activity currently being practiced although it is not done according to a properly formulated zonal plan. Therefore, this program recommends preparing a zonal plan, to identify the mangrove areas severely affected due to anthropogenic activities, the levels of restoration needed and the natural mangrove plant diversity as a prerequisite for the rehabilitation programme.

Replanting of mangroves will not only provide protection from sea level rise but it will also provide other socio economic benefits to local communities, opportunities for the development of tourism industry and SMEs based on mangrove products as an income source for local communities. Improvement of mangroves will also improve the lagoon fish production.

### C) Restoration of Coral Reefs

Coral reef restoration has been given priority only during the past two decades but transplanting corals and that too is conducted only at experimental level in the Southern Coastal belt. Since these experiments have has shown promising results, it is recommended to transplant corals in areas where the reefs have been subjected to destruction by the anthropogenic activities. Thus far there has been no involvement of the responsible authorities for coral reef restoration activities and most research initiatives are by the researchers, conservationists and other scientists studying coastal marine biodiversity.

## 4.1.3 General Barriers and proposed measures

There are seven general barriers for the implementation of the proposed adaptation technologies in the coastal sector and they are as follows;

- (i) Inadequate financial assistance
- (ii) Inadequate government patronage
- (iii) Poor enforcement or lack of resource management plans
- (iv) Unsustainable practices /resource utilisation
- (v) Inadequate inter agency coordination
- (vi) Inadequate awareness
- (vii) Inadequate knowledge on the technologies

Out of the above, barriers (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vii) are common for all the three technologies and the barriers (iv) and (vii) have relevance to Rehabilitation of sand dunes and Restoration of coral reefs only.

### Proposed measures for general barriers

#### (h) Inadequate financial assistance

Following three measures are proposed to overcome this barrier;

- a) Seek annual government funding and also from other sources such as donors, NGOs & INGOs for project specific activities.
- b) Encourage self sustaining economic activities using mangrove products.
- c) Introduce eco-friendly activities with financial gains.

#### (ii) Inadequate government patronage

- a) Justify government financing by highlighting the socioeconomic spin offs due to restoration of sand dunes and their vegetation and also the potential economic losses in the absence of investments for dune rehabilitation.
- b) Encourage the government to increase the budgetary allocations for sustainable socioeconomic programmes.
- c) Awareness creation on the importance of sustainable management of mangroves to enable the relevant government officials to allocate required funds.
- d) Conduct awareness programs to policy makers, highlighting the potential socioeconomic gains of reef restoration

#### (iii) Poor enforcement or lack of resource management plans

- a) Conduct awareness programmes to law enforcement officers, on the importance of proper enforcement of coastal zone management regulations.
- b) Conduct awareness programmes to all stakeholders, on the existing rules and regulations and on the necessity of abiding by the existing laws for sustainability of the sand dune ecosystems & their resources.
- c) Provide assistance to relevant government agencies to prepare suitable management plans for rehabilitation of mangroves
- **d)** Organise awareness creation meetings/workshops for the senior officials of the line agencies to highlight the importance of rehabilitation of mangroves for socioeconomic benefits

- e) Establish community participatory organizations in the vicinity of coral reefs to ensure sustainability of coral reefs and to monitor the development programmes
- f) Appoint properly constituted competent committees to review the IEE/EIA reports related to development and economic activities in the coastal zone as deemed appropriate.

### (iv) Unsustainable practices/resource utilisation

- a) Development of multidisciplinary projects in collaboration with research/academic institutions.
- b) Identify strategies to develop and improve fruitful collaborations, to
  - Identify location specific problems in sand dune conservation.
  - Prepare activity plans to overcome the problems to achieve desired development goals
- c) Conduct awareness programmes to key officials from different line ministries indicating the need for effective inter agency coordination for successful coral restoration programmes.
- **d)** Engage trained personnel from respective line agencies for coral transplanting, reef cleaning and reef restoration programmes.

### (v) Inadequate coordination & among different Institutions

- a) Form a core group of actors selected from the coastal communities
- **b)** Provide alternative sources of income or employment, within the same region, to those involved in destructive activities
- c) Government agencies should develop suitable strategies to better appreciate and understand the role of NGOs involved in community participatory programs related to sand dune conservation and restoration activities.
- d) Conduct awareness programs to those involved in unsustainable practices within mangrove areas
- e) Enforcement of strict regulations and appropriate punitive actions against culprits.
- f) Conduct awareness programs on the impacts of unsustainable socio economic activities related to reefs
- g) Offer alternative livelihood opportunities or training for those involved in coral destructive self employment.

### (vi) Inadequate awareness

- a) Conduct awareness programmes to all stakeholder coastal communities on the importance of restoring sand dune ecosystems for their own wellbeing for securing their assistance for restoration of sand dunes.
- **b)** Involve unemployed coastal youth in eco-tourism and the coastal tourist hoteliers for sand dune restoration and coastal eco-tourism.
- c) Establish nature trails among dune vegetations and turtle nesting sites with the involvement of local tourism authorities.
- d) Establish herbal gardens, by planting dune vegetation having medicinal importance.
- e) Encourage floating hotels in the vicinity of coastal sand dunes.
- f) Conduct awareness programs to different stakeholders separately and collectively highlighting the non extractive uses/importance, role and functions of corals.
- g) Formulate development plans with stakeholder participation.
- h) Conduct awareness programs on the importance of controlling potential pollution and sedimentation due to land-based and costal activities.

### (vii) Inadequate knowledge on the technologies

- a) Encourage rehabilitation of dune vegetations with plants of economic and medicinal importance.
- b) Conduct awareness/training programs to disseminate knowledge on
  - Plants suitable for sand dune rehabilitation.
  - Tissue culture & other propagation methods to produce sufficient numbers of plants/ propagules for replanting.
- c) Encourage the government to introduce proven economically important exotic dune plants (*Pandanus spp.*) based on feasibility studies.
- d) Sustainable utilisation of dune vegetation for SMEs
- e) Establish regulatory mechanisms for mangrove replanting programs.
- f) Develop zonal plans to identify priority mangrove areas requiring rehabilitation using GIS & remote sensing techniques.
- g) Identify most suitable species for replanting.
- h) Provide adequate training to members selected from the stakeholder groups and use them as catalysts for implementing the respective programs and also as trainers for the rest of the community.

### 4.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies

The specific measures proposed for prioritized technologies in the coastal sector are given in Table 4.3 below.

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Request project specific annual funding from the government sources.
2.	Conduct awareness programs to all stakeholders of the coastal regions on existing policies, rules &
	regulations, socioeconomic importance of sand dune ecosystems and their non-extractive uses.
3.	Encourage planting of dune species of economic and medicinal importance; Establish SMEs and
	provision of incentives to trained persons to manage SMEs; Conduct feasibility studies for
	introduction of exotic species of <i>Pandanus</i> spp. of economic importance.
4.	Develop multidisciplinary projects with stakeholder collaboration and identify strategies to develop
	and improve fruitful collaborations for sand dune rehabilitation.
5.	Build capacity at R & D institutions for undertaking research related to environmental protection,
	conservation & management.
6.	Form a committed group of actors selected from the coastal communities; Provide alternative
	sources of income or employment within the same region to those involved in destructive activities;
	Government departments and their line ministries to develop suitable strategies to better appreciate
	and understand the role of NGOs in community participatory programs.
7.	Train and retain adequate number of staff and prepare a bibliography of available trained personnel
8.	(i) Encourage off-shore sand extraction for building construction (ii) Popularise construction
	technologies not involved with coastal sand.
1	

### Table 4.3: Proposed measures for Sand dune Rehabilitation

Table 4.4: Proposed r	measures for	<b>Restoration</b>	of Mangroves
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------	--------------

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Attract funds through properly formulated proposals and self sustaining economic activities using
	mangrove products
2.	Improve awareness and provide assistance to relevant government agencies to prepare suitable
	management plans for rehabilitation
3.	Encourage non-extractive and/or sustainable utilisation of mangroves and its resources and reduce
	pollution & sedimentation
4.	Establish regulatory mechanisms for replanting mangroves based on properly formulated zonal
	plans using GIS & remote sensing techniques
5.	Conduct research projects related to rehabilitation, sustainability and value added products related
	to mangroves.

## Table 4.5: Proposed measures for Restoration of coral reefs

No	Recommended Measures
1.	(i) Attract project soecific funding from local & foreign sources, NGOs etc., (ii) Introduce eco-friendly
	activities having potential for financial gains.
2.	(i) Establish community participatory organizations in the vicinity of coral reefs to monitor the
	development programmes, ensure sustainability of coral reefs and to help mitigation practices; (ii)
	Appoint competent committees to review the IEE & EIA
3.	Improve stakeholder awareness on the impacts of unsustainable economic activities related to
	reefs and non-extractive uses of coral reefs and promote eco friendly activities.
4.	Implementation of river basin management programs and regulate land use practices to reduce
	sedimentation due to agriculture, mining and other modes of erosion.
5.	Provide adequate training to members of stakeholder groups and line ministries and use them as
	leaders for implementation of the respective restoration programs and as trainers to train others
6.	(i) Formulate development plans with stakeholder consultations; (ii) Conduct regular monitoring
	programmes by involving stakeholders trained to be alert about natural phenomena,

# 4.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Rehabilitation of Sand Dunes

## 4.2.1 Description of the Technology

Natural sand barriers with their vegetation could be used as soft barriers as an adaptation against coastal erosion and inundation due to climate change induced sea level rise. Wherever they have been removed for anthropogenic activities, their rehabilitation need to be done by replanting dune vegetation. Propagation of plants could be done by using seeds or tissue culture techniques.

Facilities to collect seeds of *Pandanus* and other dune plants with economic or medicinal value and to establish nurseries to raise the required number of propagules should be provided at academic or research institutes or at community centres established for this purpose. In areas where dune sand has been removed for anthropogenic activities, such as construction work, replanting could be carried out after beach nourishment to improve the quality of the substratum to speed up the establishment of dune vegetation. In addition to replanting of *Pandanus* spp., other dune plant species should be introduced to the same area or allow natural regeneration over time with the improvement of environmental conditions upon replanting *Pandanus* sp. Terraced plantations should be introduced.

*Pandanus* plantations are widely practiced in Pacific islands and it has been accepted by the local communities due to its economic value. The successful post tsunami rehabilitation programs appear to suffer due to the lack of maintenance in view of inadequate government patronage to promote such projects.

If the funding is made available, this project will be a feasible one and would provide opportunities for cottage industries based on *Pandanus* leaves.

Plant species that grow on dune sand are abundant in Sri Lanka and scientifically organised terraced plantations would not only provide protection to the coastal sand dunes against coastal erosion, storm surge, tsunami and other harmful coastal activities, but it will also provide alternative income sources for coastal communities and will improve the aesthety of the sandy beaches. It will also provide nesting sites to turtles and sea birds, which would attract nature lovers and local and foreign tourists. Coastal communities living in the vicinity of sand dunes in the North, North-western, South-eastern and Eastern coastal belts would be the potential beneficiaries of this technology.

It will provide a protection from coastal erosion and also will act as a wind belt in areas where strong winds persist. In addition, *Pandanus* plant and other plants of economic and medicinal value will provide an alternative income source for coastal communities. Improved soil conditions due to rehabilitation would facilitate natural regeneration of plant communities while improving their biodiversity.

# 4.2.2 Target for Technology Transfer

The initial target for the technology is 20 ha within a period of 7 years. The activity schedule for rehabilitation of sand dunes is summarised below.

- Identification and demarcation of 10 suitable sites each having approximately 2 ha
- Conduct awareness programs
- Train 100 persons selected from 10 sites
- Establish dune plant nurseries
- Re-planting of dunes and select best sites for maintaining plantations
- Commence establishment of Small and Medium Industries (SMEs) in successful sites
- Select the most suitable sites to re-establish dune vegetation and expand the area up to 50 ha by 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of the sixth year. The total period involved will be 7 years.

### 4.2.3 Barriers to Technologies diffusion

Ten (10) key barriers comprised of one (01) economic & financial, two (02) policy, legal & regulatory, one (01) network failures, one (01) institutional & organizational capacity, one (01) human skills, one (01) social, cultural & behavioural, one (01) information & awareness and, one (01) technical and one (01) "Other" have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification is given in table 4.6.

Techno	Technology Name: Rehabilitation of Sand Dunes					
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1-5)	Category of Barriers			
1.	Inadequate funds for restoration of sand dunes through natural beach nourishment and planting of dune vegetation and to conduct awareness programs	2	Economic and financial			
2.	Poor enforcement of coastal zone management regulations	1	Policy, legal & regulatory			
3.	Low priority given for funding for environmental protection and R&D under the existing financial policy	3	Policy, legal & regulatory			
4.	Inadequate inter agency coordination among relevant government agencies	3	Network failures			
5.	Inadequate opportunities for research	5	Institutional & organisational capacity			
6.	Inadequate trained personnel / experts to provide knowledge on technologies used	4	Human Skills			
7.	Lack of commitment by the coastal communities & industries to protect existing sand dunes and rehabilitation due to difficulty in giving up destructive coastal resources based livelihood activities	5	Social, cultural & behavioural			
8.	General lack of awareness on the non extractive uses/importance, role and functions of coastal sand dunes for national development and protection of the environment, at all levels of the	2	Information and Awareness			

### Table 4.6: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 1

	society		
0	Lack of knowledge on technologies adopted for	3	Technical
9.	sustainable utilisation of dune vegetation		rechnical
10	Negative impacts of extracting sand for	5	Other herriere
10.	construction industries		Other barriers

# 4.2.4 Proposed Action Plans for Rehabilitation of Sand Dunes

The Proposed Action Plan for Rehabilitation of Sand Dunes is provided in table 4.7.

# COASTAL SECTOR

## Action plans for Rehabilitation of Sand Dunes

### Table 4.7: Proposed Action plans for Rehabilitation of sand dunes

Measure/Action 1: Provide annual funding from the Government, based on suitably justified proposals submitted by relevant line Ministries/Departments and by NGOs & INGOs who are actively involved in adaptation procedures for climate change and on conservation of ecosystems & biodiversity.

Justification for the action: Inadequate funds for rehabilitation of sand dunes by beach nourishment and planting of dune vegetation and to conduct awareness programs

Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators	
	Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding	indicators
I.	Provide funding for implementation of sand dune		Coast			
	rehabilitation activities.	High	Conservation	0-7 year	Domestic	Availability of funding with effect from end of year 1
		High	Department		US \$ 50,000	to year 7
			(CCD)			

**Measure/Action 2:** Conduct awareness programmes to all stakeholders of the coastal regions on existing policies, rules & regulations, socioeconomic importance of sand dune ecosystems and their non-extractive uses

Justification for the action: General lack of awareness on the socioeconomic importance of sand dunes and its vegetation and the non-extractive uses of sand dune resources at all levels of the society

	Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time Frame	Cost (US\$) & Funding	Indicators
Ι.	Conduct awareness workshops					

a)	Awareness programmes to all stake holders on socio-economic benefits of sand dune ecosystems and its vegetation and on technologies involved in propagation and maintenance of dune plantations.	V. High	Coast Conservation Department (CCD)	0-2 years	Domestic & International US \$ 21,000	- Improved awareness among all stakeholders on sand dunes within 2 years
b)	Awareness workshops to members of the Police department, Navy and Coast Guard on the importance of protecting sand dune ecosystems from illegal and destructive activities.	V. High	Coast Conservation Department (CCD)	0-2. years	Domestic & International US \$ 6,000	- At least 50% reduction of reported sand dune ecosystem destructive activities by the end of 2 years
c)	Training workshops on ecotourism to unemployed youth.	High	CCD/ Tourist Board/ Coast Guard	1 to 3 years	Domestic & International US \$ 12,000	- 100- 200 Trained tour guides to be involved in eco-tourism from 2-4 years.
d)	Awareness workshops to coastal tourist hotel owners, on conservation & management of sand dunes, establishment of nature trails in dune vegetation.	High	CCD/ Tourist Board/ Tourist hotel owners	1.5-3.0 Years	D & I US \$ 6,000	<ul> <li>Over 60-80% of coastal tourist hotels involve in conservation of sand dunes &amp; establishment of nature trails by end of year 3.</li> </ul>
e)	Training workshops on identification of suitable dune plants of economic & medicinal importance for replanting, tissue culture techniques to produce propagules.	High	M/Agricultural Development, M/ Indigenous medicine, CCD,	1 to 3 years	US \$ 120,000	<ul> <li>100- 200 trained persons for identification of suitable dune plants and on tissue culture techniques by 2 to 4 years</li> </ul>
f)	Awareness/training programmes on use of alternatives for dune sand in construction industry	High	ICTAD & CCD	0.5-1.5 Years	D & I US\$ 6,000	- 25%to 90% reduction in usage of dune sand for construction work (from 2- 7 years)

Measure/Action 3: I. Encourage plantations of dune vegetations of economic and medicinal importance; II. Establish SMEs and provision of incentives to trained

persons to establish SMEs; III. Conduct feasibility studies for introduction of exotic species of *Pandanus* spp. of economic importance.

Justification for the action: General lack of awareness on the non extractive uses/importance role and functions of coastal sand dunes; Lack of knowledge on technologies adopted for sustainable utilisation of dune vegetation

Action (Quit Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiastore				
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding	Indicators				
I. Establishment of Tissue culture laboratories & nurseries for propagation of plants for dune re- plantatings & herbal gardens.	High	Universities, Agrarian research institutes,	1-3 years	D & I US \$ 600,000	<ul> <li>Establishment of 2 tissue culture laboratories at research/higher educational institutes by 2<sup>nd</sup> year.</li> <li>Establishment of 10 nurseries and 10 Dune plantations/herbal gardens of economic/medicinal importance by 2-4 years</li> </ul>				
II. Establish SMEs and provision of incentives to trained persons to establish SMEs	High	Indigenous medicine, M/ Industrial Development,	1.5 -4.0 years	D & I \$200,000	- Establishment of 10 dune vegetation related SMEs by 2.5 years.				
III. Conduct feasibility studies for introduction of exotic <i>Pandanus</i> spp. of economic importance.	High	Universities/ Agrarian research institute	1.5-2.5 years	D & I US \$ 50,000	- Suitable exotic <i>Pandanus</i> spp of economic importance are identified by the end of 2 years				
Measure/Action 4: (I) Development of multidisciplinary projects in collaboration with research/academic institutions, identify strategies to develop and improve fruitful collaborations, identify problems within the locations with sand dunes and prepare activity plans to overcome the problems to reach development goals									
Justification for the action: Inadequate inter agency coor	dination								
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators				

	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding	
I. Preparation of R & D projects/plans in collaboration				D	
with government agencies having responsibilities for		National Science	Every	US \$ 35000	Approval of funding for at locat 2 project
activities in the coastal areas.	Medium	Foundation (NSF)	3- 5	(Funding for	- Approval of funding for at least 3 project
			years	projects are	proposals once in every 3 years.
				not included)	
II. Conduct regular consultations with relevant					
institutions for identification of specific and important		Provincial		D	
problems for implementation of collaborative activities	Medium	councils.	0-2	US \$ 24,000	- Development of fruitrui collaborations among
related to conservation & sustainable management of		M/Environment			relevant institutions from 2 years
dune ecosystems.					
Measure/Action 5: Train and retain adequate number	er of staff ar	nd prepare a bibliogra	phy of availa	able trained pers	onnel
Justification for the action: Inadequate trained personnel	/experts to	conduct awareness p	rogrammes	and to provide k	nowledge on technologies used for dune
rehabilitation and related activities					
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiactors
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding	Indicators
I. Allocation of funds for training by line ministries		- M/Environment		D&I	- 5 to 10 trained personnel in each of the
		- Ministry/Science	0.5	500,000*	institutions under three line ministries to serve as
	Medium	& Technology	U-5 Vooro	(*This has	trainers within 2-5 years .
		- M/Higher	Tears	not been	
		education		included in	

<ul> <li>II. Develop strategies to retain trained Persons (e.g. obligatory service based on bond agreements)</li> </ul>	Medium	M/Environment; M/Agricultural dev; M/Higher ed;	No time limits	the initial budget)	<ul> <li>Retention of at least 5 trainers in each of the relevant institutions after 7 years.</li> </ul>				
III. Preparation of a bibliography of trained persons in relevant fields,	Medium	NSF/ Centre for Agrarian Research policy(CARP)	0-0.1 Years	D US \$ 5,000	<ul> <li>Database &amp; a bibliography of experts and their research out puts prepared after 1 year</li> </ul>				
Measure/Action 6: Form a committed group of catalysts selected from the coastal communities, provide alternative sources of income or employment within the same region to those involved in destructive activities; Government agencies to develop suitable strategies for better understanding and appreciation of NGOs involved in community participatory programmes.									
Justification for the action: Lack of commitment by the coastal communities and industries to protect existing sand dunes and to rehabilitate disturbed sand dunes due to difficulty in giving up livelihood activities based on destructive coastal activities.									
difficulty in giving up livelihood activities based on destru	ctive coasta	al activities.							
difficulty in giving up livelihood activities based on destru Action /Sub Action	ctive coasta Priority Rank	al activities.           Responsibility for           Implementation	Time Frame	Cost (US\$) & Funding	Indicators				
difficulty in giving up livelihood activities based on destruction         Action /Sub Action         I. Form community based organisations to be involved in sand dune rehabilitation programmes	ctive coasta	Al activities.   Responsibility for Implementation  Communities within the area/ CCD/NGOs	Time Frame 0-2 Years	Cost (US\$) & Funding D & I US \$ 5,000	Indicators - Effective conservation and management of dune ecosystems through community participation after 1.5 years.				
difficulty in giving up livelihood activities based on destruction         Action /Sub Action         I. Form community based organisations to be involved in sand dune rehabilitation programmes         II. Provide employment to persons involved in destructive activities (Tourism, SMEs, etc.)	Ctive coasta	Responsibility for Implementation Communities within the area/ CCD/NGOs CCD/M/Environme nt/NGOs	Time Frame 0-2 Years 1 -6 Years	Cost (US\$) & Funding D & I US \$ 5,000 D & I US \$ 150,000	Indicators         - Effective conservation and management of dune ecosystems through community participation after 1.5 years.         - 50% reduction of dune destructive activities after 3 years and 90% reduction by 7 <sup>th</sup> year				

Measure/Action 6: Encourage off-shore sand extraction for building construction; II. Popularise construction technologies, not involving coastal sand									
Justification for the action: Use of dune sand for constru	Justification for the action: Use of dune sand for construction work. Action is to minimize the use of dune sand for construction work.								
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators				
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding					
I. Encourage extraction of off shore sand for		NBRO/Land							
construction purposes		Reclamation &	030		- 25% reduction of removal of sand from dunes				
	High	Development	U-3.0	03 \$ 3,000	and coastal belt close to sand dunes after 0.5				
		Corporation	Tears		years and 90% reduction by 7 years.				
		(SLLRDC)							
Measure/Action 9: Build capacity at R & D institution	ns to handle	e research related to e	environment	al protection, cor	servation & management, by incorporating this need				
in the corporate plan									
Justification for the action: Inadequate opportunities for	research ac	ctivities related to san	d dune rehal	bilitation					
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators				
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	Frame	Funding					
I. Improve infrastructure facilities at R & D institutions		- M/Technology &	0550	D&I	- Required infrastructure facilities available at R &				
and Higher Educational Institutions and develop	Madium	Research	0.5-5.0	\$ 150,000/	D and Higher Educational Institutions within 5				
R&D plans to including required research activities	Medium	- M/Higher	years	year to each	years.				
		Education		institution					
Total Cost of Technol	ology 1:			US \$ 2.395	million				
V. High = Very High; D – Domestic; I – International; C	CD - Coast	Conservation Depart	ment; ICTAE	) - Institute of Co	nstruction Training and Development; SLLRDC -				

Land Reclamation & Development Corporation; NSF – National Science Foundation; CARP - Centre for Agrarian Research Policy; NBR0 – National Building Research Organization; NGOs – Non-governmental Organizations.

# 4.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Rehabilitation of Mangroves

## 4.3.1 Description of the Technology

Mangroves is one of the most commonly restored wetland ecosystems in the country for coastal protection. Twelve Indian Ocean countries affected by the tsunami waves on 26<sup>th</sup> of December 2004 revealed that coastal areas with dense and healthy mangrove forests played a vital role in buffering the force and such areas suffered fewer losses and less damage to property than those areas in which mangroves had been degraded or converted to other land use<sup>34</sup>. Costs of sea defences are less when they are located behind large areas of mangroves<sup>35</sup>. Even before the Tsunami, Sri Lanka has been experiencing rapid loss of mangrove ecosystems mainly due to anthropogenic factors including unprecedented growth of the tourism sector. In addition to the provision of ecosystem functions, the mangroves are instrumental in supporting the livelihoods of the local coastal communities and the vital hydrological functions and serve as breeding grounds for fish & other marine species.

In Sri Lanka, mangrove systems cover an area of 6000-7000 ha along the coastline of Puttalam, Baticoloa and Tricomalee districts and the largest is the Puttalam Lagoon – Dutch Bay – Portugal Bay complex (3385 ha). This unique ecosystem is home to over 20 true mangrove species. The major genera that represent these species are *Avicennia, Rhizophora, Bruguiera,* and *Sonneratia.* The mangrove forests in Bentota are highly threatened due to expanding tourism. The legal jurisdiction of the mangrove ecosystem falls under the Forest Department, Department of Wildlife Conservation, and the Coast Conservation Department. However, there appears to be inadequate legal protection for these pristine ecosystems.

Any disadvantages of wetland restoration are minimal and it also requires a degree of expertise, especially in locations where wetland re-colonisation has to be encouraged by transplanting wetland plants. Replanting mangroves is a widely accepted technology for restoration of degraded mangrove ecosystems worldwide, but some wetland habitats will be more difficult to recreate than others and will require greater expertise. The very common and widely distributed species of Sri Lankan mangroves are *Avicennia marina, Bruguiera gymnorrhiza, Excoecaria aggalocha, Lumnitzera racemosa, Rhizophora mucronata, Rhizophora apiculata, and Sonneratia caseolaris* which grow under a wide range of soil and hydrological conditions, and they are the most appropriate species for mangrove reforestation. The common category of mangrove species represent *Aegiceras corniculatum, Avicennia officinalis, Bruguiera cylindrica, Bruguiera sexangula, Ceriops tagal, Heretiera littoralis, Pemphis acidula, Sonneratia alba, Nypa fruticans* are also suitable for replanting purposes due to their wide distribution although found in few numbers<sup>36</sup>. Replanting of mangroves will not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Kathiresan & Rajendran, 2005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Barbier, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Information brief on mangroves of Sri Lanka, IUCN

only provide protection from climate change induced sea level rise, but also will provide other socio economic benefits to local communities and also development of tourism industry and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) based on mangrove products will provide an income source for local communities. Improvement of mangroves will also improve the lagoon fish production as well.

## 4.3.2 Target for Technology Transfer and Diffusion

The target for the technology transfer is 20 ha within a period of 5 years. This includes 10 mangrove sites each with an area of approximately 2 ha. The schedule of activities for rehabilitation of mangroves are summarised below.

- Selection of 10 mangrove sites each with an area of approximately 2 ha.
- Conduct awareness and training programmes.
- Collection and preparation of propagules for replanting.
- Establishment of 20 nurseries for production of propagules.
- Preparation of sites and planting of propagules.
- Evaluate the success of replanting programme by monitoring the growth and survival of propagules. The total period involved will be 5 years.

## 4.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Eight key barriers comprised of one (01) economic & financial, two (02) policy, legal & regulatory, two (02) social cultural & behavioral, one (01) each of technical, institutional & organizational capacity and "Other" have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology is given in table 4.8.

Techno	Technology Name: Rehabilitation of Mangroves										
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1-5)	Category of Barriers								
1.	Inadequate financial assistance for restoration programmes.	3	Economic & Financial								
2.	Inadequate Government patronage & commitment.	5	Policy, legal & regulatory								
3.	No proper legal authority for protection and	1	Policy, legal & regulatory								

Table 4.8: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2

	management of mangroves and therefore lack of			
	management plans or strategies to protect and			
	manage this resource.			
	Unsustainable practices (unplanned	2		
4	developments and projects) in areas with			
4.	mangroves. i.e. removal of mangrove vegetation	Social cultural & benavioura		
	for development projects, waste disposal etc.			
5.	Destructive lagoon fishing techniques.	5	Social cultural & behavioural	
6	Replanting mangroves without establishing	5	Tachnical	
0.	proper zonal plans and use of unsuitable species.		rechnicar	
	General lack of appreciation/ awareness on the	4	Institutional and	
7.	non extractive uses/importance, role and			
	functions of mangroves at all levels of the society.		organizational capacity	
	Illegal & unsustainable land use practices in the	4		
8.	hinterland, which cause heavy sedimentation in		Other barriers	
	lagoons and estuaries.			

# 4.3.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology 2

The Proposed Action Plan for Rehabilitation of Mangroves is provided in table 4.9.

# COASTAL SECTOR

## Action plans for Mangrove Rehabilitation

## Table 4.9: Proposed Action plans for Mangrove Rehabilitation

Measure/Action 1: Attract funds through properly formulated proposals and through encouragement of self sustaining economic activities using mangrove products.								
Justification for the action: Inadequate financial assistance and government patronage for mangrove restoration programmes								
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US\$) & Funding	Indicators			
I. Conduct workshops to attract funds, etc.								
<ul> <li>a) Two workshops for preparation of suitable project proposals and to attract funding</li> </ul>	Medium	Coast Conservation Department (CCD)	0 -1 years	D & I US \$ 6,000	<ul> <li>Two successful project proposals which ensure funding by end of year 1.</li> <li>Availability of funds by the end of year 2.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>b) Awareness programmes to officials from the Ministry of Finance &amp; Planning on socioeconomic importance of allocating funds for restoration of mangroves</li> </ul>	Medium	CCD	0-1.0 years	D & I US \$ 3,000	<ul> <li>Increased annual budgetary allocations to relevant institutions from 1.0 year up to 7 years</li> </ul>			
II. Encourage community-based organisations to launch mangrove based programmes yielding financial gains (eco-tourism, SMEs, etc.)	Medium	CCD/NGOs	1-7 year onwards	D & I 100,000 initially	<ul> <li>Establishment of Community based self sustaining SMEs and. Ecotourism by the end of year 2 and continuation up to 7 years.</li> </ul>			
Measure/Action 2 : Improve awareness and provide as	sistance to	line ministries or inst	itutions unde	er them to prepar	e suitable management plans for rehabilitation			

Justification for the action: General lack of appreciation/awareness on the non-extractive uses/importance, role and functions of mangroves, unsustainable practices in mangrove areas, lack of management plans or strategies to protect and manage mangroves

	Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators				
	Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators				
١.	Conduct workshops for mangrove related activities									
a)	Three stakeholder participatory workshops for Preparation of a management plan for protection, rehabilitation and sustainable utilisation of mangroves	V. High	CCD	0-1 years	D & I US \$ 9,000	- Successful management plan available after 1.0 year.				
b)	Awareness workshops for different stakeholder groups on mangrove rehabilitation and sustainable management of mangrove ecosystems.	Very High	CCD/ Forest Dept NGOs	0-2.0 years	D & I 25,000	<ul> <li>Awareness improved by 80% among all stakeholder groups after 2 years</li> <li>Cooperation among stakeholder groups is improved by 60% after 2.0 year.</li> <li>Series of awareness programmes on popular.</li> </ul>				
.,	media, using resource persons with international and local experience in the field of integrated coastal zone management & mangrove restoration.	High	CCD & Local media organisations	0-3 years	D US \$ 100,000 up to 3 years	<ul> <li>television channels and news papers for 3 year.</li> <li>70% Improved Awareness among all stakeholder after 3 years</li> </ul>				
d)	Training programmes to the community on tissue culture techniques for production of propagules, maintenance of nurseries, replanting etc	High	CCD	0.5 – 2 years	D & I US \$ 20,000	<ul> <li>Availability of trained personnel for all aspects of mangrove rehabilitation programmes within 2 years.</li> </ul>				
Me	easure/Action 3 : Encourage non-extractive and/or	sustainable	e utilisation of mangro	oves and its	resources and re	duce pollution & sedimentation				
Ju	stification for the action: To develop strategies to prote	ct and man	age mangroves due t	o absence o	f a proper legal a	authority to protect from destructive activities and				

for sustainable management							
Action (Cub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiantore		
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators		
I. Encourage formation of community organisations to					- Production of sufficient numbers of propagules		
conserve, manage, produce propagules through			0.5		for replanting from end of year 1 to year3 and		
tissue culture, replant mangroves and develop eco-	Medium	CCD/NGOs	C.U		onwards.		
friendly socioeconomic activities in mangrove areas.			onwards	05 \$ 150,000	- Community organisations actively involved in		
					related activities. from year 1 onwards		
II. Strict enforcement law/regulations to protect		CCD/ CEA			- 50% reduction of harmful anthropogenic		
mangrove ecosystems from all coastal and land	High	Coast guard	Atali	US \$ 25,000	activities within 2 years and 90% reduction after		
based destructive activities.		Police department.	times		5years.		
Measure/Action 4. Establish regulatory mechanisms for	or replanting	mangroves using zo	onal plans de	eveloped by mea	ns of GIS & remote sensing techniques		
Justification for the action: Currently replanting of mangro	oves is carri	ied out without proper	zonal plans	and by using un	suitable species of mangrove plants		
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators		
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators		
I. Develop a zonal plans to streamline mangrove		CCD/Forest			- Zonal plans prepared for mangrove areas by		
replanting programmes	Medium	Department (FD)	0.5-1.5		end of 1.5 years.		
				03 \$ 40,000			
II. Use of aerial photographs and past information to					- Availability of information on suitable mangrove		
identify most suitable species to be used in	Medium		0.5 -2		plant species to be used for different sites for		
mangrove replanting programmes,		(FD).		03\$ 20,000	planting after 2 year.		
Aeasure/Action 5: Conduct research projects related to rehabilitation, sustainability and value added products related to mangroves.							

Justification for the action: Currently replanting of mangroves is carried out by using unsuitable species of mangrove plants							
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators		
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding			
1. Conduct research programs on rehabilitation,		CCD/ED/Highor	0-5 years	D & I	Availability of research findings for sustainable		
sustainability and value added mangrove products.	High		and	US\$ 200,000	- Availability of research indulitys for sustainable		
		Education,	onwards		utilisation of mangroves after 1.5 years		
Total Cost of Techno	US \$ 0.698 mil	lion					

High = Very High; D – Domestic; I – International; CCD - Coast Conservation Department; SD – Forest Department; NGOs – Non Governmental Organizations;

CEA – Central Environmental Authority

# 4.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Restoration of Coral Reefs

## 4.4.1 Description of the Technology

Coral reefs are underwater structures made from calcium carbonate secreted by corals which are biologically classified as Cnidarians (Coelenterates). Corals are marine organisms in class Anthozoa of phylum Cnidaria typically living in compact colonies of many identical individual "polyps". The group includes the important reef builders that inhabit tropical oceans and secrete calcium carbonate to form a hard skeleton. Coral forming organisms construct the reef by secreting hard skeletons of aragonite (a fibrous, crystalline calcium carbonate). Most coral reefs are built from stony corals, which in turn consist of polyps that cluster in groups. The polyps are like tiny sea anemones, to which they are closely related. But unlike sea anemones, coral polyps secrete hard carbonate exoskeletons which support and protect their bodies. Reefs grow best in warm, shallow, clear, sunny and agitated waters<sup>37</sup>.

Coral reefs often called "rainforests of the sea" and they form some of the most diverse ecosystems on Earth. They occupy less than one tenth of one percent of the world's ocean surface, about half the area of France, yet they provide a home for twenty-five percent of all marine species (Dali et al. as quoted in <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coral\_reef">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coral\_reef</a>) including other marine vertebrates and invertebrates! Paradoxically, coral reefs flourish even though they are surrounded by ocean waters that provide few nutrients. They are most commonly found at shallow depths in tropical waters, but deep water and cold water corals also exist on smaller scales in other areas.

Coral reefs deliver ecosystem services to tourism, fisheries and shoreline protection. The annual global economic value of coral reefs has been estimated at \$US375 billion. However, coral reefs are fragile ecosystems, partly because they are very sensitive to water temperature. They are under threat from climate change, ocean acidification, blast fishing, cyanide fishing for aquarium fish, mining for lime industry and overuse of reef resources, and harmful land-use practices, including urban and agricultural runoff and water pollution, which can harm reefs by encouraging excess algae growth<sup>38</sup>.

As an adaptation to climate change induced sea level rise, this natural reef building mechanism continued during the evolutionary process, should be artificially enhanced by providing hard substrata attached with relevant samples of temperature tolerant live corals to produce artificial coral reefs. Transplanting of corals on concrete blocks and tiles have been successfully implemented on pilot scale in Sri Lanka.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Garison, 1995; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coral\_reef; Kumara 2008

## 4.4.2 Target for Technology Transfer and Diffusion

The anticipated time line to achieve the results is 7 years. The scheduled activities with respect to successful transfer and diffusion of the technology Restoration of Coral Reefs are summarised below:

(i) Selection of suitable reef sites for transplanting of corals/establishment of artificial reefs, (ii) Conduct awareness/training workshops to different stakeholder groups, (iii) Preparation of material needed for transplanting programme, (iv) Conduct transplanting and monitoring programs with the possibility of expanding the program a wider area once proven success, (v) Evaluation of the program during the final quarter of the third year with appropriate recommendations on suitability of the technology as an climate change adaptation measure.

The total period involved will be 7 years.

### 4.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Ten (10) key barriers comprised of one (01) economic & financial, two (02) policy, legal & regulatory, three (03) social cultural & behavioral, one (01) each of network failure, information & awareness, technical and "Other" have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 3 is given in table 4.10.

Technology Name: Restoration of Coral Reefs						
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank (1-5)	Category of Barriers			
1.	Inadequate financial assistance for monitoring & restoration programmes	5	Economic and financial			
2.	Inadequate government patronage & financial assistance at central &/or provincial level for coral reef conservation and rehabilitation programmes	3	Policy, legal & regulatory			
3.	Poor enforcement of coastal regulations and lack or poor EIAs when establishing large tourist resorts in the vicinity of coral reefs	2	Policy, legal & regulatory			
4.	Unsustainable resource utilisation (e.g. corals for	1	Social cultural & behavioural			

Table 4.10:	List of key barriers	and hierarchy	classification	for the technology 3
-------------	----------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------------

	lime industry, collection of ornamental fish, use of			
	explosives for fishing)			
	Sedimentation and pollution due to unplanned			
5.	socioeconomic activities in the coastal belt and	2	Social cultural & behavioural	
	hinterland			
6	Destructive activities against conservation	F		
0.	/rehabilitation programmes, transplanting, etc	5	Social cultural & benavioural	
7.	Inadequate inter agency coordination	4	Network failure	
8.	Inadequate stakeholder awareness	3	Information and awareness	
0	Inadequate trained personnel to involve in coral	2	Technical	
9.	rehabilitation programmes	Z	rechnical	
10.	Natural phenomena that bleach corals	3	Other barriers	

# 4.4.4 Proposed Action Plans for Restoration of Coral Reefs

The Proposed Action Plan for Restoration of Coral Reefs is provided in table 4.11.

# COASTAL SECTOR

# Action plans for Restoration of Coral reefs

## Table 4.11: Proposed Action plans for Restoration of coral reefs

Measure/Action 1: (i). Attract project specific funding from local & foreign sources, NGOs etc. and introduce eco-friendly activities with financial gains.						
Justification for the action: Inadequate financial assistance	e for restor	ation programmes an	nd program	monitoring		
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiastoro	
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators	
I. Prepare project proposals for reef restoration		(CCD).	0.5-1.0	US \$ 6,000	- At least 2 successful major collaborative project	
through stakeholder participatory workshops.	Medium	M/ Technology & Research.	years	D	proposals completed within 1.5 years.	
<ol> <li>Introduce eco-friendly socio-economic activities to attract foreign exchange from visitors to reef sites.</li> </ol>	Medium	CCD &Ministry of Tourism	1.0 onwards	D & I US \$ 100,000	<ul> <li>Establishment of 4 eco-friendly socioeconomic activities after 5 years.</li> <li>25% to 80% increase in the income from local &amp; foreign visitors 2-7 years.</li> </ul>	
III. Conduct 2 awareness programmes to government officials who allocate funds from the annual budget, in order to improve government patronage & funding for restoration	Medium	CCD & M/Finance & Planning	0.5-1.0 years	D & I US \$ 10,000	<ul> <li>Increased allocation of funds from annual budget for coral restoration after 1 year.</li> </ul>	

**Measure/Action 2:** Establish community participatory organizations in the vicinity of coral reefs to monitor the development programmes, ensure sustainability of coral reefs and to help mitigation practices; (ii). Appoint competent committees as deemed appropriate to review the IEE & EIA.

Justification for the action: Poor enforcement of coastal regulations and lack or poor IEEs & EIAs when establishing large tourist resorts in the vicinity of coral reefs

Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators
I. Formation of community participatory organisations				D&I	- At least two responsible community participatory
consisting of persons committed to protect, conserve	Lliab	CCD/NGOs/	0.5-1.0	US \$	organisations for each reef site included in the
and restore coral reefs with swimming, snorkelling	nign	Community	years	1,600,000	programme within 2 years.
and diving skills.					
II. IEEs & EIAs should be conducted to all major				D	- All coastal developmental activities are
development and economic activities in the coastal	High	M/environment/	0-0.5		reviewed by the IEE/EIA committee after 0.5
zonet and be reviewed by committees with required	nign	CEA/CCD	years	03 \$ 50,000	years to 7 years. and onwards.
knowledge & experience.					

Measure/Action 3: (i). Improve stakeholder awareness on the impacts of unsustainable socio economic activities related to reefs and non-extractive uses of coral reefs and promotion of eco friendly activities.

Justification for the action: Unsustainable development plans and resource utilisation (e.g. corals for lime industry, collection of ornamental fish, use of explosives for fishing) within reef sites

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US\$) & Funding	Indicators
I. Improve awareness on coral reef ecosystems					
<ul> <li>a) Conduct awareness programmes to all groups of stakeholders within and in close proximity to reef ecosystems.</li> </ul>	V. High	CCD & MEPA	0.5- 2.0 years	D & I US \$ 25,000	<ul> <li>Awareness among government officials on importance of collaborative approach on development programmes after 1 year</li> </ul>

b) Conduct awareness programmes on the non					- 25% reduction of impacts from pollutants and
extractive uses/importance, role and functions of	Medium		1020	D & I	sedimentation to the coral reef ecosystems after
corals and on the importance of controlling pollution			1.0-2.0 US \$ 15,000	2.0 years	
and sedimentation.					
c) Involve persons engaged in coral destructive					- 50% to 90 % of persons involved in coral
activities in coral transplanting programmes and/or	V. High		0.5-3.0 D&I destructiv	destructive activities assist in coral transplanting	
train them for eco-friendly income generating		CCD & MEPA	years	US \$ 50,000	and eco-friendly economic activities from 2 to 7
activities.					years.

**Measure/Action 4:** (i). Implementation of river basin management programmes and control of land use patterns to reduce sedimentation through agriculture, mining and erosion through involvement of National Physical Planning Department, law enforcement to illegal coastal practices and reef cleaning programmes

Justification for the action: Sedimentation and pollution due to unplanned Socioeconomic activities in the coastal belt and hinterland

Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiastora
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	Indicators
I .Monitoring programmes to determine the				D&I	- Availability of baseline information, on each reef
sedimentation rates and influx of nutrients to reef	Lliab		0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5 US \$ 200,000	site to be used for future development
sites	High		years	(30,000	programmes and IEE/EIAs after 1.5 years.
				annually)	
II. Regulate land use practices in the catchment areas		National Devaical	Start at		
of river basins which releases water into sensitive	High	High Planning Department/CEA	year 1	US \$ 10,000	- 90% control over the land used patterns within
reef ecosystems			and		the relevant catchment areas within 7 years.
			continue		
III Organise community participatory reef cleaning	High		Appual	D&I	- Healthy coral reefs, growing satisfactorily
programmes with the assistance of nature lovers,	nign		Annual	US \$ 80,000	without any exotic materials from year1 to year7.

	-						
NGOs.							
IV. Severe punitive actions against persons and organisations involved in activities harmful to coral reefs (e.g. release of untreated sewage, effluents,	High	MEPA/CCD Coast Guard	No time limit	No financial involvement	<ul> <li>Severe punishments imposed to persons/organisations involved in coral reef destructive activities from the beginning.</li> </ul>		
illegal fishing, removal of corals etc.)							
Measure/Action 5: (i). Provide adequate training to	suitable m	embers selected fror	n the stak	eholder groups	and line ministries and uses them as leaders for		
implementation of the respective restoration programme	s and as tra	iners to train others					
Justification for the action: Inadequate trained personne	l to involve	in coral rehabilitation	programme	es			
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indicators		
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	indicators		
I. Provide adequate training in all reef restoration and			0.5		- Availability of 10 trained persons in each reef		
conservation related activities to groups of persons	High	CCD/MEPA	0.5		sites for all responsibilities after 1.0 year.		
selected from the community and related institutions	/NGOs	years	03 \$ 200,000	- Sustainably managed healthy coral reefs after			
			Unwarus		1.5 years.		
Measure/Action 6: (i).Formulate development plans in c	onsultation	and through cooperat	tion with im	portant stakeholo	ders; (ii). Conduct seasonal monitoring programmes		
with the cooperation of trained stakeholders to be alert about natural phenomena,							
Justification for the action: Inadequate stakeholder awareness on natural phenomena that bleach corals							
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US\$) &	Indiastora		
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding	indicators		
I. Develop a sustainable management plan for reef	Medium	CCD/NGOs	Year 1	D&I	- Availability of a long-term management plan		

ecosystems, through consultation of stakeholders			onwards	US \$ 9,000	acceptable to all stakeholders after 2 year.		
and experts.							
II. Conduct Seasonal monitoring programmes to			0.5	D&I			
monitor resilience of coral reefs and to identify early		CCD/MEPA/	onwards	US \$ 80,000	Eviatorea of a data hass on soral hisdiversity		
signs of bleaching.		R&D		(At least	- Existence of a data base on coral blodiversity		
III. Use of GIS & remote sensing techniques to forecast	Medium	Institutions/Univer		10,000	to Identify any changes in the reef accounter		
damage to reef ecosystems by natural phenomena		sities		annually)	to identify any changes in the reel ecosystems		
and to be alert on such hazards							
Total Cost of Technology 3: US \$ 2.435 million							

High = Very High; D – Domestic; I – International; CCD - Coast Conservation Department; FD – Forest Department; NGOs – Non Governmental Organizations;

CEA – Central Environmental Authority; R & D – Research & Development; MEPA - Marine Environment Protection Authority

# **CHAPTER 5**

# Technology Action Plan for the Biodiversity Sector

# 5.1 Actions at sectoral level

### 5.1.1 Short sector description:

Sri Lanka is one of the most biologically diverse countries in Asia. Despite its small size of 6,570,134 hectares, Sri Lanka has a varied climate and topography, which has resulted in rich biodiversity, distributed within a wide range of ecosystems. Sri Lanka's biodiversity is considered to be the richest per unit area in the Asian region with regard to mammals, reptiles, amphibians, fish and flowering plants; overtaking several mega diversity countries such as Malaysia, Indonesia and India<sup>39</sup>. The biodiversity of the country is recognized as being globally important. Sri Lanka along with the Western Ghats of India has been identified as one of the 34 biodiversity hotspots in the world<sup>40</sup>. Biodiversity provides a multitude of ecosystem goods and services to people of Sri Lanka, including watershed services, regulation of climate, carbon sequestration, supply of non-timber forest products such as rattan, wild foods, fruits, and medicinal plants, among many others. It is estimated that about 15% of the island's forests and scrublands lie within the country's Protected Area (PA) system<sup>41</sup>, while some marine protected areas have also been set up in addition to these terrestrial areas. Additionally there are several policies, legislations and programs set up to protect the country's biodiversity. The value of conserving the country's biodiversity is recognized in national planning, and is highlighted in the *MahindaChintana*, national policy framework for Sri Lanka, *Haritha*(Green) Lanka Action Plan and the National Physical Planning Policy and Plan<sup>42</sup>.

Despite all these efforts, Sri Lanka's biodiversity remain threatened. While some critical localities are not included in the protected area system, even some of those within the system still face serious threats. The biggest threats to the protected area system and biodiversity in general come from encroachments and conversion to other land uses, illegal extraction of natural resources, shifting cultivation, forest fires, haphazard development projects, poaching, pollution, siltation and sedimentation, sewage and solid waste disposal in coastal and marine ecosystems, development of aquaculture and due to illegal sand/coral and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>NARESA 1991, Natural Resources of Sri Lanka: Conditions and Trends. Natural Resources, Energy and Science Authority of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B. & Kent, J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*403, 853–858

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Ministry of Environment (1999). *Biodiversity conservation in Sri Lanka: a framework for action*. Colombo, Sri Lanka.
 <sup>42</sup>Ministry of Environment. 2010. Sector Vulnerability Profile: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
gem mining, among others. According to the latest IUCN Red List in 2007 for Sri Lanka, of the 677 vertebrate species 233 (33%) have been classified as Nationally Threatened. Of this, 138 (62%) are endemic to the country. Many plant species in the country are also facing threat. The Red List assessed about 35% (1,099) of indigenous angiosperm flora and found that 61% of these species are threatened, of this 412 (61%) are endemic<sup>43</sup>.

Climate change will no doubt be a threat to Sri Lanka's biodiversity. It is unlikely that all impacts of climate change on biodiversity are preventable. However, it is recognized that genetically diverse populations of species, and species rich ecosystems, have much greater potential to adapt to climate change. Conservation of biodiversity and maintenance of ecosystem structure and function may, therefore, be one of the most practical climate change adaptation strategies that Sri Lanka can adopt to conserve the country's natural heritage<sup>44</sup>.

**Vulnerability to climate change:** The Sector Vulnerability Profile (SVP) for the biodiversity sector (which is a supplementary document to Sri Lanka's National Climate Change Adaptation Policy) has looked at the impact of climate change on this sector. It states that, as an island nation, Sri Lanka is vulnerable to the risk of sea level rise and increased frequency of storms that can bring major impacts on coastal biodiversity. Additionally, analysis of climate data indicate a change in rainfall regimes, and a trend for increasing air temperature, which can also have impacts on the country's biodiversity. According to the SVP, the impact of climate change on biodiversity and possible areas for adaptation are still speculative.

The SVP has also identified vulnerability enhancing factors for biodiversity, which are identified as the main anthropogenic factors that currently threaten biodiversity and would reduce resilience of ecosystems and species to withstand impacts of climate change. These include habitat loss and fragmentation, ecosystem degradation, over exploitation of biological resources, loss of traditional crop and livestock varieties and breeds, pollution, human - wildlife conflicts, spread of Invasive Alien Species (IAS) and increasing human population density.

Existing Policies and Laws Related to Development and Technology Development in the Biodiversity Sector

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>IUCN Sri Lanka & the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources. (2007). The Red List of threatened fauna and flora of Sri Lanka. Colombo, Sri Lanka, xiii+148pp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>Ministry of Environment. 2010. Op. Cit.

The existing policy framework and legislation related the sector's development and technology deployment are given below.

Existing Key Policies and Laws in the Biodiversity sector are given in tables 5.1 and 5.2 below.

Key policies		Main Contents		
	Enacted			
1. National Forestry Policy	1995	The three main objectives of the National Forest Policy are, (a) to conserve forests for posterity, with particular regard to biodiversity, soils, water, and historical, cultural, religious and aesthetic values (b) to increase the tree cover and productivity of the forests to meet the needs of present and future generations for forest products and services (c) to enhance the contribution of forestry to the welfare of the rural population, and strengthen the national economy, with special attention paid to equity in economic development.		
2. National Policy for Wildlife Conservation of Sri Lanka	2000	The policy states the vision and mission and also provides an overview at the beginning, and also contains a preamble. The policy details objectives, and also policies on – protected area management and wildlife conservation; institutional support for wildlife conservation; and inter-sectoral linkages. It also includes definitions of key concepts.		
<b>3</b> .Climate Change Policy	2012	The vision of the policy is a future where climate change will have no adverse consequences on Sri Lanka, whilst its mission is to address climate change issues locally while engaging in the global context. It has the goal of - adaptation to and mitigation of climate change impacts within the framework of sustainable development. Goal of the policy is Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change impacts within the framework of sustainable development Policy Objectives are (a) to sensitize and make aware the communities periodically on the country's vulnerability to climate change (b) to take adaptive measures to avoid/minimize adverse impacts of climate change to the people, their livelihoods and ecosystems (c) to Mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in the path of		

Table 5.1: Existing key policies in the Biodiversity sector

sustainable development (d) to Promote sustainable consumption and
production.(e) to enhance knowledge on the multifaceted issues
related to climate change in the society and build their capacity to
make prudent choices in decision making (f) to develop the country's
capacity to address the impacts of climate change effectively and
efficiently (g) to mainstream and integrate climate change issues in
the national development process

#### Table 5.2: Existing key laws in the Biodiversity sector

Legislation	Main Contents					
Fauna and Flora Protection	The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance Provides for the					
Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 (as	conservation of plants and animals, which have been declared as					
amended.	protected species. It also empowers the Minister in charge to declare					
	any area of State Land as a National Reserve or Sanctuary.					
Forest Ordinance No. 16 of	The Forest Ordinance consolidates the laws relating to forests and to					
1907 (as amended) and the	the felling and transportation of timber. It also empowers the Minister					
Rules and Regulations under	in charge to declare any area of State land as a Reserved Forest,					
the Ordinance.	Conservation Forest or a Village Forest.					

#### 5.1.2 An overview of prioritized technologies:

Through stakeholder consultations and by using the Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) approach, the Technology Needs Assessment process has identified five prioritized technologies for the sector. The prioritized technologies are listed below in order of priority.

# 1. Rehabilitation and Restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience.

Restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network will be necessary to enhance resilience that will allow biodiversity to better withstand the impact of climate change.

Rehabilitation and Restoration will require selecting suitable native species and recreating the former conditions of the ecosystem. Some ecosystems that can be restored include forests, wetlands, coastal areas, coral reefs etc.

2. Increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and management (includes altitudinal and other movement) Increasing connectivity in the broader landscape is vital for conserving biodiversity during climate change<sup>45</sup>. It is an important mechanism to connect fragmented areas, as many protected areas are isolated from each other. With climate change, corridors become important as they will allow migration of species, whose range will change to the changing climate<sup>46,47</sup>. Rehabilitation and restoration, linking fragmented areas etc is already being carried out it Sri Lanka. Further, enabling legal provisions are available for such corridors in wildlife legislation and are referred to as 'jungle corridors'<sup>48</sup>.

## 3. Improve management, and possibly increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in vulnerable zones

Protected areas are a conservation tool to conserve biodiversity by protecting species and ecosystems. This strategy will focus on effectively managing established protected areas and will also entail increasing the extent of terrestrial and aquatic habitats, which have been identified as a climate change adaptation strategy<sup>49</sup>. The technology is currently in place and has been so for several decades. In Sri Lanka, the protected area categories vary from Strict Natural Reserves where access is strictly limited to Sanctuaries, which may contain private land<sup>50</sup>. It is vital to ensure that these areas contain a good representation of the country's biodiversity. Effective management of existing protected areas is important as creating new areas is challenging in view of the demand for lands for other economic development purposes in a developing country. However there are numerous areas that are earmarked as proposed reserves, which can be included into the protected area network.

## 4. Focus conservation resources and carryout special management for restricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems

This technology involves investing resources in the maintenance and continued survival of species that are likely to become extinct as a result of global climate change<sup>51</sup>. Thus it would target species that need special attention, with high vulnerability to climatic changes. The Sri Lanka Red List<sup>52</sup> identifies threatened species,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>Hannah, L and Hansen, L. 2005. Chapter 20 – Designing Landscapes and Seascapes for Change. In: Lovejoy T, Hannah L, eds. 2005. In Climate Change and Biodiversity. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 and Amendment Act No. 49 of 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 and Amendment Act No. 49 of 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>IUCN Sri Lanka and the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (2007) The 2007 Red List of Threatened Fauna and Flora of Sri Lanka, Colombo, Sri Lanka. xiii+148pp.

and their locations. Thus the Red List can be used to identify and target specific species that may require additional conservation intervention. Some conservation programs have already been targeted at threatened species, but much remains to be done.

#### 5. Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction

Ex-situ conservation refers to conservation activities that occur outside the usual habitat of a species. Often this approach focuses on captive maintenance programs for species that would otherwise become extinct due to climate change. Such an approach would generally be a last resort for species<sup>53</sup>. Zoological Gardens and seed banks are some example of such conservation activities, which are in place in Sri Lanka and therefore not to be considered a new technology. However some advanced facilities for captive breeding, sperm and egg banks will be necessary for certain species.

These prioritized technologies are applicable to both terrestrial and marine biodiversity. It can include any species or ecosystem vulnerable to climate change including sub-sets of biodiversity such as agro biodiversity.

#### 5.1.3 General Barriers and Proposed Measures:

The general barriers identified for the biodiversity sector can be broadly categorized into the following:

(a) Barrier. Lack of incentives for adopting various technologies.

**Proposed measure.** Create incentives for facilitating the diffusion of appropriate technologies and remove perverse incentives for biodiversity adaptation.

The lack of incentives for adopting various technologies is one of the major barriers identified and it is particularly critical for Technology 1 and 2. Currently there are no incentives for protecting isolated forest patches/ecosystems in private lands. As technologies for biodiversity adaptation are costly, incentives are required to encourage other institutions to invest in such programs. Incentives could include tax concessions, subsidies and cash payments etc. for carrying out technologies for biodiversity adaptation.

#### (b) Barrier. Inadequate funding

Proposed measure. National planning level recognition for the need of providing adequate funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

#### Incorporate such requirements when planning for external fund raising.

Low funding allocation is a barrier for all technologies and there is also lack of proper planning for ex-situ conservation. Securing funds will be cruicial to implement these technologies as these are costly, but yet critical for biodiversity adaptation. Thus, financial requirements need to be recognized at the National Planning level. Such needs should be incorporated in External Resource Department planning for securing external funds in order to supplement government financing through the annual budgets.

#### (c) Barrier. Lack of understanding, awareness and appreciation of value of biodiversity / ecosystems.

**Proposed measure.** Create understanding through effective awareness programs and innovative communication.

Poor understanding, lack of adequate awareness and appreciation of value of biodiversity and ecosystem conservation is a significant barrier. Such understanding is vital amongst political authority, the general public and decision-makers. The true value of restoration and its contribution to ecosystem services is not well established while the value and benefits of connectivity is unknown and there is also a lack of communication and awareness. Poor awareness by the general public and policy-makers on point endemics and other threatened species and lack of recognition to reinforce voluntary conservation action are considered barriers for Technology 4. AS for the Technology 5, there is poor understanding on species requiring ex-situ conservation. The lack of understanding awareness and appreciation of economic and environmental values is a major constraint for several technologies, and therefore it is vital to create understanding through effective awareness programs and innovative communication methodologies.

#### (d) Barrier. Insufficient capacity

#### Proposed measure. Capacity building and resource allocation

Insufficient capacity, which includes expertise, skills and other resources is a major barrier for most of the technologies. Capacity building and resources allocation will be essential to address this barrier. Capacity building, especially on specialist knowledge as required by the respective technologies, climate modeling etc is necessary for successful implementation of the interventions. Resource allocation is critical to ensure timely availability of equipments and other requirements. This is especially considered a priority for technologies 1, 3, 4, & 5.

#### (e) Barrier: Lack of information, research, climate modeling

#### Proposed measure: Carry out studies, research and climate modeling

Lack of information, research and climate modeling is a major barrier for certain technologies in the biodiversity sector. Therefore it is essential to carry out studies, research and climate modeling to generate adequate information. In the absence of such research studies, there is a dearth of information on potential climate change impacts on species and ecosystems. The information available on threatened species including distribution data, ecological information, population size and genetics is inadequate. Absence of focused research on habitats for species migration is yet another significant barrier. These actions are necessary for Technology 3 and 4.

#### (f) Barrier. No prioritization and use of climate models for this purpose

# **Proposed measure:** Carry out prioritization based on needs, urgency in the use of climate models for prioritization.

Currently attempts for prioritization of sites and species for technology implementation is lacking, thus preventing the most urgent issues from being addressed. Therefore, prioritization of interventions using climate models is essential in order to identify the needs and urgency of actions. Research studies and a comprehensive analysis of information are necessary to identify conservation priorities. Climate modeling is considered an essential component as this prioritization is focused on climate change adaptation. These actions have particular reference to implementation of Technology 1, 2 and 5.

#### (g) Barrier. Pressure from development/competing land use

**Proposed measure(s):** Use tools such as Strategic Environmental Assessments for planning and implementation of both development and conservation programs. Reduce pressure from development/competing land use by providing alternatives, encouraging compatible land use activities and provide incentives to utilize abandoned/ brown field sites.

Demand for lands for development activities and other competing uses is a major constraint to implement several technologies. Such pressures could be reduced by providing alternatives, encouraging compatible land use activities and by providing incentives to utilize abandoned/brown field sites. Additionally, use of planning tools such as Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) when planning and implementation of both development and conservation programs needs to be recognized as a pre-requisite.

This is required for Technology 1, 2 and 3.

#### (h) Barrier. Weak law enforcement and implementation of policies.

#### Proposed measure. Strengthen agencies implementing existing legal framework and policies.

The inadequate enforcement of the existing legal framework and policies is a major constraint for implementing is a major barrier for Technology 2 while, non-implementation of existing management plans due to lack of resources is a major barrier for technology 3. Inadequacy of physical boundary demarcation of some protected areas and all buffer zones together with the poor enforcement of boundaries and lack of awareness on the boundaries is a very critical barrier. Implementation of the Technology 5 is likely to be hampered by weak enforcement of law against improper ex-situ conservation efforts. Therefore it is vital to implement existing legal framework and policies for the success of Technologies 2, 3 and 5.

#### (i) Barrier. Lack of partnerships

**Proposed measure(s):** Policy level recognition of partnerships as effective means for implementing technologies is required. Create effective partnerships with other government institutions, NGOs, universities and private sector to implement adaptation technologies.

Currently there is near absence of partnerships to implement technologies related to biodiversity conservation. Therefore, it is essential to establish an enabling environment conducive for effective partnerships with other government institutions, NGOs, universities and private sector to implement biodiversity conservation related adaptation technologies. Policy level recognition of the partnerships as an effective means for implementing technologies is also vital. This is particularly necessary for Technology 1, 3 and 4.

#### 5.1.4 Specific Measures Proposed for the Selected Technologies:

The specific measures proposed for prioritized technologies for the sector are given below.

# Table 5.3: Proposed measures for Rehabilitation and Restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Apportion part of the annual budgets of Forest, Wildlife Departments and other relevant agencies for
	rehabilitation and restoration based on above action plan.
2.	Provide incentives and remove perverse incentives for rehabilitation and restoration by communities
	and private sector; introduce a biodiversity-offset mechanism.
3.	Ecosystem specific studies (for Sri Lanka) on values of ecosystems services and dissemination of
	information generated.
4.	Undertake studies to identify and prioritize areas critical for rehabilitation and restoration. Climate

	change modeling to identify critical areas. Program planning and budgeting based on study out puts.
5.	(i) Publish in local language and disseminate best practices for ecosystem specific rehabilitation and
	restoration methods; Promote research on technologies and information dissemination.
6.	Awareness for political authority, administrators at all levels; Site specific evaluation for areas
	prioritized for rehabilitation and restoration (over development).
7.	Facilitate knowledge exchange and sharing. Conduct Joint programs.
8.	Implementation of existing policies and legislation relating to land tenure in such areas.
9.	Build partnerships (between government institutions/private sector).

# Table 5.4: Proposed measures for increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and management Improvement

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Apportion part of the annual budgets of Forest and Wildlife Departments for enhancing connectivity
	based on above action plan; Incentives for private landowners to set aside or maintain areas
	necessary for connectivity.
2.	(i) Create enabling legal and policy environment to ensure maintaining areas for connectivity in
	medium to large development projects.
	(ii) Political awareness; site specific evaluation for areas prioritized for restoration (over
	development).
3.	Identify critical areas to be connected and prioritize required corridors. Climate change modeling to
	identify critical areas. Implement activities to enhance connectivity.
4.	Carry out valuation and identify benefits of connectivity, publicize results including awareness
	creation and communication.
5.	Awareness creation and capacity building and promotion of coexistence with biodiversity (eg:
	Kandyian home gardens; native plants seeds, materials etc)
6.	Enforcement and management of montane protected areas, increasing protection level and
	effectiveness of conservation/ management. Include critical areas into protected area network
7.	Integrate landscape level planning for conservation, special management and implementation into
	Forest and Wildlife Department management plans.
8.	Policy harmonization (definition of 'unutilized' should not include areas vital for biodiversity
	conservation).
9.	Amend procedures to expedite land acquisition process.

 Table 5.5:
 Proposed measures for improving management, and possibly increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in vulnerable zones

No	Recommended Measures				
1.	Apportion part of annual budgets of Forest and Wildlife Departments for this technology based on				
	above action plan. Allocation of resources and implementation.				
2.	Allocation of resources and implementation of existing management plans.				
3.	Prepare management plans where necessary and implementation.				
4.	(i) Incentives for using brown field/degraded areas				
	(ii) Policies to discourage conversion of natural ecosystems for development purposes.				
	(iii) Upgrade proposed reserves /parks to a higher level of protection.				
5.	(i) Encourage non-conflicting and complimentary land use through incentives				
	(ii) Enforcement of buffer zone legislation				
6.	Recruit competent personnel for biodiversity related climate change adaptation activities and				
	provide capacity building training for existing staff				
7.	Create accountability of responsible people. eg: performance based evaluations; incentives				
	(financial and non-financial) for good performance.				
8.	Policies and initiatives that encourage Forest, Wildlife and other relevant departments to work				
	together - bring DWLC and FD under one ministry.				
9.	Amend and implement buffer zone legislation				
10.	(i) Physical demarcation of protected area boundaries and buffer zones				
	(ii) Effective law enforcement on boundaries/removing encroachments etc.				
	(iii) Create awareness on boundaries				
11.	Introduce legal provisions for community owned protected areas and provide incentives for such				
	activities				
12.	Identify areas to carry out studies, undertake biodiversity assessments				
13.	Awareness creation , capacity building and promote coexistence with biodiversity (eg: Kandyan				
	home gardens, native plants seeds, materials etc)				

# Table 5.6:Proposed measures for Focus conservation resources and carryout special management forrestricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems

No	Recommended Measures				
1.	Allocate sufficient funds from annual budgets to implement priority based action plans.				
2.	Develop and implement species action plans based on priority.				
3.	Generation of necessary information and climate modeling for assessing climate change impacts				
	on species and ecosystems.				
4.	(i) Legalizing the protection of sites where point endemics are found.				
	(ii) Incentives and alternatives for protection in areas outside protected areas.				
	(iii) Inter-departmental coordination for protection of point endemics.				

5.	Make recommendation on climate change and species related considerations into legislation - and
	publicize amendments.
6.	Create effective partnerships for species conservation between Ministry/Departments and
	universities, NGOs, species specialists etc.
7.	Carry out extensive surveys/research; obtain expertise on the subject/capacity building.
8.	(i) Awareness programs on point endemics and critically endangered species and the importance
	of their conservation.
	(ii) Awareness and introduction of mechanism for voluntary conservation actions.
9.	Expedite the existing administrative process for obtaining research permission for individuals and
	non-state institutions.
10.	Research on habitats for species migration and identification/conservation of such habitats.
11.	Build capacity and equip staff within departments to conserve and monitor threatened
	species/ecosystems (specialized knowledge).

# Table 5.7: Proposed measures for Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction

No	Recommended Measures
1.	Apportion part of the annual budgets for setting up ex-situ facilities that would be required in the
	near future
2.	(i) Identification of required ex-situ conservation facilities, prioritization and costing
	(ii) Introduce framework/protocol for reintroduction/translocation and monitoring.
3.	(i) Carry out capacity building on ex-situ conservation.
	(ii) Establish partnerships with species specialists; facilitate knowledge exchange and sharing.
	(iii) Provide suitable resources (eg: land etc).
	(iv) Standard protocols for ex-situ conservation (maintenance of facilities, disease control,
	quarantine etc).
4.	(i) Give ex-situ conservation high priority.
	(ii) Create awareness on its importance
5.	(i) Studies to identify and prioritize species for ex-situ conservation
	(ii) Climate change modeling to identify vulnerable species.
6.	Introduce a regulated system to permit ex-situ breeding by other parties under the government
	supervision.
7.	Enforcement of existing laws for improper ex-situ conservation activities.

# 5.2 Action Plan for Technology 1: Rehabilitation and Restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience

#### 5.2.1 Description of the Technology

Rehabilitation and Restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience will allow biodiversity to better withstand the impact of climate change. Resilience can be defined as the capacity of a system to absorb disturbance and reorganize, while undergoing change so as to retain essentially the same function, structure, identity, and feedbacks<sup>54</sup>. Although legally declared, some protected areas are degraded due to illegal activities such as encroachments for settlement, clearing logging etc. There are other areas outside existing protected area system that would be important for conservation now, or when species shift their range as a result of climate change. Rehabilitation and Restoration will require selecting suitable native species and recreating the former conditions of the ecosystem. Some ecosystems that can be restored include forests, wetlands, coastal areas, coral reefs etc.

Rehabilitation and Restoration is not a new technology, in Sri Lanka forest<sup>55</sup>, aquatic<sup>56</sup>, reef and coastal areas have been restored. Some of these technologies are currently in place, and has been so for several decades.

There are several international experts who endorse this strategy as an essential climate change adaptation strategy for biodiversity in papers published in peer-reviewed journals<sup>57,58</sup>. Additionally several Policies, Action Plans and Strategies in Sri Lanka have identified this essential for biodiversity conservation.

Some of its benefits are highlighted below:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Walker BH, Holling CS, Carpenter SR, Kinzig AS. 2004. Resilience, adaptability and trans-formability. *Ecology and Society* 9(2):

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Ashton, M.S., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Singhakumara, B.M.P. and Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N. 2001. Restoration pathways for rainforest in south west Sri Lanka: a review of concepts and models, *Forest Ecol. Manage.* 154 (2001), pp. 409–430
 <sup>56</sup> MDG SriLanka. 2009. *Ensure environmental sustainability.* Available online from: http://www.mdg.lk/

images/flash/learningzone.swf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Heller, N.E. & Zavaleta, E.S. (2009) Biodiversity management in the face of climate change: a review of 22 years of recommendations. *Biological Conservation*, 142, 14.

- Environment The main benefit of restoration would be from carbon sequestration and thus a mechanism from which climate change can be mitigated. It will also ensure that other ecosystem services are restored.
- Employment Opportunities for employment generation, as rehabilitation and restoration will require manpower. Local communities can easily be involved with some training for this purpose; once restored there could be other job opportunities associated with ecotourism and sustainable utilization of natural resources.
- Investment Capital investment required, especially if the restoration requires hard technologies (eg: groynes to restore beach, artificial reefs).
- Income Accrued social benefits from jobs created due to rehabilitation and restoration related work;
   Further potential for income generation from the harvest of non-timber forest products and ecotourism related activities once restoration is completed.
- Education An opportunity for students to learn about rehabilitation and restoration techniques; University students can learn and contribute to solutions.
- Health Enhanced ecosystem services in the form of watershed services, providing sufficient water for drinking and sanitation will contribute to improve quality of life of communities.

#### 5.2.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

- Rehabilitation and restoration of at least 10,000 hectares of terrestrial and marine ecosystems, over 5 years.
- o At least one incentive scheme for rehabilitation and restoration introduced.
- At least 2-5% of Forest and Wildlife Department budgets allocated for rehabilitation and restoration.
- o Rehabilitation and restoration prioritization study completed.
- o Best practices for specific ecosystems published.
- At least 10 pilot sites completed.
- One campaign for political awareness completed.
- Evidence of implementing policies/legislation documented.

#### 5.2.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

For the technology *Rehabilitation and restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience,* total number of nine (09) barriers including two (02) economic & financial, four (04) information & awareness, two (02) network failures and one (01) policy, legal & regulatory have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 1 is given in table 5.8.

Technology Name: Rehabilitation and restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected						
area network to enhance resilience						
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers			
1.	No immediate returns from restoration and lack of incentives for restoration (for communities/private sector)	1	Economic and financial			
2.	Low funding allocation for restoration (nationally).	2	Economic and financial			
3.	Poor understanding of the true value of ecosystem services and no information on local value for key ecosystems and their services	7	Information and awareness & human skills			
4.	Lack of prioritization of areas for restoration at a national scale	3	Information and awareness & human skills			
5.	Insufficient capacity on ecosystem specific and technically sound restoration methods/technologies	5	Information and awareness & human skills			
6.	Conflicting interests/pressure from development (development versus restoration)	6	Information and awareness & human skills			
7.	Inadequate working modalities to exchange and learn about restoration best practices from other countries	9	Network failures, human skills and technical			
8.	Land tenure issues before and after restoration (ownership of a restored land).	4	Policy, legal and regulatory			
9.	Lack of partnerships for restoration and management of lands outside protected areas.	8	Network failure, Social, cultural and behavioral			

#### Table 5.8: List of Key Barriers and hierarchy classification for the Technology 1

#### 5.2.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology 1 is provided in table 5.9.

#### **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

#### Action Plan for Technology 1

## Table 5.9: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 1: Rehabilitation and restoration of degraded areas inside and outside the protected area network to enhance resilience

Measure/Action 1: Provide incentives and remove perverse incentives for restoration by communities and private sector; introduce a biodiversity-offset mechanism.

Justification for the action: Currently there are no immediate returns from rehabilitation and restoration and also lacks incentives for restoration work (for communities/private sector). Rehabilitation and restoration has both mitigation and adaptation benefits, in addition to numerous benefits from ecosystem services. One of the major hindrances for community and private sector involvement in rehabilitation and restoration is no immediate returns or incentives for their investment.

Action /Sub Action		Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Provide incentives by government/donors for		Forest Dept/	2-3 years	Domestic &	- Incentive mechanism set in
rehabilitation and restoration by communities		Wildlife Dept/		International	place/legalized in 1 year.
and private sector; introduce a biodiversity-offset		M/Environment & CEA		Cost of incentives	- At least 10,000 hectares of
mechanism.		Ministry of Fisheries &		approx US\$ 1,100,000	ecosystems are restored &
		Coast Conservation		(including monitoring)	incentive paid by 2years
		Department			

Measure/Action 2: Apportion part of annual budgets of Forest and Wildlife Departments for rehabilitation and restoration based on above action plan\*

Justification for the action: Low funding allocation for rehabilitation and restoration (nationally) is a major barrier to conservation. Currently the Department of Wildlife Conservation and Forest Department being the main departments dealing with environment and biodiversity, do not have sufficient funds for restoration in their

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators	
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source		
(i) Apportion part of annual budgets of Forest,	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept/	0.5-1 year	Domestic from the	- Decision made on budget	
Wildlife Departments and other relevant		M/Environment	annually	budget	allocation within 1 year.	
agencies for rehabilitation and restoration based		M/Fisheries and Aquatic	thereafter	No cost (5%, approx.	- At least 2-5% of budget	
on above action plan*		Resources Development,		US\$ 750,000	allocated for rehabilitation and	
(ii). Seek external funds*		NARA and CCD		annually).	restoration within 3 years.	
Measure/Action 3: Ecosystem specific studies (for Sri Lanka) on values of ecosystems services and its dissemination.*						

Justification for the action: There is poor understanding of the true value of ecosystem services and no information available based on valuations for key local ecosystems and their services. Currently the concept of ecosystem services and its value to the national economy and day-to-day function of people and the country is poorly understood. This has led to rehabilitation and restoration and its returns being undervalued and often being unrecognized. It is vital that land managers, policy makers and politicians are made aware of such values.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Ecosystem specific studies (for Sri Lanka) to	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept	0.5 – 3	Domestic &	- At least 2-5 studies completed
determine ecosystem service values and		M/Fisheries and Aquatic	years	international US\$	annually.
dissemination.* of study results		Resources Development,		460,000	- Materials reach at least 500
		NARA and CCD			key stakeholders and 1000
		(Universities/			members of the public within 2-
		Research institutions/			5 years.
		Environmental			
		organizations/)			
Measure/Action 4: Studies to identify and prioritiz	e critical	areas for rehabilitation and re	estoration. Climate	change modeling to ide	ntify critical areas. Action

#### planning and budgeting based on study results\*

Justification for the action: There is a lack of prioritization of areas for rehabilitation and restoration at a national scale. At present no areas or key ecosystems have been prioritized or identified for rehabilitation and restoration. Therefore, a prioritization mechanism is essential to restore the most vital ecosystems on a priority basis. Lack of such a system will lead to haphazard restoration, which will not maximize the investment and its subsequent benefits/returns.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for Time		Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Undertake studies coupled with climate change	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept/	Phased study :	Domestic &	- One comprehensive study
modeling to identify and prioritize critical areas for		Climate Change	hange 1 – 3 years international		completed in 3 years.
rehabilitation and restoration. Budget and action		Secretariat of M/E	I/E		
plan based on study to implement*		M/Fisheries and Aquatic		US\$ 2,000,000	- One set of modeling data,
		Resources Development,			maps etc within 3 years.
		NARA and CCD			
		(Universities			
		Environmental			
		organizations			

Measure/Action 5: Publish in local languages the best practices for ecosystem specific rehabilitation and restoration methods, promote research on technologies and its dissemination

Justification for the action: Insufficient capacity on ecosystem specific and technically sound rehabilitation and restoration methods/technologies is another major hindrance to rehabilitation and restoration. This information is often not disseminated widely and there is no proper access to technical information and best practices. Therefore the lack of dissemination of technical information and lack of human skills and capacities to engage in such restoration activities is a significant hindrance to rehabilitation and restoration in Sri Lanka.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i) Publish in local languages and disseminate the	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept	1-4 years	Domestic &	<ul> <li>At least 1 publication</li> </ul>
best practices for ecosystem specific rehabilitation		M/Fisheries and Aquatic		international	produced in 2 years

and restoration methods.		Resources Development,			- At least 10 examples of best
		NARA and CCD		US\$ 250,000	practices being used within 5
		(Universities			years.
		Environmental org)			
(ii). Promote research on technologies (if ecosystem	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept	1-4 years	Domestic &	- At least 2-3 grants given a
specific rehabilitation and restoration methods		M/Fisheries and Aquatic		international	year.
are not available)*		Resources Development,			
		NARA and CCD		US\$ 760,000	- 2-3 studies successfully
		(Universities			completed annually.
		Environmental org)			
(iii). Demonstration plots/pilot studies.*	High	Universities	1 – 8	Domestic &	- At least 10 Pilot studies carried
		Environmental	years	international	out
		organizations		US\$ 1,200,000	over 5 years.
		Local communities			
Measure/Action 6: Facilitate knowledge exchange	e and sha	<b>iring</b> including local knowled	ge and from other o	countries through joint pr	ograms.
Justification for the action: Inadequate working modal	lities to ex	change and learn about reha	abilitation and resto	oration best practices fro	m other countries.
Other tropical countries have various innovative rehal	bilitation a	and restoration practices that	t can be adapted to	o the Sri Lankan contex	t and learning on these practices
will be important for biodiversity related climate chang	e adaptat	ion in the country.			
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Facilitate exchange and sharing of knowledge	Medium	Forest/ Wildlife Dept	0.5 – 1 year	Domestic and	- At least 1 exchange visit per
through joint programs. (Including information		M/Fisheries and Aquatic		international	year (10 years)
gathering and identifying possible partnerships to		Resources Development,	Annual exchange		- At least 5 people trained a
facilitate this).		NARA and CCD	programmes (10	US\$ 500,000	year (10 years)
		(Universities	years)		

	Environmental		
	organizations		
	Foreign collaboration)		

**Measure/Action 7:** Political awareness site specific evaluation where some areas are prioritized for rehabilitation and restoration (over development). [Awareness to include all levels of administrators, decision and policy makers].

Justification for the action: Competing interests, pressure for lands for development and other uses is a barrier to rehabilitation and restoration, as conservation activities often take a backseat in the development agenda. Often decision and policy makers are unaware of the importance of rehabilitation and restoration and ecosystem services – and the fact that it is vital for development. Thus innovative communication programs is vital to create political awareness so that correct decisions are made.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Political awareness; site specific evaluation for	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept		Domestic and	- At least 50 decision makers
areas prioritized for rehabilitation and restoration		M/Environment	0.5 – 2 years	international	participate in annual events.
(over development)*		M/Fisheries and Aquatic	Annually		
[Awareness to include all levels of decision		Resources Development,	thereafter	US\$ 275,000	
makers]		NARA and CCD			

Measure/Action 8: Implementation of existing policies and legislation relating to land tenure in areas ear marked for restoration\*

Justification for the action: Land tenure issues before and after restoration (ownership of a restored land) is another barrier. There is no clarity regarding land tenural rights of restored state land, if carried out by a private party. Therefore a clear policy on the 'ownership', benefits and rights should be available to those who maybe interest in restoration. It is of utmost importance to consider granting rights and benefits to those carrying out restoration activities in state land. Such a mechanism

could boost restoration activities considerably.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators			
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source				
(i). Implementation of existing policies and legislation	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept		Domestic and	- One Strategy prepared within			
relating to land tenure in such areas*		M/Environment	0.5.1.000	international	6 months			
(ii). Gap analysis on existing legislation, and legal		M/Fisheries and Aquatic	0.5-Tyear					
reforms as required.		Resources Development,	on wards	US\$ 875,000	- At least 10-20 issues			
		NARA and CCD			addressed annually.			
		Police Department						
Measure/Action 9: Build partnerships (between government institutions/private sector)								

Justification for the action: There is near absence of partnerships for rehabilitation and restoration and management of lands outside protected areas. Often state

departments may not have adequate resources in terms finances and skills to carry out rehabilitation and restoration. Therefore working with non-state parties would be beneficial and could result in successful restoration programs. However currently there is no arrangement for such partnerships and this is seen as a barrier for restoration.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for Time		Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Build partnerships (between government	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept	0.5 year –	Domestic and	- At least 10 partnerships
institutions/private sector)		M/Environment	continuous	international	formed in 2-5 years.
		M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Development,			- At least 5 partnerships have
				US\$ 35,000	lasted more than 1 or 2 years.
		NARA and CCD			
		Private sector			
		(implementation)			
		Environmental			
		organizations			

Total cost for Technology 1	#Approx. US \$ 7.5 million for 10 years

V. High = Very High; NARA – National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency; CCD – Coast Conservation Department

# 5.3 Action Plan for Technology 2: Increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and management

#### 5.3.1 Description of the Technology

Increasing connectivity in the broader landscape is vital for conserving biodiversity during climate change<sup>59</sup>. It is an important mechanism to connect fragmented areas, as many protected areas are isolated from each other. With climate change, corridors become important as they will allow migration of species, whose range will change to the changing climate.<sup>60,61</sup>

This strategy involves the protection of areas and regions that would be essential for climate-induced wildlife movements<sup>62</sup>. Technologies that can be used include movement corridors for terrestrial species, while unblocked streams and rivers are important movement corridors for aquatic species<sup>63</sup>. In the case of forests, a system of corridors could be designed utilizing existing patches or augmenting with rehabilitation and restoration and other restoration mechanisms, creating an opportunity for short or long term migration. There are provisions for such corridors in wildlife legislation and are referred to as 'jungle corridors'<sup>64.</sup>

Several Policies, Action Plans and Strategies in Sri Lanka have identified this technology as an essential strategy for biodiversity conservation.

Some of its benefits are highlighted below:

 Environment - Environmental benefits include maintaining genetic diversity, allowing migration of species within large home ranges, seed dispersal, carbon sequestration and other ecosystem services. It will also allow ecosystems to be resilient to the changing climate as they are better conserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Hannah, L and Hansen, L. 2005. Chapter 20 – Designing Landscapes and Seascapes for Change. In: Lovejoy T, Hannah L, eds. 2005. In Climate Change and Biodiversity. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Allan, J. D., M. Palmer, and N. L. Poff. 2005. Climate change and fresh-water ecosystems. Pages 274–290 in T.

E. Lovejoy and L. Hannah, editors. Climate change and biodiversity. Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut. <sup>63</sup> Mawdsley et al., 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 and Amendment Act No. 49 of 1993.

- Employment Employment opportunities will be created locally through the implementation of rehabilitation, restoration, monitoring and conservation related activities. There also would be opportunities for ecotourism, community conservation and sustainable utilization of NTFP
- Investment No major capital investments will br required. However, some investment will be
  required if rehabilitation and restoration or any construction (eg: fish ladders) related activities are
  found to be required. Investment will need to be made in order to secure land in the case of
  corridors. In some cases payment of compensation to legitimate owners would be required.
- Income Income could be generated through activities associated with corridors and matrix management and ecotourism related activities. Possible benefits from community conservation, payments for ecosystems services, REDD, NTFPs etc.
- Education Educational benefits will include the opportunities available for students to learn about the technology; University students can learn and contribute to this technology.
- Health It will help sustain biodiversity and ecosystem services, contributing to good environmental quality, which in turn will improve well-being and health of people.

#### 5.3.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

- At least one incentive scheme introduced for private landowners to set aside or maintain areas necessary for connectivity.
- Allocation of at least 2-5% from the annual budgets of Department of Wildlife Conservation and Forest Department for improving management, increasing the extent under conservation.
- Integrate provisions into the policies to ensure that medium to large development projects set aside areas to maintain connectivity.
- Complete study for prioritization.
- One campaign for political awareness completed.
- Climate change modeling for at least two regions completed.
- Evidence of implementing policies/legislation documented.
- At least 4 critical areas included into protected area network.

#### 5.3.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Eleven (11) key barriers which comprised of four (04) economic & financial, four (04) policy, legal & regulatory and three (03) information & awareness have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for this technology is given in table 5.10.

#### List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 2 Table 5.10:

Techno	Technology Name: Increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and							
Manag	ement Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers					
1.	Low funding allocation for connectivity.	1	Economic and financial					
2.	No incentives for protecting isolated forest patches/ecosystems in private lands.	3	Economic and financial					
3.	No provisions exist to ensure that large development projects set aside areas to allow connectivity.	5	Economic and financial					
4.	Insufficient incentives and policies to involve private landowners in enhancing connectivity.	6	Economic and financial					
5.	Critical areas for connectivity and priorities not identified at a national scale.	2	Information and awareness, technical					
6.	High altitudinal (montane) areas are poorly protected due to non-enforcement of laws and management plans.	4	Policy, legal and regulatory					
7.	Matrix/landscape level planning of conservation not carried out; lack of enabling policies and legislation to ensure matrix level planning/conservation.	8	Policy, legal and regulatory					
8.	Lack of awareness on value and benefits of connectivity due to poor communication.	7	Information and awareness					
9.	Ambiguity in government policies on 'taking over unutilized land' – as the term 'unutilized' is ill defined hence include patches of natural ecosystems vital for connectivity.	9	Policy, legal and regulatory					
10.	Procedural delays in land acquisition.	10	Policy, legal and regulatory					
11.	Lack of community awareness on cohabitation with biodiversity/critical species and lack of policy and legal framework for benefit sharing	11	Information and awareness, social, cultural and behavioral					

#### 5.3.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology 2 is provided in table 5.11.

#### **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

#### Action Plan for Technology 2

# Table 5.11: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 2: Increasing connectivity through corridors, landscape/matrix improvement and management (includes altitudinal and other movement)

Measure/Action 1: Apportion part of annual budgets of Forest and Wildlife Departments for connectivity based on an action plan. \*

Justification for the action: Currently the main departments dealing with environment and biodiversity in the country do not have sufficient allocation for this activity through their nationally allocated budgets. This is seen as a major barrier, as activities related to improving connectivity cannot be implemented without funds being made available. Improving connectivity being a high priority for biodiversity adaptation to climate change, not prioritizing improving connectivity and unavailability of funds is a major hindrance in this regard.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators		
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source			
(i) Allocate sufficient funds from annual budgets	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept	0.5 – 1 year	Domestic			
to implement the action plans based on		M/ Environment	and	No additional cost	- At least 2-5% of budget allocated for this		
priority*		M/Fisheries and	thereafter	(4.5%, approx. US\$	activity within 3 years		
(ii) Seek external funds*		Aquatic Resources	annually	675,000 annually).			
		Dev, NARA and CCD					
Measure/Action 2: Incentives for private landowners to set aside or maintain areas necessary for improving connectivity*							
Justification for the action: No incentives are available for protecting isolated forest patches/ecosystems in private lands (plantations/home gardens etc) and it is							
considered a major constraint for the success of this activity. The landscape/ecosystem approach to conservation places a major role in improving connectivity. There are							

considerable extents of private land/leased land situated adjacent to protected areas which could serve as corridor for ensuring connectivity. In the absence of any incentive scheme to promote conservation of such private forests and other ecosystems, these lands remain vulnerable to conversion into other land uses.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Incentives for private landowners to set aside	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept	0.5 – 2 year	Domestic & international	- A minimum of 500 beneficiaries a year
or maintain areas necessary for connectivity*		M/ Environment, CEA	on wards		- At least US\$ 100,000 worth of incentives
		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 1,020,000	disbursed annually.
		Aquatic Resources			
		Dev, NARA and CCD			

Measure/Action 3: Make enable legal and policy environment to ensure that medium to large development projects set aside areas that allow for connectivity.

Justification for the action: Currently there are no provisions available to ensure that large development projects set aside areas that allow for connectivity. The landscape/ecosystem approach to conservation places a major importance on connectivity including areas outside protected areas. There is a considerable amount of private land/state land adjacent to protected areas which are likely to be used for state sponsored and private sector development activities. Hence, this is considered a major barrier for improving connectivity.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time Cost (US \$) &		Indicators		
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source			
(i). Make provisions (legal/policy) to ensure that	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	0 – 2 years	Domestic &	- New provisions incorporated in 6-12 months.		
medium to large development projects set	1	M/Environment	thereafter	international			
aside areas that allow for connectivity.	1	M/Fisheries and	continuous	US\$ 20,000			
	1	Aquatic Resources					
	1	Dev, NARA and CCD					
Measure/Action 4: Political awareness; site s	Measure/Action 4: Political awareness; site specific environmental valuations for areas prioritized for rehabilitation and restoration (over development)*						
Justification for the action: In order to provide inc	entives to ir	volve private landowner	s in connectiv	/ity related activities, an e	nabling policy environment need to be created		
with political patronage through awareness. Additi	onally site-s	pecific evaluation and pr	ioritization is	essential to ensure that th	e most important sites are connected first, and		

would also help when prioritizing conservation vis a vis development.						
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators	
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source		
(i). Political awareness; site specific evaluation for	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	0-2 years	Domestic & international	- At least 50 decision makers participate in	
areas prioritized for rehabilitation and	1	M/Environment	annual	US\$ 275,000	annual events.	
restoration (over development)*	I	M/Fisheries and			- At least 10 decision makers advocate for	
[Awareness to all levels of decision makers]	I	Aquatic Resources			environmental issues in 2 years.	
	I	Dev, NARA and CCD				
Measure/Action 5: Identify critical areas to be	connected	and prioritize required cc	orridors. Clima	ate change modeling to id	entify critical areas*	
Justification for the action: Identification and pri-	oritization c	of critical areas for conne	ectivity has n	ot taken place at nation	al scale. A lack of prioritization of sites to be	
conserved for connectivity remains a major barrie	r for biodive	ersity adaptation. Connec	ctivity is vitall	y important for climate ch	ange adaptation as it facilitates migration and	
dispersal of species. Although, some attempts	exists tow	ards conservation, reha	bilitation and	d restoration of small pa	atches of forests, these actions often takes	
palcehappens haphazardly and not based on pric	prities. Ther	efore critical areas for co	onnectivity an	nd its prioritization still ren	nain to be carried out through a national leve	
study. Additionally, climate change modeling shou	ld accompa	ny the study to make it a	ccurate and s	elect the most vital areas	for connectivity.	
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) &	Indicators	
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source		
(i). Identify critical areas to be connected and	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1 – 3 years	Domestic &	- One comprehensive study completed in 3	
prioritize required corridors. Climate change	I	Climate Change	Implementati	international	years.	
modeling to identify critical areas*	I	Secretariat of M/E)	on	US \$ 1,850,000	- At least 4 critical areas included into	
	I	M/Fisheries and	continuous		protected area network.	
	I	Aquatic Resources				
	I	Dev, NARA and CCD				
Measure/Action 6: Enforcement and manager	ment of prot	tected areas; increasing r	protection lev	el and effectiveness of co	nservation/ management*; Include critical	
areas into protected area network						

Justification for the action: High altitudinal areas are considered critically important for migration and dispersal of biodiversity during climatic changes. Currently there are adequate policies and laws that provide protection to critical areas including montane areas. However the lack of enforcement has led to degradation due to through conversion into other land uses and encroachment. Inclution of the critical montane areas within the national protected area system and upgrading the protected area category to ensure high degree of legal protection and more effective management will be vital for the conservation of these montane areas.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time Cost (US \$) &		Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Enforcement and management of protected	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1 – 10	Domestic &	- One Strategy prepared within 6 months
areas, increasing its protection level and		M/Environment	years	international	- At least 10-20 issues addressed annually.
effectiveness of conservation/ management*		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 1.5 million	
		Aquatic Resources			
		Dev, NARA and CCD			
		·			·
Measure/Action 7: Integrate the concept of I	andscape le	evel <b>planning for conserv</b>	ation and spe	ecial management into Fo	rest and Wildlife Department management

Measure/Action 7: Integrate the concept of Landscape level planning for conservation and special management into Forest and Wildlife Department management

plans

Justification for the action: Matrix/landscape level planning of conservation is not properly carried out while the focus is only on isolated areas; Enabling policies and legislation for mandatory matrix level planning/conservation is lacking. Therefore landscape level planning for conservation, special management and implementation should be integrated into Forest and Wildlife Department management planning process. It is also vital to integrate Forest and Wildlife Department management plans, and these institutions need to work closely together. Such a mechanism needs to be formalized by two institutions.

	Priority Rank	Responsibility for	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
Action /Sub Action					

<ul> <li>(i). integrate landscape level planning for conservation and special management into Forest and Wildlife Department management plans.</li> </ul>	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept, CEA, M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD	1 – 10 years	Domestic & international US\$ 250,000	<ul> <li>One Strategy prepared within 3 months</li> <li>At least 2-5 instances where landscape planning has been used and implemented every year.</li> </ul>	
(ii). Include elements of climate change						
the the TOR accordingly						
Measure/Action 8: Carry out environmental v	aluation and	l identify <b>benefits of conn</b>	ectivity, publi	cize results including awa	reness creation and communication.	
Justification for the action: Value and benefits of connectivity is generally unknown while there is a lack of communication and awareness as well. Effective and innovative communication and awareness programs must be launched to enable the decision makers to recognize the true value and benefits of connectivity. Where information on values are not available, research and studies need to be carried out. However the most important aspect is dissemination and the information reaching the most important stakeholders in a convincing manner.						
	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) & Funding		
Action /Sub Action	i nonty				Indicators	
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source	Indicators	
Action /Sub Action (i). Carry out valuation and identify benefits of connectivity, publicize results including awareness creation and communication.	Rank Medium	Implementation Forest/Wildlife Dept, M/Environment M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD	frame 2 – 5 years	Source Domestic & international US\$ 500,000	Indicators         - At least 10 studies carried out successfully within 3 years         - At least 1000 documents of published results disseminated by year 4.	
Action /Sub Action         (i). Carry out valuation and identify benefits of connectivity, publicize results including awareness creation and communication.         Measure/Action 9:       Policy harmonization	Rank Medium	Implementation Forest/Wildlife Dept, M/Environment M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD	frame 2 – 5 years	Source Domestic & international US\$ 500,000	Indicators         - At least 10 studies carried out successfully within 3 years         - At least 1000 documents of published results disseminated by year 4.	
Action /Sub Action         (i). Carry out valuation and identify benefits of connectivity, publicize results including awareness creation and communication.         Measure/Action 9:       Policy harmonization         Justification for the action:       There is ambiguity in	Rank Medium	Implementation Forest/Wildlife Dept, M/Environment M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD	frame 2 – 5 years d" when 'taki	Source Domestic & international US\$ 500,000	Indicators - At least 10 studies carried out successfully within 3 years - At least 1000 documents of published results disseminated by year 4. for government purposes. At present the legal	
Action /Sub Action         (i). Carry out valuation and identify benefits of connectivity, publicize results including awareness creation and communication.         Measure/Action 9:       Policy harmonization         Justification for the action:       There is ambiguity in definition of the term 'Unutilized' includes patched	Rank Medium	Implementation Forest/Wildlife Dept, M/Environment M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD	frame 2 – 5 years d" when 'taki nnectivity. In	Source Domestic & international US\$ 500,000	Indicators - At least 10 studies carried out successfully within 3 years - At least 1000 documents of published results disseminated by year 4. for government purposes. At present the legal biguity, land – policy harmonization has to be	

ecosystems requiring strict protection.					
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost (US \$) & Funding	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Source	Indicators
(i). Policy harmonization (eg: definition of	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	0 – 1 year	Domestic &	- One document analyzing policy gaps
'unutilized' should not include areas vital for		M/Environment		international	produced within 6 months.
biodiversity).		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 20,000	- At least 2-5 instances where harmonized
		Aquatic Resources			policy is used annually.
		Dev, NARA and CCD			
		Environmental			
		organizations			
Measure/Action 10: Amend procedures to ex	pedite land a	acquisition process.			
Justification for the action: Currently there are p	orocedural de	elays in land acquisition.	. In order to a	address this issue, the cu	urrent procedures that cause delay should be
amended. It should include a comprehensive a	nalysis of th	e existing procedure an	d identify wh	ere delays occur and int	roduce amendments in order to expedite the
procedure. Such amendments would be beneficia	al as delays i	n acquisition can result ir	n further degra	adation of the areas requi	ring urgent attention.
	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	La Bardana
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators
(i). Amend procedures to expedite land	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,		Domestic	- Amend the relevant legislation in 2 years.
acquisition process.		M/Environment		US\$ 30,000	
		M/Fisheries and	1 – 10 years		
		Aquatic Resources			
		Dev, NARA and CCD			
Measure/Action 11: Create awareness and b	uild capacity	to promote coexistence	with biodivers	ity. (eg: Kandyian home g	ardens; native plants seeds, materials etc)*
Justification for the action: Often communities la	ick awarenes	ss on sharing habitats w	vith biodiversi	ty/critical species and a p	oolicy and legal framework is not available for
benefit sharing in such instances. In order to	address this	barrier, awareness crea	ation on coex	istence with biodiversity	should be carried out in areas where people

inhabitate adjacent to high value ecosystems and protected areas. In many areas communities have conflicts with certain species of biodiversity such as elephants, wild boar etc. Sometimes such incidents contribute to negative attitudes towards conservation.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i). Create awareness, build capacity and provide	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	2 – 10 years	Domestic &	- Ten awareness/capacity building
material to promote coexisting with		M/Environment		international	programmes annually, each attended by at
biodiversity.		M/Fisheries and		US \$ 275,000	least 50 participants
Aquatic Resour		Aquatic Resources			- At least 2-5 successful case studies a year.
		Dev, NARA and CCD			
Total Cost for Technology 2				#Approx. US \$ 6.75 millio	on for 10 years

V. High = Very High; NARA – National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency; CCD – Coast Conservation Department; CEA – Central Environmental Authority

# 5.4 Action Plan for Technology 3: Improve management, and possibly increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in vulnerable zones

#### 5.4.1 Description of the Technology

Protected areas are a conservation tool to conserve biodiversity by protecting species and ecosystems. The proposed technology will focus on effectively managing established protected areas and will also entail increasing the extent of terrestrial and aquatic habitats, which have been identified as a climate change adaptation strategy<sup>65</sup>. Conservationists often favor protected areas as they aim to provide a safe haven and minimize impacts from humans and other threats. Protected areas have various purposes and levels of protection<sup>66</sup>. In Sri Lanka the protected area categories vary from Strict Natural Reserves where access is strictly limited to Sanctuaries, which may contain private land<sup>67</sup>. It is vital to ensure that these areas contain a good representation of biodiversity of the country. Effective management of existing protected areas is important as creating new areas is challenging in view of increasing demand for land in a developing country. However there are number of areas earmarked as proposed reserves, which can be included into the protected area network. Creating new protected areas or expanding existing areas does not require advance technologies.

Several Policies, Action Plans and Strategies existing in Sri Lanka have identified this technology as an essential strategy for biodiversity conservation.

Some of its benefits are highlighted below:

- Environment Carbon sequestration, microclimate regulation, flood control, conservation of ecosystems and other associated services.
- Employment Increased employment opportunities due to work associated with conservation activities and management of the protected area. More employment avenues associated with ecotourism would be available. There could be future opportunities from community conservation and ecotourism.
- Investment Investment will be required to acquire land, purchase, and pay compensation where necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> IUCN. 2011.IUCN Protected Area Management Categories

http://www.iucn.org/about/work/programmes/pa/pa\_products/wcpa\_categories/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 and Amendment Act No. 49 of 1993.

- Income Income generation due to tourism, community conservation, REDD and payments for ecosystem services.
- Education Educational benefits will include a 'living laboratory' for students to learn about science and nature.
- Health Good environmental quality and ecosystem services play a role in creating good health.

#### 5.4.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

- Allocation of at least 2-5% of annual budgets of Department of Wildlife Conservation and Forest Department for improving management, increasing extent of protected areas/buffer zones, and creating new areas.
- Prepare and implement at least 20 management plans for prioritized areas.
- An incentive scheme introduced for using brownfield/degraded areas.
- A system to ensure staff accountability established.
- Physical demarcation/re-demarcation of boundaries to be in par with the legal/gazetted boundaries in at least 10 key protected areas.
- At least 5-10 protected areas are upgraded and declared.

#### 5.4.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Thirteen (13) key barriers which comprised of four (04) economic & financial, two (02) institutional & organizational capacity, four (04) policy legal & regulatory, two (02) policy legal & regulatory, two (02) information & awareness and one (01) network failure have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 3 is given in table 5.12.

Table 5.12:	List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 3
-------------	--

Technology Name:							
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers				
1.	Low funding allocation for this technology (nationally).	1	Economic and financial				
2.	Non-implementation of existing management plans due to lack of resources.	5	Economic and financial				
3.	Lack of management plans for some protected	4	Economic and financial				

r			
	areas		
4.	Insufficient capacity in terms of number of personnel, knowledge, vehicles for adequate management and monitoring.	10	Economic and financial
5.	Demand for land (for medium/large projects) from proposed reserves/parks without utilizing land already cleared/degraded.	2	Institutional and organizational capacity
6.	Lack of effectiveness of relevant departments and staff (mainly field staff) in protected area management.	11	Institutional and organizational capacity
7.	Conflicting land use practices in buffer zones.	7	Policy, legal and regulatory
8.	No legally defined buffer zone for protected areas	12	Policy, legal and regulatory
9.	Insufficient physical boundary demarcation of some protected areas and in all buffer zones. Lack of enforcement of boundaries and awareness on boundaries	3	Policy, legal and regulatory
10.	No provisions for community or privately owned protected areas (outside the current protected area system).	13	Policy, legal and regulatory
11.	Lack of community awareness on sharing habitats with biodiversity/critical species and absence of policy and legal framework for benefit sharing.	12	Policy legal and regulatory, information and awareness
12.	Lack of ecological information in protected areas	9	Policy legal and regulatory, information and awareness
13.	Inadequate inter agency coordination for managing adjacent protected areas.	8	Network failures

#### 5.4.4 Proposed Action Plans for the Technology

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology 3 is provided in table 5.13.

#### **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

#### Action Plan for Technology 3

Table 5.13: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 3: Improve management, and possibly increase extent of protected areas, buffer zones and create new areas in vulnerable zones.

Measure/Action 1: Apportion part of the annual budgets of Forest and Wildlife Departments for this technology based on the action plan\*

Justification for the action: Currently the main departments involved with management of the environment and biodiversity in the country do not have adequate financial provisions for this activity in their nationally allocated budgets. This is seen as a major barrier.

	Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost (US \$) & Funding Source	Indicators
(i). A	Apportion part of the annual budgets of	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1 – 10	Domestic	- At least 2-5% of budget allocated for this activity
F	Forest and Wildlife Departments for			years	No additional	within 3 years
t	his technology based on action plans				cost.	
(ii).	Seek external funds*					

Measure/Action 2 and 3: Allocation of resources for implementation of existing management plans; Prepare and implement management plans for those areas already not covered by such plans.

Justification for the action: The non-implementation of management plans is a major constraint to effective protected area management, and is mainly caused by the lack of resources, finances being one of the biggest. Lack of management plans for some protected areas is also major barrier as management plans are necessary for the effective management of protected areas. Therefore producing management plans for such protected areas is also a necessity.

Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators				
	Rank	Implementation	Trame	Funding Source					
(i). Implement existing management plans	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1 – 10	Domestic &	- At least 5 good quality management plans annually				
(revise if necessary)		M/Environment	years	international	produced.				
(ii) Prepare and implement new		M/Fisheries and			- Implementation according to action plan of				
management plans for other areas.		Aquatic Resources		US\$ 1,600,000	management plan – monitored annually.				
		Dev, NARA and CCD							
Measure/Action 4: Incentives for using	g brownfield	d/degraded areas* and p	policies to disc	ourage conversion	of natural ecosystems for development projects				
Justification for the action: There is a der	mand for la	nd from proposed rese	rves/parks for	medium/large proj	ects, instead of utilizing land already cleared/degraded				
Demand for land is a major hindrance to p	protected a	rea expansion. Often fo	rested areas,	which are propose	d protected areas are cleared for development activities				
while already degraded/cleared areas are	not utilized	for such purposes. Uti	ilizing already	cleared lands may	require rehabilitation and therefore investors should be				
offered these lands by providing them with	incentives	such as tax breaks, cas	h payments, s	ubsidies etc.					
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators				
	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source					
(i). Incentives for using	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	0 -3 year	Domestic &	- One incentive mechanism set in place/legalized in 12				
brownfield/degraded areas*		M/Environment, CEA		international	months				
(ii. Identify and map brownfield/degraded		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 1,000,000	- A minimum of 500 beneficiaries a year				
areas that can be used for		Aquatic Resources			- Annually 2-5 brownfields/degraded areas used.				
development.		Dev, NARA and CCD							
(iii). Policies to discourage conversion of	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	0 – 3 years	Domestic &	- One legal analysis document produced in 6 months.				
natural ecosystems for development		M/Environment, CEA		international	- Provisions incorporated in 2 years.				
projects.		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 10.000					
		Aquatic Resources							
---	---------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	---	--	--	--	--
		Dev, NARA and CCD							
Measure/Action 5: Upgrade proposed reserves /parks to a higher level of protection; create new protected areas.									
Justification for the action: Continued demand for lands for development purposes is a major hindrance to protected area expansion. Often forested areas, which are									
have been earmarked for declaring as prote	ected area	s are utilized for develop	oment purpose	es while already deg	raded/cleared areas are not made use of. Therefore				
proposed areas should be upgraded to high	her levels c	of protection as soon as	possible to en	sure such areas no	longer be used for development.				
Action (Dub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	la di setera				
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators				
(i). Upgrade proposed reserves /parks to a	High	Forest Department,	0-4 years	Domestic	- At least 2-5 upgraded protected areas/gazettes				
higher level of protection; create new		Wildlife Department,		US\$ 10,000	annually.				
protected areas.		M/Environment; CEA							
Measure/Action 6: Encouraging non-	conflicting I	and use through <b>incenti</b>	ves* and enfor	rcement of buffer zo	one legislation*				
Justification for the action: Conflicting land	d uses in b	uffer zone sometimes ca	ause more dar	mage to the protect	ed area, defeating its purpose of buffering the protected				
area from threats. There are several land u	uses that ca	an be compatible with p	rotected areas	, and provide a shie	eld from threats. Sometimes it's not the land use per se				
but the methods and manner in which th	he land is	used is either benefic	ial or harmful	(eg: intensive farm	ning vs organic farming). Therefore encouraging non-				
conflicting/non-degrading land use through	incentives	will probably be the mo	st effective wa	y of ensuring that th	ne buffer zone creates a shield for the protected area				
Action (Dub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indiantore				
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators				
(i). Encouraging non-conflicting land use	High	Relevant Ministry		Domestic &	- An incentive mechanism set in place/legalized in 12				
through incentives*		Department		international	months				
		Private sector	3 - 4 years	Cost based on	- Annually 2-5 brownfields/degraded areas used.				
				the incentive					
				mechanism.					

(ii). Enforcement of buffer zone	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,		Domestic &	- List of priority areas produced in 6 months
legislation*		CCD		international	- Physical markers in at least 2 areas annually.
				US\$ 610,000	- Annually 10 instances/evidence of enforcement
Measure/Action 7: Recruiting personn	el with biod	liversity and climate cha	inge adaptatio	n competence and	provide capacity building programs for existing staff
Justification for the action: Inadequacy of	f technical	capacity of the respec	tive departme	nts and their staff,	especially field staff is a major constraint for effective
protected area management. However this	constraint	can be overcome by c	reating accour	ntability of responsit	ble people. Often in the government sector there are no
rewards for those who are effective. Perfor	mance bas	ed evaluations would be	e an incentive	for effective perforn	nance of assigned tasks. This will encourage more staff
to perform well, while those who perform b	est should b	pe given financial or nor	n-financial ince	entives	
Action (Cub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indianton
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators
(I). Recruit personnel having competency	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1-10 years	Domestic &	- Amended recruitment policy/criteria within 6 months.
in biodiversity conservation and climate		M/Environment		international	
change adaptation and provide		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 2,500	
capacity building programs for existing		Aquatic Resources			
staff		Dev, NARA and CCD			
Measure 8 - Create a mechani	sm to ensu	re <b>accountability</b> of respo	onsible people	. eg: promotions ba	sed on performance evaluations; incentives (financial
and non-financial) for good performance.					
Justification for the action: Lack of effectiv	eness of de	partments and their sta	ff, especially fi	eld staff is a major	constraint for effective protected area management.
However this constraint can be overcome t	by creating	accountability of respon	sible people. (	Often in the governr	nent sector there are no rewards for those who are
effective Performance based evaluations	would be a	an incentive for effective	performance	of assigned tasks. T	This will encourage more staff to perform well, while
nose who perform best should be given financial or non-financial incentives.					
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators

		Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	
(i	. Create a mechanism to ensure	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1-10 years	Domestic	- Evaluations carried out once a year.
	accountability of responsible people.		M/Environment, CEA		US\$ 20,000	
	eg: performance based evaluations for		M/Fisheries and			
	promotions; incentives for good		Aquatic Resources			
	performance.		Dev, NARA and CCD			

Measure/Action 9: Enabling policies and initiatives to ensure Forest, Wildlife and other relevant departments to work together- bring DWLC and FD under one ministry.

Justification for the action: Inadequate inter agency coordination when adjacent protected areas are managed by different authorities impacts conservation. Even though ecosystems have natural boundaries, legally protected areas rarely follow such boundaries. Additionally there are many instances in Sri Lanka where the Forest and Wildlife Department manage two or more adjacent protected areas independently. Collaborative management of such areas will ensure more effective management and monitoring.

	Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators			
	Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators			
	i). Enabling policies/strategies and	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1 – 10	No cost involved	- Policy/strategy promoting partnerships formulated			
	initiatives to ensure Forest, Wildlife and		M/Environment	years		within 6 months.			
	other relevant departments to work		M/Fisheries and			- 2-5 projects and issues are jointly addressed			
	together - bring DWLC and FD under		Aquatic Resources			annually.			
	one ministry.		Dev, NARA and CCD						
I	Measure/Action 10: Identify areas to carry out studies, carry out biodiversity assessments*								

Justification for the action: Lack of ecological information in protected areas is a major hindrance for effective conservation. Often there is limited or absence information on the ecology of protected areas. Ecological information such as species inventories, their status of threat, populations, niches, ecosystems; threats etc are are critical parameters for scientific management as such information are essential for appropriate management interventions. Therefore it is vital to identify areas

where such information is lacking and carry out studies depending on priorities.									
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Timef rame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators				
(i). Identify areas to carry out studies, carry out biodiversity assessments*	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept, M/Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Dev, NARA and CCD Universities Environmental org.	1 – 10 years	Domestic & international US\$ 255,000	<ul> <li>Priority list prepared in 3 months</li> <li>2-3 Studies/assessments successfully completed/reports annually.</li> </ul>				
Measure/Action 11: Amend and impler	Measure/Action 11: Amend and implement buffer zone legislation*								

Justification for the action: There is no (proper) legally defined buffer zone for protected areas. Even though the National Environmental Act refers to buffer zones within a certain radius, many in the environmental sector are of the view that these are not buffer zones in the typical sense, especially as most activities are permissible in such areas with or without EIAs. Further, not all protected areas have such a buffer zone. Therefore a review and reforms of the existing legislature is imperative for effective buffer zone management.

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators	
(i). Amend and implement buffer zone legislation*	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept, CCD	1 – 10 years	Domestic & international US\$500,000	<ul> <li>Review document produced in 6 months</li> <li>Commencement of the implementation plan within 2 years.</li> </ul>	
Measure/Action 12: Physical demarcation of protected area boundaries and buffer zones*; effective law enforcement on boundaries/removing encroachments						

etc.\*; and create awareness on boundaries\*

Justification for the action: Inadequate physical demarcation of protected area and buffer zone boundaries has contributed to many management issues such as

encroachment, clearing and other violations. Therefore, it is important to physically demarcate these boundaries by fixing boundary markers, leaving no room for speculation of boundaries and disputes. Additionally it is equally important to enforce existing laws relating to protected areas and buffer zone boundaries.

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators
(i) Physical demarcation of protected area	High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	2-10 years	Domestic &	- List of areas that require demarcation in 3 months
boundaries and buffer zones*		CCD		international	- Boundary demarcation completed at least 50% of
				US\$ 1,000,000	the areas by year 3.
(ii) Effective law enforcement on	V. High	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1-10 years	Domestic &	- List of priority areas in 3 months
boundaries/removing encroachments		CCD		international	- At least 75% of detected encroachments removed
etc.*		Police Department		US\$ 750,000	
(iii) Create awareness on boundaries*	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	2-10 years	Domestic &	- At least 2-5 programmes annually with 25-50
		CCD		international	participants
		Local/Provincial level			
		Authorities		US\$ 100,000	
		Environmental org			

Measure/Action 13: Create awareness, build capacity and provide material to promote coexistence with biodiversity. (eg: Kandyan home gardens; native plants seeds, materials etc)\*

Justification for the action: There is a need to create community awareness to promote coexistence with biodiversity in areas adjacent to high value ecosystems and protected areas. In many areas communities have conflicts with certain species of biodiversity such as elephants, wild boar etc. Sometimes such incidents cause negative attitudes towards conservation. If conservation is to be carried out successfully community involvement in conservation is important as most areas outside protected areas have significant human habitations. Patches of important habitats, key trees species etc, and home gardens are all vital patches important for connectivity. Building capacity and providing material to facilitate the process is also important.

Action (Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicatora
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators
(i). Create awareness, build capacity and	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	1-10 years	Domestic &	- Action Plan prepared within 6 months
provide material to promote coexisting		M/Environment		international	- Over 80% of awareness programmes identified are
with biodiversity.		M/Fisheries and		US\$275,000	successfully completed annually.
		Aquatic Resources			- At least 2-5 successful case studies a year.
		Dev, NARA and			
		CCD, Environmental			
		org.			
Measure/Action 14: Introduce enabling	legislation t	o promote community	owned protect	ed areas and provid	le <b>incentives</b> for such activities*
Justification for the action: Currently there	are no lega	l provisions for commu	inities to own a	and manage 'protec	ted areas' outside the traditional protected area system.
Introducing such a system will be beneficia	al, especially	where there are intac	t or good quali	ty ecosystems outs	ide protected areas. It will not only serve as a buffer but
also a habitat for biodiversity. Sustainable	utilization su	ch as the collection of	non-timber for	est products could I	be allowed as benefits.
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for	Timofromo	Cost &	Indicators
	Rank	Implementation		Funding Source	
(i). Introduce a legal provision for	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept,	Year 1	Domestic &	- Legal analysis document
community owned protected areas and		M/Environment	Continuous	international	in 3 months
provide incentives for such activities*		M/Fisheries and	implementati	US\$ 280,000	
		Aquatic Resources	on		- Provisions added within 6-12 months.
		Dev, CCD			
		Environmental org			
Total cost	for Technolo	bgy 3		*Approx. US\$ 6.75	million for 10 years

V. High = Very High; NARA – National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency; CCD – Coast Conservation Department; CEA – Central Environmental Authority

# 5.5 Action Plan for Technology 4: - Focus conservation resources and carryout special management for restricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems

# 5.5.1 Description of the Technology

This technology involves investing resources in the maintenance and continued survival of species that are likely to become extinct as a result of global climate change<sup>68</sup>. Thus it would target species with high vulnerability to climatic changes that need special attention.

Recent studies have shown the ecological changes in the phenology and distribution of plants and animals are already occurring, and have been linked to local and regional climate change. Range-restricted species, show severe range contractions, and some of such species have already become extinct. Tropical coral reefs and amphibians have been most negatively affected<sup>69</sup>. The Sri Lanka Red List<sup>70</sup> identifies threatened species, and their locations. Thus this can be used as a resource to identify and target specific species that may require additional conservation intervention. Globally the IUCN Red List is already being used to identify species at risk with climate change<sup>71</sup>.

Some of its benefits are highlighted below:

- Environment This will facilitate long-term conservation and viability of species and ecosystems while ensuring that ecosystem services will continue unhindered.
- Employment Employment opportunities through the implementation of the program and also from ecotourism related ventures.
- Investment There could be medium to high capital investment requirements in the event of any need for providing special facilities or conservation areas established.
- Income Income generation from ecotourism and visitation to conservation facilities/areas where technology related programs are implemented. The local communities and other stakeholders will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 37:637–669.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> IUCN Sri Lanka and the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (2007) The 2007 Red List of Threatened Fauna and Flora of Sri Lanka, Colombo, Sri Lanka. xiii+148pp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> IUCN. 2009. Climate change and species.

http://www.iucn.org/about/work/Programs/species/our\_work/climate\_change\_and\_species/

also be benefited by getting involved in ecotourism activities. There also could be benefits from payments for ecosystem services, community conservation and from conservation-related jobs.

- Education Students will get an opportunity to study threatened species and understand is importance; Ability to study how such species can be protected from threats such as climate change.
- Health Management interventions of this nature will contribute to ecosystem services such as provision of water, micro-climate regulation etc that will benefit the well-being and health of communities.

# 5.5.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

- Develop and implement at least 15 species/habitat action plans based on priority.
- Allocation at least 2-5% of annual budgets to implement above action plans.
- At least one comprehensive climate modeling to study climate change impact on species and ecosystems.
- Legal protection of 2-5 sites where point endemics are found.
- Incentive scheme introduced for protection in areas outside protected areas.
- At least 5 effective partnerships between Ministry/Departments and universities, NGOs, species specialists etc for species conservation.
- Awareness and capacity building programs targeting at least 25% of staff in Forest and Wildlife Departments.
- Implement at least five research studies on critical species.

# 5.5.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Ten key barriers comprised of one (01) economic & financial, four (04) information & awareness, two (02) technical, one (010 each of policy, legal & regulatory, network failure, and institutional & organizational capacity have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification is given in table 5.14.

# Table 5.14: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 4

Techno	Technology Name:									
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers							
1.	Minimal funding allocated for protecting highly threatened species/habitats.	1	Economic and financial							
2.	Lack of national biodiversity action plans for highly threatened species/habitats	3	Technical barriers							
3.	Lack of focused research on habitats for species migration.	5	Technical barriers							
4.	Lack of information (including modeling) on potential climate change impacts on species/ecosystems.	2	Information, awareness							
5.	Inadequate information on threatened species (distribution data, ecological information including population size and genetics - (in-situ research)	7	Information, awareness							
6.	Poor awareness by general public and policy- makers on point endemics and other threatened species. Lack of recognition to reinforce voluntary (suasive) conservation action	9	Information, awareness							
7.	Insufficient knowledge within the relevant authorities on species management strategies	4	Information, awareness							
8.	Not all sites that harbor threatened point endemic species are protected.	10	Policy, legal and regulatory							
9.	Insufficient partnerships for species conservation.	8	Network failure							
10.	Delay in obtaining permission for conducting research by individuals and non-state sector institutions.	6	Institutional and organizational capacity							

# 5.5.4 Proposed Action Plans for Technology 4:

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology 4 is provided in table 5.15.

# **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

#### Action Plan for Technology 4

# Table 5.15: Proposed Action Plan for the technology 4: Focus conservation resources and carryout special management for restricted range, highly threatened species and ecosystems

Measure/Action 1: Allocate sufficient funds from annual budgets to implement action plans based on priority.\*

Justification for the action: There is a low financial allocation for implementation of this technology (nationally). Currently the main departments dealing with environment and biodiversity in the country do not have financial provisons for this activity in their nationally allocated budgets and it is considered a major constraint for conserving restricted and threatened species which is a high priority for biodiversity adaptation to climate change.

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators		
(i). Apportion part of the annual budgets	V. High	Forest/Wildlife	Year 3	Domestic	- At least 2-5% of budget allocated for the technology		
of Forest and Wildlife Departments for		Dept,	Annually	No additional	after 1 year.		
this technology based on the action		M/Environment		funding involved			
plans				(5%, approx. US\$			
(ii). Seek external funds*				750,000 annually)			
Measure/Action 2: Develop and implement species/habitat action plans based on priority.							
Justification for the action: There is a dearth of conservation action plans for highly threatened species/habitats. The main reason is being the insufficient funds and other							
resources being made available for prep	aration and ir	nplementation of suc	ch plans. Ever	n though certain lar	idscapes and ecosystems are protected, it appears to be		

insufficient to conserve certain highly threatened species/habitats. These species may be highly threatened due to a range of reasons. Therefore a comprehensive study is necessary to understand the existing and potential future threats, and prepare an action plan accordingly. It is of vital importance to take actions to implement the action

plans once prepared. The level of threat to species/habitats as highlighted in the IUCN Red List can be utilized for planning purposes.						
		Responsibility for	Time	Cost &		
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators	
(i). Develop and implement	V. High	Forest/Wildlife	2-5 years	Domestic and	- Completed comprehensive species/habitat action plans	
species/habitat action plans based on		Dept,		international	within 2 years.	
priority.		M/Environment		1,750,000	- 10% increase in species population/habitat area/quality in	
		M/Fisheries and			5 years.	
(ii) Mechanism to incorporate disaster		Aquatic Resources			- At least one strategy for disaster response prepared in	
response for biodiversity (including		Dev, NARA and			one year.	
rescue/relocation strategies and		CCD, Disaster				
contingent fund)		Management				
		Center				
Measure/Action 3: Generation of ne	cessary inforr	nation and climate mo	odeling for dete	ermining potential cl	imate change impacts on species and ecosystems.*	
Justification for the action: There is a lack	of information	n (including modeling)	) on potential c	limate change impa	acts on species/ecosystems.	
A preliminary GIS mapping exercise carrie	ed out based	on available species	data and broa	d climate prediction	s has only drawn broad conclusions. Detailed and localized	
information at a fine scale is required to	get accurate	predictions on how sp	pecies will be	impacted by climate	e change. This information would be useful for modeling to	
enable developing climate change adapta	tion strategies	for the specific speci	es and ecosys	stems.		
Action (Dub Action	Driesity Deals	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indiantara	
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators	
(i). Generation of necessary information	V. High	Forest/Wildlife	1 – 3 years	Domestic and	- Comprehensive study completed in 3 years.	
and climate modeling for determining		Dept,		international	- Set of modeling data, maps etc within 3 years.	

US\$ 500,000

M/Environment

NARA and CCD Universities

potential climate change impacts on

species and ecosystems.\*

		Environmental						
		organizations						
Measure/Action 4: Legalizing the protection of sites where point endemics are found; incentives and alternatives for protection in areas outside protected areas*;								
inter-departmental coordination for protect	ion of point er	ndemics and make re	commendation	to incorporate climate	ate change and species related considerations into			
legislation and publicize amendments.								
Justification for the action: - Not all sites o	f point endem	ic species are protect	ted. Currently t	here are certain poi	nt endemic species that do not fall within the protected area			
system. Even though the species are prot	ected, some e	ecosystems/sites are	not protected a	and therefore non c	onservation related activities in such sites cause high threat			
to these point endemics. If the sites of these species are not protected, they will disappear permanently, and climate change will only compound the threats. Therefore								
protecting such sites will increase their adaptability to climate change.								

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time     Cost &       frame     Funding Source		Indicators	
(i). Enabling legislations to protect the	Medium	Forest/Wildlife Dept	1-4 years	Domestic and	- Document with gaps and priorities identified within 6	
sites where point endemics are found.		M/Environment		international	months.	
(ii). Integrate climate change and species		M/Fisheries and		US\$ 760,000	- At least 1 area successfully legalized (and implemented)	
related considerations into legislation -		Aquatic Resources			annually.	
make recommendations and publicize		Dev, CCD				
amendments.						
(iii). Incentives and alternatives for	High	Forest Dept,	1-4 years	Domestic and	- 2-5 alternative livelihoods introduced and carried out	
protecting sites outside protected		Wildlife Dept		international	annually.	
areas*		M/Environment		US\$ 1,500,000	- At least 500 beneficiaries annually.	
		M/Fisheries and				
		Aquatic Resources				
		Dev, CCD				

(iv). Inter-departmental coordination for	High	Forest Dept,	3-10 years	Domestic and	<ul> <li>Internal policy/strategy promoting partnership formulated</li> </ul>
protection of point endemics.		Wildlife Dept	-	international	in 6 months.
		CCD		US \$ 600,000	- 5-10 meetings between departments annually.
(v). Make recommendations on climate	High	Individual experts,	Year 3	Domestic	
change and species related		Conservation		US\$ 5,000	
considerations		oriented NGOs			
		M/Environment			

### Measure/Action 5: Create effective partnerships between Ministry/Departments and universities, NGOs, species specialists etc for species conservation.

Justification for the action: The Department of Wildlife Conservation being the legally mandated organization to conserve species has established limited partnerships for species conservation. Currently there are numerous researchers and institutions working on biodiversity conservation, and species focused research. Forming formal partnerships with these specialists will facilitate carrying out appropriate species-specific conservation. Their assistance can also be sought for carrying out specialist research and preparation of action plans & strategies for conserving such species etc.

Action (Sub Action	Driority Dopk	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators
		Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators
(i). Establish effective partnerships	High	Forest/Wildlife	3 years &	Domestic and	- At least 10 partnerships formed in 2-5 years.
between Ministry/Departments and		Dept,	Continuous	international	- At least 5 partnerships have lasted more than 1 or 2
universities, NGOs, species specialists		M/Environment		US\$ 115,000	years.
etc for species conservation.		M/Fisheries and			
		Aquatic Resources			
		Dev, NARA and			
		CCD			
		Environmental			
		organizations			
		Species specialists			

#### Measure/Action 6: Carry out extensive surveys/research; obtain expertise on the subject/capacity building\*

Justification for the action: There is inadequate information on threatened species in terms of distribution, population size and genetics. This information is vital when preparing management plans and strategies for their long-term conservation. It is proposed undertake such research in-situ in view of the vulnerability of these species. This information will also be essential for climate change modeling as it will give an idea of possible migration/dispersal and other changes. Therefore, availability of this information is a prerequisite for climate adaptive strategies for biodiversity conservation.

Action (Sub Action	Priority Pank	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators
Action /Sub Action		Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators
(i). Carry out extensive surveys/research;	Medium	Universities	Year 2	Domestic and	- 2-5 of studies/research successfully completed (incl.
obtain expertise on the		Environmental org		international	reports) annually
subject/capacity building*		Species specialists		US\$ 800,000	- 2-5 Capacity building – workshops annually with 25-50
		Forest/Wildlife Dept,			stakeholders participating.
		NARA and CCD			

**Measure/Action 7:** Awareness programs on point endemics and critically endangered species, and the importance of their conservation; awareness and mechanisms for voluntary conservation action

Justification for the action: Currently there is poor awareness by both the general public and policy-makers regarding the importance of point endemics and other threatened species. Many do not have any awareness on the importance, the role-played in terms of ecosystem services and the threats to their survival. It is often the lack of awareness on its importance that leads to threats and destruction of such species. Awareness creation should be carried out in a manner that is easily understood and captivates the interest of those whose priorities are often not conservation.

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Timeframe	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators
(i) Awareness programs on point	Medium	Forest/Wildlife	Annual from	Domestic and	-2-5 awareness workshops annually with 25-50
endemics and critically endangered		Dept,	year 3	international	stakeholders
species, and the importance of their		, NARA and CCD		US \$250,000	

conservation.		Universities			
		Environmental org			
(ii). Awareness (in an innovative manner)	High	Forest/Wildlife	Annual from	Domestic and	- 2-5 awareness workshops annually with 25-50
with the support of the government		Dept,	year 4	international	stakeholders.
sector for policy makers, school		, NARA and CCD		US\$250,000	
children etc.*		Universities			
		Environmental org			
(iii) Introduce relevant mechanisms to	Medium	Environmental org	Year 2	Domestic and	- Incentive mechanism set in place/legalized in 1 year.
reinforce voluntary conservation		Local communities		international	
action*		Forest Dept,		US\$300,000	
		Wildlife Dept			
		CCD			
		Private sector			
Measure/Action 8: Expedite the curre	nt administrat	tive process available	for obtaining p	<b>ermission</b> for resear	ch work by individuals and non-state institutions*
Justification for the action: There are exp	eriences of u	ndue delays in obtair	ning permissio	n for conducting res	earch by individuals and non-state sector institutions. The
current existing administrative procedure	to obtain suc	ch permission is unju	stifiably long a	and discouraging. Ir	some instances delays mean there is a lack of time for
researches to carry out the research at	the correct s	eason or time period	making resea	arch ineffective. Exp	editing the procedure is essential to encourage research
activities, while ensuring that the essential	administrativ	e requirements are co	mplied with.		

ŀ						
	Action (Sub Action	Priority Ponk	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators
	Action /Sub Action		Implementation	frame	Funding Source	indicators

(i). Expedite process for obtaining	High	Forest/Wildlife	Year 1	Domestic and	- Mechanisms introduced to expedite selection.
permission for research by individuals		Dept,		international	- 25% reduction in time to grant permission for research
and non-state institutions*		NARA and CCD		US\$ 25,000	activities within a year.
(ii) Create awareness on process					
Measure/Action 9: Research on habit	ats for species	s migration and identi	fication/conse	rvation of such habita	ats*
Justification for the action: There is a lack	of focused rea	search on habitats fo	r species migr	ation. With the effec	ts of climate change is felt, the species will tend to migrate
into more favorable ecosystems and sites	s, while there	will also be altitudina	al migration. C	Often research is ca	rried out in the present habitats of species. However with
climate change, potential migration/dispe	ersal sites of	species also become	e important. (	Climate change mod	leling would enable identifying such potential sites. This
information should be used as a guide and	I potential site	s need to be research	ned to identify	their suitability for sp	ecies migration/dispersal.
		Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	La Partera
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators
(i). Research on habitats for species	V. High	Universities	Year 4	Domestic and	- List of research priorities identified in 3 months.
migration and		Environmental org.		international	- 2-5 successful studies completed and activities
identification/conservation of such		Forest/Wildlife		US\$500,000	implemented annually.
habitats*		Dept,			
		NARA and CCD			
Measure/Action 10: Build capacity and	equip staff wi	thin departments for o	conservation a	nd monitoring of thre	eatened species/ecosystems (specialized knowledge).*
Justification for the action: There is insuffic	cient knowledg	ge on species manag	ement strateg	ies within the relevar	nt authorities. Sri Lanka has a high diversity of species and
it is not feasible for one department to be	equipped with	all the expertise on t	he country's b	biodiversity. Yet insuf	ficient knowledge is a major hindrance for appreciating the
need for species conservation. For specie	s focused cor	nservation, it is vital th	nat ecological	and other informatio	n relevant to the threatened or endemic species is known.
Capacity building, and knowledge acquired	d from researc	hers and external exp	perts will be es	ssential when plannir	ng and carrying our conservation of species.
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for	Time	Cost &	Indicators

		Implementation	frame	Funding Source		
(i). Build capacity and equip staff within	V. High	Forest/Wildlife	Year 2	Domestic and	- 2-5 Capacity building – workshops annually with 25-50	
departments to conserve and monitor		Dept,		international	stakeholders participating.	
threatened species/ecosystems		NARA and CCD		US\$275,000		
(specialized knowledge).*		(Environmental				
		org.)				
Total cost fo	Total cost for the Technology 4			#Approx. US\$ 7.5 million for 10 years		

V. High = Very High; NARA – National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency; CCD – Coast Conservation Department; NGOs – Non-governmental

Organizations

# 5.6 Action Plan for Technology 5: Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction

# 5.6.1 Description of the Technology

Ex-situ conservation refers to conservation activities that occur outside the usual habitat of a species. Often this approach focuses on captive maintenance programs for species that would otherwise become extinct due to climate change. Such an approach would generally be a last resort for species<sup>72</sup>. Zoological Gardens, captive breeding centers, seed banks etc are some example of such conservation activities, and therefore not a new technology. However some advanced facilities may be necessary for certain species. Zoos and breeding centers have long been carrying out captive breeding, especially for keystone mammals. Sperm and egg banks would be rather extreme forms of this strategy, but may be necessary<sup>73</sup>. Often such activities are carried out as insurance against future or unexpected threats that will make in-situ conservation difficult. Ex-situ conservation is usually not favored where in-situ conservation is possible, but its importance as an insurance mechanism is recognized. In some situations, ex-situ conservation will need to be carried out until global warming is reversed as the only chance of survival for some species. Ex-situ collections should have sufficient diversity to allow adaptation<sup>74</sup>.

Several Policies, Action Plans and Strategies in Sri Lanka have identified this technology as essential for biodiversity conservation.

Some of its benefits are highlighted below:

- Environment The main environmental benefit would be that this mechanism would contribute to the viability of threatened biodiversity, and genetic diversity.
- Employment Expansion and up gradation of existing ex-situ conservation facilities, and creation of new facilities will provide employment opportunities during the planning and construction phase and for day to day activities. It will require support staff and also scientists and veterinarians.
- Investment There will be medium to high capital investment requirements to upgrade existing facilities, and to establish new facilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Mawdsley, et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Mawdsley et al. 2009. Op. Cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Noss, R. F. 2001. Beyond Kyoto: forest management in a time of rapid climate change. Conservation Biology 15:578–590.

- Income Certain ex-situ conservation programs, especially those associated with zoos, botanical
  gardens and aquaria can generate significant income from tourists and local visitors. In fact they
  can accommodate larger number of visitors depending on the location and ease of visitation as
  carrying capacity will not be a limiting factor.
- Education Zoos, botanical gardens and aquaria are excellent learning platforms for students of all ages and adults. It gives an opportunity to learn about both native and exotic species, and the ability to see a wide variety of species and obtain information, and usually have excellent interpretation centers. They also provide information on threatened species and importance of conservation, and play an important role in harnessing public support of biodiversity conservation in general.
- Health There are no obvious health benefits from ex-situ conservation, but can contribute to good mental health and relaxation, as it is a recreational activity.

# 5.6.2 Target for technology transfer and diffusion

- Create at least two conservation facilities based on requirements and prioritization.
- Allocation at least 2-5% of annual budgets of the respective agencies for setting up ex-situ facilities that may be required in the near future.
- Introduce a framework/protocol for reintroduction and monitoring.
- At least 20 partnerships built with species specialists.
- Carry out capacity building on ex-situ conservation
- Standard protocols for ex-situ conservation (maintenance of facilities, disease control, quarantine etc) introduced.
- A study to identify and prioritize species for ex-situ conservation.
- Introduction of a regulated system to allow ex-situ breeding by non state parties under the close supervision of the government stakeholders.

# 5.6.3 Barriers to the technology's diffusion

Seven (07) key barriers comprised of three (03) economic & financial and four policy, legal & regulatory have been identified.

The list of key barriers and hierarchy classification for technology 5 is given in table 5.16.

#### Table 5.16: List of key barriers and hierarchy classification for the technology 5

Techno	echnology Name: Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction									
No.	Key Barriers Identified	Priority Rank	Category of Barriers							
1.	Low funding allocation for this technology (nationally).	1	Economic and financial							
2.	Lack of proper planning and funding for ex-situ conservation, No framework/protocol for reintroduction and monitoring	2	Economic and financial							
3.	Lack of expertise and resources (suitable land/specialized locations, standard protocols) to carry out ex-situ conservation	4	Economic and financial							
4.	Ex-situ conservation of wild fauna not a high priority in conservation policies.	5	Policy, legal and regulatory, information & awareness							
5.	Weak law enforcement for improper ex-situ conservation	7	Policy, legal and regulatory, information & awareness							
6.	Existing legal framework permits ex-situ conservation by only few government agencies.	6	Policy, legal and regulatory, information & awareness							
7.	Poor understanding on species that may require ex-situ conservation (at present or in the future).	3	Policy, legal and regulatory, information & awareness							

# 5.6.4 Proposed Action Plans for Technology 5: Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction

The Proposed Action Plan for Technology 5 is provided in table 5.17.

# **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

# Action Plan for Technology 5

### Table 5.17: Proposed Action Plan for the Technology 5: Ex-situ conservation for highly threatened species and possible reintroduction

Measure/Action 1: Apportion a part of annual budgets of the relevant agencies for setting up ex-situ facilities that may be required in the near future\*

Justification for the action: There is a low funding allocation for this technology (nationally). Currently the main departments dealing with management of environment and biodiversity conservation in the country do not have financial provisions for this activity in their nationally allocated budgets. Non prioritization of this activity and insufficient finances from annual budgets is a major hindrance for ex-situ conservation, which is considered a high priority for biodiversity adaptation to climate change.

Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators
(i). Set aside a portion of annual budgets of Forest	V. High	Wildlife Dept, Dept of National	Year 2	Domestic	- least 2-5% of budget
and Wildlife Departments for this technology based		Zoological Gardens, Plant Genetic		No additional	allocated for the
on action plans		Resource Centre, National Botanic		funds required	technology within 3 years
(ii). Seek external funds*		Gardens ,			
		NARA			
Measure/Action 2: Identify ex-situ conservation fa	cilities rec	uired and <b>prioritization</b> and <b>estimating co</b>	sts		

Justification for the action: There is a lack of proper planning and funding for ex-situ conservation. No framework/protocol for reintroduction and monitoring. Ex-situ conservation is usually considered a last resort for conservation, and thus it is an important technology for biodiversity adaptation. Ex-situ conservation requires proper advance planning to seek budgetary allocations.

Action /Sub Action		Responsibility for Implementation	Time frame	Cost & Funding Source	Indicators
(i).Identify ex-situ conservation facilities that are	V. High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	2 – 3 years	Domestic and	- Priority list in 6 months
required , prioritize and estimate the cost.		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	- 2-5 Built facilities annually
		Gardens & NARA		2,000,000	for 3 years.
Measure/Action 3: Introduce framework/protocol for	or reintroo	duction/translocation and monitoring.			
Justification for the action: Currently there is no framework	ork or pro	tocol for captive breeding, reintroduction,	monitoring etc	·,-	
Astion (Out-Astion	Priority	Deer en sik jijt sfan hendere station	Time	Cost &	la d'a stars
Action /Sub Action	Rank	Responsibility for implementation	frame	Funding Source	Indicators
(i). Introduce framework/protocol for	High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	2 – 3 years	Domestic and	- At least 1 publication of
reintroduction/translocation and monitoring.		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	best practices produced in
		Gardens & NARA		US \$ 250,000	2 years.
					- At least 2-5 examples of
					best practices being used
					within 5 years.
Measure/Action 4: Carry out capacity building on e	ex-situ co	nservation*; <b>partnerships</b> with species spe	ecialists and fa	cilitate exchange	and <b>sharing of knowledge</b> ;
suitable resources and standard protocols					
Justification for the action: There is a lack of required e	xpertise a	and resources (suitable land/specialized lo	ocations, stand	lard protocols) to	carry out ex-situ conservation.
The resource requirements include suitable land and s	pecialized	d location for certain species. Additionally	it is vital to h	ave standard prote	ocols and procedures to carry
out ex-situ conservation in the country. Without a comb	ination of	these skills, expertise and resources it w	ould be difficul	t to carry out ex-si	tu conservation successfully.
Action /Sub Action	Priority Rank	Responsibility for Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indicators
(i). Carry out capacity building on ex-situ conservation*	High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 3,	Domestic and	- 2-5 Capacity building –

		Cardons PCPC National Botania	thoroaftor	international	workshops appually with
		Gardens, FGRC, National Botanic	linerealter	International	workshops annually with
		Gardens & NARA (Universities	annually	US\$ 500,000	25-50 stakeholders.
		Environmental org)			
(ii). Partnerships with species specialists and facilitate	High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Years 1-2	Domestic and	- At least 10 partnerships
exchange and sharing of knowledge		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	formed in 2-5 years.
		Gardens & NARA, (Universities		US\$ 150,000	- At least 5 partnerships
		Environmental org			have lasted more than 1 or
		Species specialists)			2 years.
(iii). Provision of suitable resources (eg: land etc).	V. High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 3	Domestic and	- List of requirements
		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	needed and priorities in 6
		Gardens & NARA		US\$ 1,000,000	months
(iv). Standard protocols for ex-situ conservation	High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 3-4	Domestic and	- Standard protocols
(maintenance of facilities, disease control,		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	prepared in 12 months
quarantine etc).		Gardens & NARA, (Universities		US\$ 175,000	- Monitoring annually.
		Environmental org)			
Measure/Action 5: Give ex-situ conservation high	<b>priority</b> an	d <b>create awareness</b> on its importance.	1		
Justification for the action: Ex-situ conservation of wild	d fauna is	not a high priority in the existing conse	rvation related	policies. Due to	the complexity and high costs
involved ex-situ conservation is not considered a high	priority in	conservation efforts. However, in view	of the impendi	ng threats due to	climate change, due attention
needs to be given for ex situ conservation as survival	of some	species may entirely be dependent on t	his activity. Th	e importance of e	ex-situ conservation should be
clearly convinced to decision makers so that it is given	due priorit	ty when allocating annual budgets, draftir	ng strategies ar	nd policies.	
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Responsibility for Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indicators

	Rank		frame	Funding Source	
(i) Give ex-situ conservation high priority.	High	Wildlife Dept, Forest dept M/	Year 1-2	Domestic and	- Changes made to current
		Environment, Dept of National		international	policy and priorities in 6
		Zoological Gardens		US\$ 200,000	months
		PGRC			- Two awareness workshops
					annually with 25-50
					stakeholders.
(ii) Create awareness on its importance*	Medium	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 1-2	Domestic and	- Two awareness workshops
(can combine with above activity)		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	annually with 25-50
		Gardens & NARA		US\$ 150,000	stakeholders.

Measure/Action 6: Studies to identify and prioritize species for ex-situ conservation\* and climate change modeling to also identify species vulnerable to climate change\*

Justification for the action: Currently there is poor understanding and dearth of information available on species that may require ex-situ conservation (at present or in the future). Perhaps under the present context ex situ conservation is not deemed a priority, but under the impending climate change scenario it would be necessary to have some predictions made to identify species requiring such interventions. This will enable certain facilities to be set up in advance to enable interventions as and when required. Understanding on species that need ex-situ conservation will require a comprehensive analysis on current threat levels and potential climate change impacts on species already under threat. Capacity building, technology transfer etc will be required in this regard.

	Action /Sub Action		Posponsibility for Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indicators	
			Responsibility for implementation	frame	Funding Source		
(i)	. Studies to identify and prioritize species for ex-situ	V. High	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 1-3	Domestic and	- A comprehensive study	
	conservation*		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	completed in 3 years.	
			Gardens & NARA, CCS of M/E		US\$ 2,000,000	- One set of modeling data,	
			(Universities			maps etc within 3 years.	

(ii). Climate change modeling to also identify species Environmental org)									
vulnerable to climate change*									
(can combine with above activity)									
Masure/Action 7: Introduction of a regualted system	em to allo	w <b>ex-situ breeding</b> by non state sector pa	rties, under the	e mandatory super	rvision by government				
stakeholders (In accordance to the legal system).	stakeholders (In accordance to the legal system).								
Justification for the action: - The existing the laws p	ermit onl	y some government sector institutions	to carry out e	x-situ conservatio	n debarring non state sector				
participation. Ex-situ conservation certainly needs gove	ernment ir	volvement, however not having a mecha	nism to work v	vith other institutio	ons and the private sector may				
prevent funds, skills etc that will be essential for effective	ve ex-situ	conservation. It is however vital that even	if ex-situ cons	servation is allowe	d by external parties, it should				
be under the strict and mandatory supervision of the rel	evant gov	vernment department.							
Action /Sub Action	Priority	Personalities for Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indiaatoro				
	Rank		frame	Funding Source	maicators				
(i). Introduction of a regulated system to allow ex-situ	Medium	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 2-3	Domestic and	- Breeding guidelines,				
breeding by other parties, under the supervision of		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	regulations in 12 months.				
the government stakeholders.		Gardens & NARA		US\$ 1,040,000	- Monitoring and evaluation				
Measure/Action 8: Enforcement of existing laws for	or imprope	er ex-situ conservation activities*							
Justification for the action: Although, ex-situ conservation	on initiati	ves by non state parties are rare, there h	ave been insta	ances where smal	l scale private zoos, parks etc				
have been set up violating or non-adherence to certain	n legislat	ion. Further, conditions of these facilities	were found to	be substandard.	Therefore enforcement of the				
respective legal instruments is essential. Therefore, exi	sting laws	need to be reviewed and amended as re	quired to enab	le ensuring appro	priate safeguards.				
Action (Sub Action	Priority	Possessibility for Implementation	Time	Cost &	Indicatora				
	Rank		frame	Funding Source	maicators				
(i). Enforcement of existing laws for improper ex-situ	Medium	DWLC, FD, National Zoological	Year 1	Domestic and	- Prepared strategy in 6				
conservation activities*		Gardens, PGRC, National Botanic		international	months.				
		Gardens & NARA		US\$ 150,000	- Execution of strategy				

Total cost for Technology 5			·#Approx. US	S\$ 7.5 million for 10 years	

V. High = Very High; NARA – National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency; FD – Forest Department; DWLC – Department of Wildlife Conservation; PGRC – Plant Genetic Resource Centre; CCS – Climate Change Secretariat

# Chapter 6

# **Cross-cutting Issues**

Barriers to transfer and diffusion of climate change adaptation technologies are unlikely to occur independently of one other. The barrier analyses in different sectors show linkages between different barriers or existence of general/common barriers faced by the prioritized technologies. Although specific barriers are different from technology to technology, some general or common barriers and appropriate measures can be identified for some technologies in all the sectors. Therefore, it is useful to analyze such linkages and measures in order to maximize synergies and optimize the effects of recommended measures.

The action plans proposed for adaptation technologies in different sectors as provided in Chapters 1-5, listed measures and actions to overcome the barriers in each sector. Amongst them there are some common measures in different sectors. Such measures and actions may not only have impacts on development of these technologies, but also may influence in resolving barriers of other technologies in the same sector or in other sectors. In general, such measures are advantageous for technological development.

The aim of this section is to identify common or general barriers to technology transfer and diffusion, that cut across the five prioritized sectors namely food, health, water, coastal and biodiversity, and to analyze possible cross-sectoral development actions.

# 6.1 Identification of common barriers across the sectors

The general/common barriers and proposed measures for all five sectors are described in the Report II, 'Report on Barrier Analysis and Enabling Framework' and in Technology Action Plan (TAP) report. The major groups of common barriers across sectors are as follows;

- 1) Inadequacy of finances
- 2) Inadequate enabling policies/laws and enforcement
- 3) Lack of sustainability
- 4) Inadequate information and awareness
- 5) Inadequacy of Research & Development
- 6) Poor inter agency coordination

These common barriers across the sectors are provided in table 6.1.

Barrier Group			Sectors / Barrier	s	
	Food	Health	Water	Coastal	Biodiversity
1. Finances	Inadequate	Inadequate	Inadequate	Inadequate	Low funding
	finances	finances	finances	finances	availability
2. Policies/laws	Inadequacy of	Feeble	Poor	Poor	Weak law
	enabling	policies and	enforcement	enforcement	enforcement
	Policies/Poor	policy	of		and
	enforcement	reviews	policies/laws		implementation
	of laws				of policies
3.Sustainability	Not applicable	Lack of	Lack of	Unsustainabl	Not applicable
		sustainability	sustainability	e practices	
		over time			
4. Information	Poor technical	Poor	Inadequate	Inadequate	Lack of
and awareness	knowledge	awareness	information	awareness	understanding,
		among health	and		awareness and
		personnel	awareness		appreciation of
					value of
					biodiversity
5. Research &	Inadequate	Not	Inadequate	Not	Lack of
Development	R&D and	applicable	R & D	applicable	information,
	Training				research,
	Facilities				climate
					modeling
6. Coordination	Poor inter	Poor	Not	Inadequate	Not applicable
	agency	coordination	applicable	inter agency	
	coordination	of training		coordination	
		activities/.			

#### Table 6.1: The common barriers across the sectors

As shown in Table 6.1 above, inadequate finances, policies & laws, and information & awareness are the most critical barriers that cut across all five prioritized sectors. It can be concluded that inadequate finances appear to be the most critical issue for all the sectors. Similarly, inadequacies in information & awareness and policies, laws and poor enforcement are also critical barriers for most of the technology

developments in all the sectors. Lack of sustainability, poor inter agency coordination, and inadequate research & development are the next most significant barriers affecting the transfer and diffusion of technologies in most of the sectors.

Furthermore, research & development and information & awareness barriers as a matter of fact, are interrelated and linked with inadequate finances. For example, due to inadequate finances for R&D, many climate change adaptation related important research works cannot be sustained. Similarly, without adequate finances, the information including recent developments in sciences and climate change adaptation technologies cannot be disseminated to relevant stakeholders including general public. Issues related to inadequate of finances can be easily resolved by informed decision by the concerned parties.

# 6.2 The measures to overcome common barriers in sectors

The proposed actions and measures to overcome the cross-sectoral barriers are summarized in table 6.2.

As shown in table 6.2 following are the common measures to overcome cross cutting barriers for the technologies in food, health, water, coastal and biodiversity sectors.

- Provide adequate government financing and seek donor support
- Review and revise existing policies and legislation, effective enforcement and develop new policies and legislation as appropriate
- Take appropriate action such as, feasibility studies, adequate operation & maintenance and encourage non-extractive uses etc to ensure sustainability
- Awareness creation among all relevant stakeholders
- Carry out R & D as required for all sectors.
- Improve inter agency coordination among stakeholder organizations

Since these measures are common, they have the potential for making significant impacts on success of transfer and diffusion of technologies in all five prioritized sectors. Therefore, such measures and actions should be given due priority when implementing the technology action plans.

Group of			Sectors / Measures			Common meas	ures
Measures	Food	Health	Water	Coastal	Biodiversity	to overcome	
						barriers	
1. Finances	Assuring availability of	Provide sufficient	Provide adequate	Provide funding from	Allocate a portion of	Provide adeq	uate
	financial resources;	government funds	funds and secure	the government and	annual budgets of Forest	funds	from
	Introduce subsidies and	and explore	farmer contributions;	explore project	and Wildlife	government	&
	Agricultural credit	alternative funding	Take steps to reduce	specific external	Departments for	donors	
		sources; Public-	the investment	financing	restoration		
		private partnerships	(capital) cost				
		and identification of					
		low-cost					
		technologies					
2. Policies/laws	Introduce and enforce	Regular policy	Develop a policy &	Law enforcement to	Implement existing	Review and re	evise
	policies, laws and	reviews and reforms	strategy for selecting	control illegal	policies and legislation	existing pol	icies
	regulations		and prioritizing	coastal practices	relating to land tenure;	and laws	and
			cascade systems;	and reef cleaning	Policy harmonization;	ensure	
			Review and revise		Enabling policies to	enforcement;	
			information		discourage conversion	Develop	new
			dissemination		of natural ecosystems	policies	and
			policies of		for development	legislation	as
			Meteorology		projects; Enforcement of	appropriate	
			department; Review		legislation		

# Table 6.2: Proposed actions/measures to overcome the cross-sectoral barriers

			and revise existing			
			policies & laws			
			related to use of			
			ground water			
3.Sustainability	-	Conduct feasibility	Improve operation	Encourage non-	Identify critical areas to	Take appropriate
		studies on different	and maintenance to	extractive and/or	be connected and	action to ensure
		technologies and	increase	sustainable	prioritize required	sustainability
		implement only	sustainability of minor	utilisation of	corridors	(feasibility studies/
		sustainable	tanks;	mangroves;		operation and
		technologies	Pay special attention	Improve awareness		maintenance /
			to sustainability of	on the impacts of		encourage non-
			boreholes	unsustainable socio		extractive uses)
				economic activities		
4. Information	Raise knowledge on	Awareness creation	Improve the	Raising awareness	Awareness for decision	Awareness creation
and awareness	cultivation of new crops	among health	knowledge on	of all stakeholders	makers; Create	among all relevant
	and precision farming;	personnel	importance of good	including law	awareness and build	stakeholders
	Appropriate land		tank & catchment	enforcement	capacity to promote	
	management techniques		management;	officers;	coexistence with	
			Operation and	Improve awareness	biodiversity; Awareness	
			management	and provide	programs on point	
			practices of rooftop	assistance for	endemics and critically	
			rainwater harvesting	preparation of	endangered species	
			systems; importance	management plans		
			of the roof top RW	for rehabilitation		

			harvesting as a				
			water conservation				
			method				
5. Research &	Assuring adequate R&D	-	R & D on tank water	Capacity building at	Climate change	Carry out R &	D on
Development	and training facilities;		pollution; Promote R	R & D institutions to	modeling; Ecosystem	relevant aspec	cts in
	Encourage public and		&D on ground water	handle research;	specific studies for	all sectors.	
	private partnerships for		availability and	Conduct research	valuation of ecosystem		
	investments on R&D		hydrogeology;	on rehabilitation,	services; Research on		
			Formulate standards,	sustainability and	habitats for species		
			codes & certification	value added	migration		
				products of			
				mangroves.			
6. Coordination	Improve policy	Establish and	-	-	Inter-departmental	Improve	inter
	coordination & inter	strengthen a			coordination for	agency	
	agency coordination	coordination unit			protection of point	coordination	
		and a mechanism;			endemics		
		Improve intra					
		agency coordination					

# List of Reference

# Food Sector

- Amrasinghe, U.S. & Weerakoon, D.E.M. (2008) Present status and future strategies for the management of reservoir fisheries in Sri Lanka. Paper presented at the planning meeting of regional project on Asian reservoir fisheries development and management, 14–16 January 2008, Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia-Pacific, Bangkok.
- 2. Annual Report. (2011). Central Bank of Sri Lanka, Colombo.

Chakrabarty, R.D. and Samaranayake, R.A.D.B. 1983. Fish culture in seasonal tanks in Sri Lanka. *Journal of Inland Fisheries Sri Lanka*. 2: 125–140.

- Chakraborty S. & Datta S. (2003). How will plant pathogens adapt to host plant resistance at elevated CO2 under a changing climate? *New Phytologist* 159: 733-742.
- Coakley, S.M., Scherm H. & Chakraborty S. (1999). Climate change and plant disease management. *AnnualReview of Phytopathology* 37: 399-426.
- De Costa, D.M., Kishimoto, N. & De Costa, W.A.J.M. (2009). Effects of elevated CO2 on culturable epiphytic microbial populations on the phyllosphere of rice. *Sri Lanka Journal of Agricultural Science* (In Press). Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka
- Hein, T., (2009). Crop pest and climate change; The real story. *The crop manager*. <u>http://climate</u> change. world bank.org/climate change - accessed 2011/10/04
- Legislative Enactments of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka. Volume XI Chapters 251 301. Agriculture and Lands. 1980 Revised Edition (unofficial).
- 8. National Land Use Policy in Sri Lanka, 2007.
- 9. National Agricultural Policy, 2007.
- Panabokke, C.R. (2001) The nature and properties of small tank systems of the dry zone and their sustainable production thresholds. In: Food Security and Small Tank Systems in Sri Lanka (ed.H.P.M. Gunasena), pp. 33–47. Proceedings of the workshop organized by the Working Committee on Agricultural Science and Forestry, 9 September 2000. National Science Foundation, Colombo.
- 11. Premalal, K.H.M.S., Climate Change in Sri Lanka., Climate Change & Its impacts on Agriculture, Forest, and Water. 10 -11 Sept. 2009. Kandy, Sri Lanka.
- Sena S. De S., U.S. Amarasinghe, A. Jayasinghe, K. Wijeyanayake, Thuy T. T., Nguyen, Sih Yang Sim, Son Hai Nguyen, Francis Murray. (2006). Better-practice approaches for culture-based fisheries development in Asia.
- 13. Sri Lanka's Second National Communication on Climate Change. Ministry of Environment. 2011.

- 14. The National Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Policy, 2006.
- 15. The National livestock Development Policy, 2011
- **16.** Weerakoon W.M.W., Maruyama A. & Ohba K. (2008). Impact of humidity on temperature-induced grain sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa L*). *Journal Agronomy and CropScience* 194: 135-140.
- Wijeratne, M.K.S., L.D. Amarasinghe, and A. Anandakumaraswarmi, Effects of Climate Change on Tea Production in Sri Lanka and adaptation measures., Climate Change & Its impacts on Agriculture, Forest, and Water. 10 -11 Sept. 2009. Kandy, Sri Lanka.
- 18. Wijayagunawardane, M.P.B., Impacts of Global Warming on Livestock Reproduction. Climate Change & Its impacts on Agriculture, Forest, and Water. 10 -11 Sept. 2009. Kandy, Sri Lanka.

# **Health Sector**

- IPCC, 2011,[ accessed online: <u>http://thegwpf.org/science-news/4374-ipcc-introduces-new climate-</u> change-definition.html]
- 2. Ministry of Health [available online: http://health.gov.lk]
- 3. Transfer and Diffusion of Climate Technologies, [Available online: www.tech-action.org
- UNEP, 2007. Climate Change and Human Health, *Risks and Responses*, Summary: WHO, WMO, (ISBN 92 4 159081 5)
- UNEP, 2011. Data is from World Bank Group, *Representative GHG baselines for Cities and respective Countries*, UNEP; March 2011 (available online) http://www.unep.org/urban\_environment/PDFs/Representative-GHGBaselines.pdf]
- 6. WHO, Brussels [available online: http://www.unbrussels.org/agencies/who.html]
- WHO, Constitution, Governance [available online: http://www.who.int/governance/eb/constitution/en/]
- WHO, Health workers: *on the frontline protecting health from the climate change*, global health workforce alliance; 2008. [Available online: <u>http://www.who.int/workforcealliance/news/whd2008</u> statement/en/index.html] accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> may 2012.

In addition to the references mentioned above the following documents were also perused for the purpose of preparation of this document

- a. Annual Health Bulletin, Ministry of Health,
- b. Disaster Management Centre, Government of Sri Lanka, Multi Hazard Early Warning Systems,
- c. Haniffa R, Management of Health Care Waste, Ceylon Medical Journal, Vol. 49, No. 3,
- d. Health Master Plan for Sri Lanka, Volume III, Project Profiles, Ministry of Health 2003

- e. Human Resources for Health, Strategic Plan (2009-2018), Ministry of Health, 2009. [Available online: http://www.health.gov.lk]
- f. MAHINDA CHINTHANA, Towards a new Sri Lanka, 2005
- g. National Disaster Management Act No.13 of May, 2005, Sri Lanka
- h. National Health Policy, Sri Lanka, 1996. [Available online: http://www.health.gov.lk]
- i. Sri Lanka's Second National Communication on Climate Change, Ministry of Environment, 2011.[Available at: http://www.climatechange.lk]
- Strategic Plan for Health Sector Disaster/ Emergency Preparedness, Disaster preparedness and Response Unit, Ministry of Health, 2011
- k. Surveillance case definitions for notifiable diseases in Sri Lanka, Epidemiology Unit, Ministry of Health [Available Online at; <u>http://www.health.gov.lk</u>]
- I. Road Map for Disaster Risk Management, 2005:24-30 (supported by UNDP)
- m. Heath Master Plan, (HMP) 2007- 2016, Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka. [Available at: <a href="http://www.health.gov.lk">http://www.health.gov.lk</a> ]

# Water Sector

- 1. Adaptation Fund, 2001: Proposal for Sri Lanka, AFB/PPRC. 14/11; Project and programme review committee, Bonn, June 2011
- 2. Ariyananda, T, 2009. Rainwater harvesting, Practioners Guide for Sri Lanka: Lanka Rain water harvesting Forum (2009)
- 3. Ariyananda. T, 2003. Health Risk Due to Drinking Domestic Roof Water Harvested, XI IRCSA conference, Mexico.
- Bandara, M.A.C.S. Aheeyar, M.M.M, 2012. Runoff rainwater harvesting interventions in Sri Lanka, (ISBN 978-955-612-116-2; 2010)
- Development through rainwater harvesting Sustainable social and economic development for resettlements in North and East through rainwater harvesting (Daily News, 19-09-2009) http://www.dailynews.lk/2009/09/19/fea01.asp
- Elliot, M, Armstrong, A, Lobuglio J and Bartram, J, UNEP, 2011. Technologies for climate change adaptation-The water sector; UNEP, (ISBN 978-87-550-3902-5); 2011
- Hettiarachchi, I, 2008. A review on ground water management issues in the dry zone of Sri Lanka,; BALWOIS 2008-Ohrid, Republic of Macedonia.
- Hewawasam, A.L.T, Dharmagunawardhana, H.A, Premathilaka, H.A, *et al.* 2012. Solutions for issues in construction of borehole wells in Sri Lanka : A hydrogeological and economic approach, Sabaragamuwa University, 2012

- Imbulana, K.A.U.S, Wijesekera N.T.S *et al.* Sri Lanka : Water Development Report:2010 ; (ISBN 978-955-8395-02-8)
- 10. Integrated Food Security Programme, 2002. 75 Minor tank development, Tec paper 21, 2002, Inventory, planning and mapping programme; Trincomalee
- 11. Lanka Rain Water Harvesting Forum, 2005
- Lawson, S, LaBranche-Tucker A, Otto-Wack, H, Hall, R *et al*, 2009. Virginia Rainwater harvesting Manual, Second Edition 2009, Water for rural life- 21st March 2009 (The Island, 21-03-2009) http://www.island.lk/2009/03/21/features1.html
- 13. ME, 2012, Sri Lanka's second national communication on climate change, Ministry of Environment
- Panabokke, C.R, Perera A.P.G.R.L, 2005. Ground water resources of Sri Lanka, Water Resources Board 2005).
- 15. Panabooke, C.R, 2009. Small village tank systems of Sri Lanka; Their evolution, setting, distribution and essential functions (2009)
- Rain Water harvesting Practioners guide for Sri Lanka, 2009. Lanka Rain Water Harvesting Forum (ISBN 978-955-1064-06-8);2009
- 17. Technologies for climate change adaptation-The water sector; Mark Elliot, Andrew Armstrong, Josep Lobuglio and Jamie Bartram, UNEP, (ISBN 978-87-550-3902-5); 2011
- 18. UDA (Amendment) Act. no. 36 of 2007

# **Coastal Sector**

- 1. Bandaratillake, H.M & M.P. Sarath Fernando, Forest policy review, Sri Lanka.
- Barnes, R. and; Hughes, R. (1999). An Introduction to Marine Ecology (3rd ed.). Malden, MA: Blackwell Science, Inc.. pp. 117–141. ISBN 0-86542-834-4.
- Boldt, Jorgan, Ivan Nygaard, Ulrich Elmer Hansen & Sara Traerup (2012). Overcomming Barriers to the Transfer and diffusion of Climate Technologies. UNEP Riso Center on Energy, Climate & sustainable Development, Denmark
- Daly, M., Fautin, D.G., and Cappola, V.A. (March 2003). "Systematics of the Hexacorallia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa)". *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **139**: 419–437.
- Dawes, C. J. (1981). Mangrove communities. pp. 516–538 In: *Marine Botany*. New York, N.Y.:Wiley-Interscience. 628 pp.
- De Zoysa, Mangala (2001). A Review of Forest Policy Trends in Sri Lanka Policy Trend Report , 2001:57-68. <u>http://enviroscope.iges.or.jp/modules</u>
- Garrison, T. (1995). Tropical Oceans in Oceanograpy: An introduction to Marine Science. Wordsworth Publishing Company, New York. 456- 465 pp. ISBN -534-25728-3
- Hatta, M., Fukami, H., Wang, W., Omori, M., Shimoike, K., Hayashibara, T., Ina, Y., Sugiyama, T. (1999). <u>"Reproductive and genetic evidence for a reticulate evolutionary theory of mass spawning corals" (PDF)</u>. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **16** (11): 1607–1613.
- 9. Hesp, P. A (2000). Coastal sand dunes form and function. *Coastal Dune Vegetation Network Technical Bulletin No. 4*. Rotorua: New Zealand Forest Research Institute Limited. 28p.
- 10. http://data.iucn.org/dbtw-wpd/edocs/2008-018.pdf
- 11. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coral\_reef
- 12. http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/guidance/wetlands/definitions.cfm (browsed on 11-10-2011)
- 13. http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/guidance/wetlands/definitions.cfm (browsed on 09-10-2011)
- 14. <u>http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/guidance/wetlands/definitions.cfm</u> (browsed on 09-10-2011) http://www.ramsar.org/pdf/li.b/lib\_handbooks2006\_e02.pdf (Visited in June 2012)
- 15. http://www.scribd.com/doc/27047662/national-forest-policy-review-sri-lanka. (Visited in June 2012)
- 16. http://www.slideshare.net/Sammy17/10700mangroveecosystemsdoc
- 17. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/class.html
- 18. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/class.html (browsed on 12-10-2011)
- 19. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/class.html (browsed on 12-10-2011)
- 20. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/definit.html (browsed on 11-12-2011)
- 21. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/definit.html (browsed on 09-10-2011)
- 22. http://www.water.ncsu.edu/watershedss/info/wetlands/definit.html (browsed on 09-10-2011)
- **23.** Jayatilake, A. 2008, Climate Change due to Global Warming: A Global Challenge in Sri Lanka Perspective. Economic Review, 2008
- 24. Kotagama, S .W. & C N B Bambaradeniya (2006). An Overview of the Wetlands of Sri Lanka and their Conservation Significance. In "National Wetland Directory of Sri Lanka, Colombo, Sri Lanka". IUCN Sri Lanka and the Central Environmental Authority. http://dw.iwmi.org/wetland/SriLankanWetland\_Introduction.aspx)
- 25. Kumara, P.B.T.P. (2008). Patterns of coral production and distribution in Southern Sri Lanka :P Reef resilience in an environment affected by major disturbances. Ph.D. Thesis School of Pure & Applied Natural Sciences, University of Kalmar, Sweden
- Linham, Mathews M. & Robert J. Nicholls((2010). Technologies for Climate Change Adaptation Coasta Erosion & Flooding- (Xaanil Zhu ed.). UNEP Riso Centre, University of Southhampton. ISBN978-87550-3855-4
- 27. Min istry of Environment(a), 2010, Climate Change Vulnerability in Sri Lanka Sector Vulnerability Profile: Urban Development, Human Settlements and Economic Infrastructure.
- 28. Ministruy of Environment (b), 2010, Climate Change Vulnerability in Sri Lanka Sector Vulnerability Profile: Water.

- Miththapala, S (2008). Seagrasses and Sand Dunes. *Coastal Ecosystems Series* (Vol 3) pp 1-36 +
  iii. Colombo, Sri Lanka: Ecosystems and Livelihoods Group Asia, IUCN.
- National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Sri Lanka 2011 Practical Action. www.climatechange.lk/adaptation/.../Strategy\_Booklet-Final\_for\_Pri. (2012)
- National Wetland Policies, 2007. Ramsa Handbook for the wise use of Wetlands 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 2007, US Department of State and the US Fish & Wildlife Service
- Packham, J. R. and Willis, A. J (1997). *Ecology of dunes, salt marsh and shingle.* 352 pp. New York, NY: Springer. 352 pp.
- Padmavathy, A.\* and M. Anbarashan, (2011)., Phytomedicinal study of coastal sand dune floras in Puducherry. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research Vol. 5(12), pp. 2566-2571, 18 June, 2011.Available online at <u>http://www.academicjournals.org/JMPR</u>. ISSN 1996-0875 ©2011 Academic Journals
- Rajasuriya, A., & White, A.T., (1995) 'Coral reefs of Sri Lanka: Review of their extent, condition and Management status'. *Coastal Management* 23: 70 – 90.
- 35. Species Profiles for Pacific Island Agroforestry (2006) *Pandanus tectorius* (pandanus) Pandanaceae (screwpine family) <u>www.traditionaltree.org</u> (april 2006)
- Thomson, Lex A.J., Lois Englberger, Luigi Guarino, R.R. Thaman, and Craig R. Elevitch, (2006). Species Profiles for Pacific Island Agroforestry: *Pandanus tectorius* (pandanus). April 2006, ver. 1.1 www.traditionaltree.org (visited in 2012)
- Toller, W. W., R. Rowan and N. Knowlton (2001). <u>"Repopulation of Zooxanthellae in the Caribbean</u> <u>Corals Montastraea annularis and M. faveolata following Experimental and Disease-Associated</u> <u>Bleaching"</u>. *The Biological Bulletin* (Marine Biological Laboratory) **201** (3): 360–373.
- Veron, J.E.N. (2000). *Corals of the World. Vol 3* (3rd ed.). Australia: Australian Institute of Marine Sciences and CRR Qld Pty Ltd.. ISBN 0-64232-236-8.

#### **Biodiversity Sector**

- Allan, J. D., M. Palmer, and N. L. Poff. 2005. Climate change and fresh- water ecosystems. Pages 274–290 in T. E. Lovejoy and L. Hannah, editors. Climate change and biodiversity. Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut.
- Ashton, M.S., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Singhakumara, B.M.P. and Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N. 2001. Restoration pathways for rainforest in south west Sri Lanka: a review of concepts and models, *Forest Ecol. Manage.* 154 (2001), pp. 409–430
- Hannah, L and Hansen, L. 2005. Chapter 20 Designing Landscapes and Seascapes for Change. In: Lovejoy T, Hannah L, eds. 2005. In Climate Change and Biodiversity. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press

- 4. Heller, N.E. & Zavaleta, E.S. (2009) Biodiversity management in the face of climate change: a review of 22 years of recommendations. *Biological Conservation*, 142, 14.
- IUCN Sri Lanka and the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (2007) The 2007 Red List of Threatened Fauna and Flora of Sri Lanka, Colombo, Sri Lanka. xiii+148pp.
- 6. IUCN. 2009. Climate change and species. http://www.iucn.org/about/work/Programs/species/our\_work/climate\_change\_and\_species/
- 7. IUCN. 2011. IUCN Protected Area Management Categories http://www.iucn.org/about/work/programmes/pa/pa\_products/wcpa\_categories/
- Lovejoy T, Hannah L, eds. 2005. In Climate Change and Biodiversity. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press
- Mawdsley, J.R., O'Malley, R., Ojima, D.S., 2009. A review of climate-change adaptation strategies for wildlife management and biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology 23, 1080–1089.
- 10. MDG SriLanka. 2009. *Ensure environmental sustainability*. Available online from: http://www.mdg.lk/ images/flash/learningzone.swf
- 11. Ministry of Environment (1999). *Biodiversity conservation in Sri Lanka: a framework for action.* Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- 12. Ministry of Environment. 2010. Sector Vulnerability Profile: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B. & Kent, J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*403, 853–858
- 14. NARESA 1991, Natural Resources of Sri Lanka: Conditions and Trends. Natural Resources, Energy and Science Authority of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka
- 15. Noss, R. F. 2001. Beyond Kyoto: forest management in a time of rapid climate change. Conservation Biology 15:578–590.
- Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent cli- mate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 37:637–669.
- 17. Parmesan, C., and G. Yohe. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:37–42.
- 18. The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance No. 2 of 1937 and Amendment Act No. 49 of 1993.
- 19. Walker BH, Holling CS, Carpenter SR, Kinzig AS. 2004. Resilience, adaptability and transformability. *Ecology and Society* 9(2): 5

# Annex I

List of Stakeholders Involved and their Contacts

FOOD SECTOR

No	Name	Institution	Address
1.	Dr.(Mrs.) A.P Bentota,	Oil Crops Research &	Department of Agriculture,
	Additional Director	Development Institute	Angunukolapelassa
2.	K.N. Kannangara, Senior	Field Crop Research &	Department of Agriculture,
	Research Officer	Development Centre	Aralaganwila
3.	Dr. W.M.A.D.B.	Natural Resource	Department of Agriculture,
	Wickramasinghe,	Management Centre	Sarasavi Mawatha,
	Director		Peradeniya.
4.	Mr. K.M.A. Kendaragama,	Natural Resource	Department of Agriculture,
	Research Officer	Management Centre	Sarasavi Mawatha,
			Peradeniya.
5.	W.R.R.T.	Horticultural Crop Research &	Gannoruwa, Peradeniya
	Wickramarachchi,	Development Institute	
	Research Officer		
6.	Dr. S.P. Nissanka, Head,	Faculty of Agriculture	University of Peradeniya,
	Department of Agricultural	University of Peradeniya	Sarasawi Mawatha Peradeniya
	Crop Science		
7.	Mr. S.A.M. Azmy	Head, Environmental Studies	NARA, Crow Island, Col. 15
		Division	
8.	Mr. M.M.m. Aheeyer,	HARTI	114 Wijerama Mawatha, Colombo
	Research Officer		7
9.	Dr. R.M. Herath, Ag.	Socio Economic & Planning	Department of Agriculture,
	Economist	Centre	Peradeniya
10.	Mrs. Nirushs Ayoni, Ag.	Socio Economic & Planning	Department of Agriculture,
	Economist	Centre	Peradeniya
11.	S. N. Jayawardana,	DZLISPP	303, Gattuwana Rd, Kurunagala
	Agronomist		
12.	W.M.P.K. Walisinghe, Asst.	Hadabima Authority	PO Box 09, Gannoruwa Rd,
	Director		Peradeniya
13.	Dr. Damayanthi Galanina,	Horticultural Crop Research &	Gannoruwa, Peradeniya
	Entamologist	Development Institute	
14.	Yasantha Munasinghe	Asst. Director	NPD
15.	K.G.R.G.R.	Asst. Director	NPD
	Wickramawardane		
16.	Asitha Senevirathna	Addl. Secretary	Ministry of Industry & Commerce

17.	Mr. H.M. Bandaratillake	Team Leader/ TNA Project	Ministry of Environment
18.	Dr. R.D.S. Jayathunga	Director/ Climate Change,	Ministry of Environment
19.	Ms. Anoja Herath	TNA Coordinator	Ministry of Environment
20.	Ms. Kema Kasthuriarachchi	Environment Management	Ministry of Environment
		Officer	
21.	Ms. Surani Pathirana	Environment Management	Ministry of Environment
		Officer	

## **HEALTH SECTOR**

No	Name	Institution	Contact Address
1.	Dr. M.S.D. Wijesinghe	Environmental & Occupational	P.O. Box 385, Ven. Baddegama
		Health, Ministry of Health	Wimalawansa Thero Mw, Colombo-
			10
2.	Dr. Thushara Ranasinghe	Consultant Community	P.O. Box 385, Ven. Baddegama
		Physician,	Wimalawansa Thero Mw, Colombo-
		Ministry of Health	10
3.	Ms. Nilusha Kariyawasam,	Env. Planning Officer	'Sethsiripaya' 6 <sup>th</sup> & 7 <sup>th</sup> floors
		Urban Development Authority	Battaramulla
		(UDA)	
4.	Ms. Padma Wijesinghe	Planning Officer	'Sethsiripaya' 6 <sup>th</sup> & 7 <sup>th</sup> floors
		UDA	Battaramulla
5.	Ms. G.D. Dayani	Env. Planning Assistant	'Sethsiripaya' 6 <sup>th</sup> & 7 <sup>th</sup> floors
		UDA	Battaramulla.
6.	Ms. Sarojini Jayasekara	Deputy Director	104, Hector Kobbekaduwa Mw,
		Central Environmental	Battaramulla
		Authority	
7.	Ms. Christine Dasanayake	Scientific Officer	47/5, Maitland Place, Colombo-7
		National Science Foundation	
8.	Dr. A. Balasuriya	Senior Lecturer in Community	Defence University of Sri Lanka
		Medicine,	Kandawela Estate, Ratmalana,
		Faculty of Medicine	

9.	Ms. Kanchana Weerakoon	Founder/ President	42/3/I, Nadee Uyana, Gangarama
		Eco Friendly Volunteers (ECO-	Road, Boralasgomuwa
		V)	
10.	Dr. Mahesh Gunasekara	International Federation of	Dharmapala Mawatha, Colombo-7
		Red Cross	
11.	Dr. E.C. Salvador	Technical Officer/ EHA	226, Bauddhaloka Mawatha,
		WHO	Colombo-7
12.	Ms. A. Kavitha	Asst. Director, NPD	
13.	Dr. Inoka Suraweera	Consultant Community	Ministry of Health
		Physician, MOH	

## WATER SECTOR

No	Name	Institution	Contact Address
1.	Eng. P.M. Jayadeera	Deputy Director (Irrigation)	P.O.Box 1138
		Department of Irrigation	Bauddhaloka Mawatha
			Colombo
2.	Mr. R.S.C. George	Deputy General Manager	National Water Supply and Drainage
			Board
3.	A.N.D.S. Waidyarathne,	Asst. Director (D)	National Water Supply and Drainage
			Board
4.	Mr. Asoka Ajantha	Project Manager	5, Lionel Edirisinghe Mawatha
		Practical Action of Sri Lanka	Kirulapone, Colombo 5
5.	Ms. M.L. Nimanthi Manjula	Civil Engineer	Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka
6.	Mr. T. Samarathunga	Director	Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka
7.	Mr. I.G. Madduma Bandara,	DSWRPP project (Dam safety	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor, MASL building,No. 500,
		& water resources planning	T.B. Jaya Mawatha,Colombo 10.
		project)	
8.	Dr. H. Manthitillake	International Water	Head, 127, Sunil Mawatha,
		Management Institute (IWMI)	Pelawatta, Battaramulla
9.	Mr.M.M. Aheeyar	Head (EWRM)	114, Wijerama Mawatha, Colombo 7
		Hector Kobbekaduwa Agrarian	
		Research & Training Institute	

10.	Mr. S.A.M. Azmy	Head/ Environmental Studies	NARA, Crow Island, Col. 15
		Division	
		NARA	
11.	Dr. P.D. Ranasinghe	Assistant Medical Officer of	MOH Office
		Health (AMOH)	Homagama
12.	Mr. W.D. Dharmasiri	Director, Ministry of Agriculture	Ministry of Agriculture
			Battaramulla
13.	Dr. S.M. Wijesundara	Food Sector Expert/ TNA	TNA Project
		Project	Ministry of Environment
14.	Mr. H.M. Bandaratillake	Team Leader/ TNA Project	TNA Project
			Ministry of Environment
15.	Ms. Anoja Herath	TNA Coordinator	TNA Project
			Ministry of Environment
16.	Ms. Surani Pathirana	Environment Management	TNA Project
		Officer (EMO), TNA Project	Ministry of Environment
17.	Ms. Nilmini Ranasinghe	Environment Management	Ministry of Environment
		Officer	

# COASTAL SECTOR

No	Name	Institution	Contact Address
1.	Mr. R.A.S. Ranawaka	Senior Engineer (R &D) ,	4 th Floor, New Secretariat Building,
		Department of Coast	Maligawatta,
		Conservation	Colombo 10
2.	Mr. K. Sugathapala,	Head, Human Settlement	National Building Research
		Division, National Building	Organization
		Research Organization	99/1 Jawatta Road Colombo 05
3.	Mr. S.A.M. Azmy	Head/ Environmental Studies	NARA, Crow Island, Col. 15.
		Division	
		NARA	
4.	Ms. Vishaka	Regional Director, Practical	5, Lionel Edirisinghe Mawatha
	Hidellage,	Action	Kirulapone
			Colombo 5
5.	Dr. Terney Predeep	Head, Dept of Oceanography &	Faculty of Fisheries & Marine Sciences

	Kumara	Marine Geology	& Technology
			University of Ruhuna
6.	Asitha K.	Addl. Secretary	Ministry of Industry & Commerce,
	Senevirathne	Ministry of Industry & Commerce	Colombo
7.	Mr. H.M.	Team Leader/ TNA Project	TNA Project
	Bandaratillake		Ministry of Environment
8.	Ms. Anoja Herath	TNA Coordinator	TNA Project
			Ministry of Environment
9.	Ms. Surani Pathirana	Environment Management	TNA Project
		Officer (EMO), TNA Project	Ministry of Environment
10.	Ms. Nilmini	Environment Management	Ministry of Environment
	Ranasinghe	Officer	

# **BIODIVERSITY SECTOR**

No	Name	Institution	Contact Address
1.	Mr. Anura Sathurusinghe	Conservator of Forest	Forest Department
		(Research & Education)	Sampathpaya, Battaramulla
2.	Mr. B.M. Sooryabandara	Development Assistant	Forest Department
			Sampathpaya, Battaramulla
3.	Mr. R.A.S. Ranawaka,	Senior Engineer (R&D)	Department of Coast Conservation
		Department of Coast	New Secretariat Building,
		Conservation	Maligawatta, Colombo 10.
4.	Mr. S.A.M. Azmy	Head/Environmental Studies	NARA
		Division, NARA	Crow Island, Colombo. 15
5.	Ms. D.M.T.K. Dissanayake	SEO, Central Environment	104. Denzil Kobbekaduwa Mw,
		Authority (CEA)	Battaramulla
6.	Mr. Sunil Maithripala	Asst. Director, CEA	104. Denzil Kobbekaduwa Mw,
			Battaramulla
7.	Mr. Pradeep Rajadewa	CEA	104. Denzil Kobbekaduwa Mw,
			Battaramulla
8.	Mr. Ravi Deraniyagala	President, Wildlife and Nature	PNode & Boon RS jacriest Jwatte Road,
		Sri Lanka	Battaramulla

9.	Mr. Dinal Samarasinghe	Young Zoologist Association	Anagarika Dharmapala Mawatha,
			Dehiwala.
10.	Mr. Sameera Karunarathne	Young Zoologist Association	Anagarika Dharmapala Mawatha,
			Dehiwala.
11.	Mr. Gayan Pradeep,	Asst. Programme Manager	Green Movement of SL
		Green Movement of SL	No. 09, 1 <sup>st</sup> Lane, Wanatha Rd,
			Gangodawila, Nugegoda
12.	Ms. Christine Dasanayake	Scientific Officer	National Science Foundation
		National Science Foundation	47/5, Vidya Mawatha, Colombo 7
13.	Mr. Vimukthi Weerathunga	Environmental Foundation	Environmental Foundation
			Havelock Road, Colombo 5
14.	Ms. I.C. Vandabona	Environmental Officer	Centre for Environmental Justice
		Centre for Environmental	20A, Kuruppu Road
		Justice	Colombo 08.
<mark>15</mark> .	Mr. W.K. Rathnadeera	South Asia Co-operative	SACEP, Anderson Road,
		Environment Programme	Colombo - 5
		(SACEP)	
16.	Dr. Mayuri Wijesinghe	University of Colombo	Department of Zoology, Faculty of
			Science, Uni. Colombo, Colombo. 03.
17.	Ms. Mayuri Malawarachchi,	PA, Department of National	Department of National Botanic
		Botanic Gardens,	Gardens, P O Box 14, Peradeniya
18.	Mr. Kanchana Weerakoon	Eco Friendly Volunteers	
19.	Mr. Hasula Wickramasinghe	Biodiversity Secretariat	Ministry of Environment
		Ministry of Environment	Battaramulla.
20.	Dakshini Perera	Biodiversity Secretariat	Ministry of Environment
21.	Leel Randeni	Biodiversity Secretariat	Ministry of Environment